



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرو فيلم

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



MONA MAGHRABY



“Comparing Two Suggested Techniques To Correct Improperly Angulated Implants In Mandibular Kennedy Class I Implant Supported Partial Overdenture”

A thesis submitted to Prosthodontics Department,
Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University,
For the partial fulfillment of the master degree, in Oral and
Maxillofacial Prosthodontics

By

Noura Ahmed Mohammed El-Batrick

B.D.S, Ain Shams University

(2011)

Faculty of Dentistry
Ain Shams University

2021

Supervisors

Dr.Hany Ibrahim Eid

Professor of Prosthodontics

Faculty of Dentistry

Ain Shams University

Dr.Shaimaa Lotfy Mohammed

Assistant Professor of Prosthodontics

Faculty of Dentistry

Ain Shams University



مقارنة بين تقنيتين لتصحيح تزوي الغرسات فى طقم الاسنان
الجزئي السفلي المدعوم بالغرسات فى الصنف الاول من تصنيف
كينيدى
(دراسه في المختبر)

رساله مقدمه الي قسم الاستعاضه الصناعيه كليه طب الاسنان
جامعه عين شمس
للحصول علي درجه الماجستير في الاستعاضه الصناعيه للفم والاسنان

مقدمه من
الطبيبة/ نورا أحمد محمد البطريق
بكالوريوس طب وجراحه الفم والاسنان
جامعه عين شمس

2011

كلية طب الاسنان
جامعه عين شمس

2021

تحت اشراف

أ.د. /هاني ابراهيم عيد
استاذ الاستعاضه الصناعيه
كلية طب الاسنان جامعه عين شمس

ا.م.د/ شيماء لطفي محمد
استاذ مساعد الاستعاضه الصناعيه
كلية طب الاسنان جامعه عين شمس

Dedicated TO....

To

Allah, the ever-thankful, for his help and bless.

To

My family who supported me to complete this thesis.

To

My baby girl who is always the reason behind my motivation.

*Deepest thanks go to all my beloved friends for their endless Love
and support.*

Acknowledgment

I would like to express my gratitude and appreciation to **Dr.Hany Ibrahim Eid**, Professor of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for his endless support and guidance.

My deepest thanks are extended to **Dr.Shaimaa Lotfy**, Assistant Professor of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for her remarkable help, valuable advice, constant support and encouragement.

Many thanks are extended to all my professors and colleagues in Prosthodontics Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University.

LIST OF CONTENTS

<u>Subject</u>	<u>Page</u>
List of Contents	i
List of Figures	iii
List of Tables	Vi
INTRODUCTION	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
I- Edentulism	3
II- Problems of Kennedy Class I	5
1- Support	7
2- Retention	9
3- Stability	10
4- Torque to the abutment teeth	11
5- Residual ridge resorption	12
III- Treatment modalities for kennedy class I	12
1- Conventional partial denture	14
a. Advantages	14
b. Disadvantages	14
2- Partial overdenture over the natural abutment	15
a. Advantages	15
b. Disadvantages	15
3- Implant supported partial overdenture	16
4- Fixed partial denture	18
5- Implant supported fixed prosthesis	18
IV- Implant Angulation	19
1- Causes of implant angulation	20

2- Indications of angulated implants	22
3- Advantages of angulated implants	23
V- Attachment of Implant Supported	23
Partial Overdenture	
1- Stud attachment	24
2- Magnetic attachment	25
3- Telescopic attachment	26
4- Locator	27
5- Sphero Flex	28
6- ERA	29
VI- Angulated abutment	30
VII- Stress Analysis	31
1- Electrical resistance strain gauge	34
2- Brittle lacquer method	37
3- Holographic interferometry	38
4- Photoelastic technique	39
5- Finite Element Analysis (FEM)	40
AIM OF THE STUDY	43
MATERIAL AND METHOD	44
RESULTS	70
DISCUSSION	75
SUMMARY	83
CONCLUSION	85
REFERENCES	86
ARABIC SUMMARY	١

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure no.	<u>Description</u>	<u>Page</u>
1	Mandibular stone model class I	45
2	Lateral view of showing the future location and angulation of the implants	45
3	Frontal view showing the location of the implants on both sides	46
4	Occlusal view showing the exact location of the future implant site	46
5	Location of the right and left implant from occlusal view	47
6	Implant bed design for the right side	48
7	The implant bed design for the left side	48
8	Frontal view showing the grooves for the stain gauge on both sides.	49
9	Occlusal view showing the grooves for stain gauge on both sides	50
10	The left side showing the buccal and distal grooves for strain gauge	50
11	Occlusal view of the cast after the removal of the 2 mm	51
12	Soft tissue index design	51
13	The stoppers on the fitting surface of the soft tissue index	52
14	The right side of the soft tissue index design	52
15	The left side of the soft tissue index design	53
16	The printed cast with the tissue index	54

17	The printed cast without the tissue index	54
18	Implants are placed in the osteotomies	55
19	Multisil – Mask soft	56
20	Impression of the cast using rubber base materials	56
21	Refractory cast was done	57
22	Wax pattern on the refractory cast	58
23	Metal framework try in	58
24	Finished denture on the cast	59
25	The sphero flex attachment	60
26	The directional rings used to correct different angulations up to 14-degree	61
27	The fitting surface of the denture with the retentive caps	62
28	Occlusal view showing the straight abutment on the left side and the angulated abutment on the right side	63
29	The angulated abutment on the right side	64
30	The fitting surface of the denture with soft liner opposing the abutments	64
31	Strain gauge	65
32	Strain gauges embedded in their groove, Occlusal view	66
33	The universal testing machine	68
34	The T shape applicator	69
35	Bar chart showing average stress measured in pre- tilted implant	71
36	Bar chart showing average stress measured in straight implant	73

37	Bar chart showing average stress in different implants	74
----	--	----

LIST OF TABLES

Table no.	Tittles	Page
1	Mean and standard deviation (SD) values for stress measured in pre-tilted implant	70
2	Mean and standard deviation (SD) values for stress measured in straight implant	72
3	Mean and standard deviation (SD) values for stress in different implants	74