

Department of Anesthesia Intensive care and pain mangement

Comparative study between Gabapentin, Midazolam and Granisterone in management of post operative nausea and vomiting in C.S

Thesis

Submitted for partial fulfilment of MD Degree in Anaesthesia

Submitted by

Eman Atia Eltoukhy

M.B.B.Ch - M.Sc in Anaesthesia - Ain Shams University

Under Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Nahed Effat Youssef Salama

Professor of Anaesthesia , Intensive Care and Pain Management Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Elsayed Elhennawy

Professor of Anaesthesia , Intensive Care and Pain Management Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Dr. Hanan Mahmoud Farag Awad

Assist. Professor of Anaesthesia , Intensive Care and Pain Management Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Dr. Heba Fouad Abd Elaziz Toulan

Lecturer of Anaesthesia , Intensive Care and Pain Management Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University
2020



First and foremost, I feel always indebted to Allah, the Most Beneficent and Merciful who gave me the strength to accomplish this work.

My deepest gratitude to my supervisor, **Prof. Dr. Nahed Effat Youssef Salama**, Professor of Anaesthesia, Intensive Care and Pain Management, Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University, for her valuable guidance and expert supervision, in addition to her great deal of support and encouragement. I really have the honor to complete this work under her supervision.

I would like to express my great and deep appreciation and thanks to **Prof. Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Elsayed Elhennawy,** Professor of Anaesthesia, Intensive Care and Pain Management, Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University, for his meticulous supervision, and his patience in reviewing and correcting this work.

I must express my deepest thanks to Dr. Hanan Mahmoud Farag Awad, Assist. Professor of Anaesthesia, Intensive Care and Pain Management, Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University, for guiding me throughout this work and for granting me much of her time. I greatly appreciate her efforts.

I can't forget to thank with all appreciation **Dr. Heba Fouad Abd Elaziz Toulan,** Lecturer of Anaesthesia, Intensive Care and Pain Management, Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University, whom tirelessly and freely gave comments on various drafts of this piece of work.

Special thanks to my **Family** members for their continuous encouragement, enduring me and standing by me.

List of Contents

Subject	Page No.
List of Abbreviations	i
List of Tables	iii
List of Figures	viii
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work	5
Review of Literature	
GIT changes during pregnancy	6
Pathophysiology of post-operative nausea a vomiting (PONV)	
Prevention of PONV	32
Pharmacology of drugs used	51
Subjects and Methods	72
Results	79
Discussion	112
Conclusion	133
Summary	134
References	139
Arabic Summary	

List of Abbreviations

Abbr. Full-term

APAIS: Amsterdam Preoperative Anxiety and

Information Scale

ASA : American society of anaesthesiologists

CS Caesrean sectionBZD : Benzodiazepine

Ca⁺² : Calcium

CINV: Chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting

CNS : Central nervous system

CSF : Cerebrospinal fluid

CTZ : Chemoreceptor trigger zone

CYP450: Cytochrome P450 **ECG**: Electrocardiogram

FDA : Food and Drug Administration

GABA : Gamma-aminobutyric acidGERD : Gastro-esophageal reflux

GI : Gastrointestinal

GIRK: G-protein-coupled inwardly rectifying

potassium

H : Histamine

IASP: International Association for the Study of pain

ICU : Intensive care units

IV : IntravenousM : MuscarineN2O : Nitrous oxide

Na : sodium

NACS: Neonatal Neurologic and Adaptive Capacity

Score

NICU: Neonatal intensive care unit

NK-1 : Neurokinin

NMDA : N-methyl-D-aspartateNRS : Numerical rating scale

NSAIDs: Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs

NSCSA: National Sentinal Caesarean Section Audit

P-6 : pericardium-6

PACU: Post anaesthesia care unit

PDNV: Post-discharge nausea and vomiting

PON : Postoperative nausea

PONV: Postoperative nausea and vomiting

POV : Postoperative vomiting

SNRIs : serotonin and nor-adrenaline reuptake

inhibitors

SSRIs : selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors

 $t_{1/2}$: Terminal half life

TDS: Transdermal scopolamine

TIVA : Total intravenous anaesthesia

5-HT : 5-hydroxytryptamine

5-HT₃ : 5-HT subtype 3 receptor

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (1):	Risk score for PONV in adults	27
Table (2):	Simplified risk score for PDN adults.	
Table (3):	Simplified risk score for POV in Ch Simplified risk score	
Table (4):	Apgar scoring system	75
Table (5):	Modified Ramsey sedation score.	76
Table (6):	Demographic data of studied patie	ents 80
Table (7):	Relation between Belveille as symptoms – Nausea –Retch Vomiting) with Treatment group 2 hrs	ing - s after
Table (8):	Relation between Belveille as symptoms – Nausea –Retch Vomiting) with Treatment group 4 hrs	ing - s after
Table (9):	Relation between Belveille as symptoms – Nausea –Retching -Vor with Treatment groups after 6 hrs	miting)
Table (10):	Relation between Belveille as symptoms – Nausea –Retching -Vorwith Treatment groups after 8 hrs	miting)
Table (11):	Relation between Belveille with antiemetic as (no drugs given Granisetrone 4mg, ranitidine 50mg). Treatment groups after 2 hrs.	ven – g) with

Table (12):	Relation between Belveille with rescue antiemetic as (no drugs given – Granisetrone 4mg, ranitidine 50mg) with Treatment groups after 4 hrs
Table (13):	Relation between Belveille with rescue antiemetic as (no drugs given – Granisetrone 4mg, ranitidine 50mg) with Treatment groups after 6 hrs
Table (14):	Relation between Belveille with rescue antiemetic as (no drugs given – Granisetrone 4mg, ranitidine 50mg) with Treatment groups after 8 hrs
Table (15):	Relation between Pain Score Scale with Treatment groups after 2 hrs
Table (16):	Relation between Pain Score Scale with Treatment groups after 4 hrs90
Table (17):	Relation between Pain Score Scale with Treatment groups after 6 hrs
Table (18):	Relation between Pain Score Scale with Treatment groups after 8 hrs
Table (19):	Relation between Pain Score Scale with Granisetrone and Gabapentin as a confirmatory test after 4 hrs
Table (20):	Relation between Pain Score Scale with Granisetrone and Gabapentin as a confirmatory test after 6 hrs94
Table (21):	Relation between Pain Score Scale with Granisetrone and Gabapentin as a confirmatory test after 8 hrs

Table (22):	Relation between Pain Score Scale with Granisetrone and Midazolam as a confirmatory test after 4 hrs95
Table (23):	Relation between Pain Score Scale with Granisetrone and Midazolamas a confirmatory test after 6 hrs
Table (24):	Relation between Pain Score Scale with Granisetrone and Midazolam as a confirmatory test after 8 hrs96
Table (25):	Relation between Pain Score with rescue analgesic as (no drugs given – meperidine 1mg/kg IM) with treatment groups after 2 hrs
Table (26):	Relation between Pain Score with rescue analgesic as (no drugs given – meperidine 1mg/kg IM) with treatment groups after 4 hrs
Table (27):	Relation between Pain Score with rescue analgesic as (no drugs given – meperidine 1mg/kg IM) with treatment group after 6 hrs
Table (28):	Relation between Pain Score with rescue analgesic as (no drugs given – meperidine 1mg/kg IM) with treatment group after 8 hrs
Table (29):	Relation between Pain Score with rescue analgesic as (no drugs given – meperidine 1mg/kg IM) with Granisetrone and Gabapentin as a confirmatory test after 4,6 and 8 hrs

Table (30):	Relation between Pain Score with rescue analgesic as (no drugs given – meperidine 1mg/kg IM) with Granisetrone and Midazolam as a confirmatory test after 4,6 and 8 hrs. 102
Table (31):	Number of doses of rescue analgesic in 8 hrs
Table (32):	Relation between Ramsey as (anxious agitated – awake cooperative—semi asleep-asleep with response - asleep with sluggish response - no response) with Treatment groups after 2 hrs
Table (33):	Relation between Ramsey as (anxious agitated – awake cooperative—semi asleep-asleep with response - asleep with sluggish response - no response) with Treatment groups after 4 hrs
Table (34):	Relation between Ramsey as (anxious agitated – awake cooperative–semi asleep-asleep with response - asleep with sluggish response - no response) with Treatment groups after 6 hrs
Table (35):	Relation between Ramsey as (anxious agitated – awake cooperative–semi asleep-asleep with response - asleep with sluggish response - no response) with Treatment groups after 8 hrs
Table (36):	Relation between Apgar Score as (Score = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10) with Treatment groups after 1 min

Table (37):	Relation between Apgar Score as (Score = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10) with Treatment groups after 5 min
Table (38):	Relation between postoperative amnesia as (no amnesia - amnesia) with Treatment groups
Table (39):	Relation between postoperative amnesia as (no amnesia - amnesia) with Controland Gabapentin as a confirmatory test
Table (40):	Relation between postoperative amnesia as (no amnesia - amnesia) with Controland Midazolam as a confirmatory test

List of Figures

Figure No	o. Title	Page No.
Figure (1):	Pathophysiology of PONV	17
Figure (2):	Simplified risk score for PDNV in	adults 29
Figure (3):	Simplified risk score for POV in C	hildren 31
Figure (4):	Algorithm for management postoperative nausea and vomiting	
Figure (5):	P6 acupressure point location	47
Figure (6):	Chemical structure of Gabapentin .	51
Figure (7):	Chemical structure of Midazola Diazepam	
Figure (8):	Chemical structure of gra hydrochloride	
Figure (9):	Numerical rating scale	77
Figure (10):	Relation between age and weight (mean) 80
Figure (11):	Relation between Belveille a symptoms – Nausea –Retching -Vo with Treatment groups after 2 hrs	omiting)
Figure (12):	Relation between Belveille a symptoms – Nausea –Retch Vomiting) with Treatment groups hrs	ning - after 4
Figure (13):	Relation between Belveille a symptoms – Nausea –Retch Vomiting) with Treatment groups hrs	ning - after 6

Figure (14):	Relation between Belveille as (No symptoms – Nausea –Retching -Vomiting) with Treatment groups after 8 hrs
Figure (15):	Relation between Belveille with rescue antiemetic as (no drugs given – Granisetrone 4mg, ranitidine 50mg) with Treatment groups after 2 hrs
Figure (16):	Relation between Belveille with rescue antiemetic as (no drugs given – Granisetrone 4mg, ranitidine 50mg) with Treatment groups after 4 hrs
Figure (17):	Relation between Belveille with rescue antiemetic as (no drugs given – Granisetrone 4mg, ranitidine 50mg) with Treatment groups after 6 hrs
Figure (18):	Relation between Belveille with rescue antiemetic as (no drugs given – Granisetrone 4mg, ranitidine 50mg) with Treatment groups after 8 hrs
Figure (19):	Relation between Pain Score Scale with Treatment groups after 2 hrs
Figure (20):	Relation between Pain Score Scale with Treatment groups after 4 hrs
Figure (21):	Relation between Pain Score Scale with Treatment groups after 6 hrs
Figure (22):	Relation between Pain Score Scale with Treatment groups after 8 hrs
Figure (23):	Relation between Pain Score with rescue analgesic as (no drugs given – meperidine 1mg/kg IM) with treatment groups after 2 hrs 97

Figure (24):	Relation between Pain Score with rescue analgesic as (no drugs given – meperidine 1mg/kg IM) with treatment groups after 4 hrs
Figure (25):	Relation between Pain Score with rescue analgesic as (no drugs given – meperidine 1mg/kg IM) with treatment groups after 6 hrs
Figure (26):	Relation between Pain Score with rescue analgesic as (no drugs given – meperidine 1mg/kg IM) with treatment groups after 8 hrs
Figure (27):	Types of pain score with action with times to use drugs
Figure (28):	Relation between Ramsey as (anxious agitated – awake cooperative—semi asleepasleep with response - asleep with sluggish response - no response) with Treatment groups after 2 hrs
Figure (29):	Relation between Ramsey as (anxious agitated – awake cooperative—semi asleepasleep with response - asleep with sluggish response - no response) with Treatment groups after 4 hrs
Figure (30):	Relation between Ramsey as (anxious agitated – awake cooperative—semi asleepasleep with response - asleep with sluggish response - no response) with Treatment groups after 6 hrs

Figure (31):	Relation between Ramsey as (anxious agitated – awake cooperative—semi asleepasleep with response - asleep with sluggish response - no response) with Treatment groups after 8 hrs	107
Figure (32):	Relation between Apgar Score as (Score = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10) with Treatment groups after 1 min.	108
Figure (33):	Relation between Apgar Score as (Score = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10) with Treatment groups after 5 min.	109
Figure (34):	Relation between postoperative amnesia as (no amnesia - amnesia) with Treatment groups	110

Abstract

Background: Caesarean section is one of the most prevalent surgical procedures among women. Pain, nausea and vomiting are the most common adverse effects of surgery. In the recent past, Gabapentin has been used to reduce pre-operative anxiety, acute postoperative pain, postoperative opioid requirements, postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) and delirium. Numerous studies have reported that Midazolam effectively prevents PONV. The use of midazolam not only may reduce the incidence of PONV but may also provide an anxiolytic and amnestic effect.

Aim of the Work: to compare between Gabapentin, Midazolam and Granisetrone safety and efficacy in managing PONV.

Patients and Methods: This study started with 90 Pregnant females ASA II selected to compare between Gabapentin, Midazolam and Granisetrone safety and efficacy in managing PONV for a parturient undergoing a caesarean section under spinal anesthesia, during the period of the study from 1st January 2018 to 31st December 2018 at Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University Hospitals, Gynecology and Obstetrics Hospital. patients were randomly divided into 3 equal groups; Gc: control group received Granisetrone 40 ug/kg intravenous immediately before induction of anaesthesia and Group Gm: Midazolam group received Midazolam 20ug/kg intravenous immediately before induction of anaesthesia and Group Gg: Gabapentin group received a capsule of 600 mg orally one hour before induction of anaesthesia and a syringe of isotonic saline intravenously immediately before induction of anaesthesia to ensure the blindness of the anaesthetist.

Results: Gabapentin and midazolam offered management of PONV for parturients just like the control drug (Granisetron) with no statistically significance between the three groups (p-value >0.2). Regarding pain Gabapentin offered analgesia all through the postoperative 8 hours by significant difference (p-value<0.02) among the three groups compared to the midazolam which was a statistically significant at only 4 hours postoperatively (p-value=0.01) but the two drugs gave the same results in decreasing the analgesic requirements all through the postoperative period as the total number of rescue analgesic doses was statistically significant between the two groups and the control group (p-value=0.0001). Regarding amnesia Midazolam was distinct with high significant difference (p-value=0.0001) in offering amnesia compared to the two other groups which offered no amnestic effects. In contrast there was no significant difference among the three groups between sedation using ramsay sedation score (p-value>0.1) and neonatal wellbeing using Apgar score (p-value>0.3).

Conclusion: Gabapentin (600mg) and Midazolam (20 ug/kg) are safe and efficient in decreasing (PONV), pain scores and analgesic requirements without any sedative effects on nthe parturient or negative effects on neonatal well-being.

Key words: Gabapentin, Midazolam, Granisterone, postoperative nausea, vomiting, C.S.