

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



HOSSAM MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكرو فيلم



HOSSAM MAGHRABY

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
على هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



HOSSAM MAGHRABY

Development and standardization of an Arabic test battery and questionnaires for evaluation of cochlear implant performance in toddlers and children

Thesis

Submitted for partial fulfillment of MD
Degree *in Audiology*

By
Mona Mohamed Sharaf
M.B., B.Ch

Under Supervision of

**Prof. Dr. Iman Sadek El-
Danasoury**

*Professor of Audiology, E.N.T
Department
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams
University*

**Prof. Dr. Wafaa Shehata-
Dieler**

*Professor of Audiology, E.N.T
Department
Faculty of Medicine - Wuerzburg
University*

Prof.Dr. Adel Abd El-Maksoud Nassar

*Professor of Audiology, E.N.T Department
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University*

**Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University
2021**

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قالوا

سببناك لا علم لنا
إلا ما علمتنا إنك أنت
العليم العظيم

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢



Acknowledgement

*First, thanks to **ALLAH** for blessing this work until it has reached its end, as a part of his generous helping throughout my life.*

*I would like to express my sincere gratitude for **Prof. Dr. Iman Sadek El-Danasoury**, Professor of Audiology, E.N.T Department, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams, for her great support, continuous encouragement and tremendous effect she has done throughout the whole work and for the meticulous revision of the whole work.*

*Words stand short to express my deep appreciation for **Prof. Dr. Wafaa Shehata-Dieler**, Professor of Audiology, E.N.T Department, Faculty of Medicine Wuerzburg University her sincere guidance, remarkable thoughts in the current study & her great help.*

*I would like also to extend my thanks to **Professor Dr. Adel Abd El-Maksoud Nassar**, Professor of Audiology, E.N.T Department, Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University for his great help, continuous support and the meticulous revision.*

*My gratitude cannot be fulfilled without expressing my deepest gratitude to my **family**, and **friends** who have been a constant source of love, concern, support and strength.*

Finally, I would like to thank the patient who had an important role in this study.

Mona Mohamed Sharaf

List of Contents

<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
Introduction and Rationale	1
Aim of the Work	4
Review of Literature:	
• Chapter (1):	5
• Chapter (2):	18
• Chapter (3):	37
• Chapter (4):	47
Material and Methods.....	52
Results.....	68
Discussion	136
Conclusion	151
Recommendations	153
Summary	154
Appendices:	
• Appendix (1):	158
• Appendix (2):	172
• Appendix (3):	186
• Appendix (4):	203
• Appendix (5):	230
References	249
Arabic Summary	—

List of Tables

<i>Table No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
Table (1):	The content of EARS assessment tool	41
Table (2):	The critical value of ELFRA-1	57
Table (3):	Comparison of the scores of ELFRA-1 questionnaire between the study and control groups	69
Table (4):	Modifications of ELFRA-2	73
Table (5):	Comparison of the scores of ELFRA-1 questionnaire between the study and control groups	74
Table (6):	Comparison of the scores of speech test battery between children with regular and irregular attendance in nurseries and schools	77
Table (7):	Modifications of the speech test battery	78
Table (8):	Minimum, Maximum, Mean and Standard Deviation (SD) of age of the control and the study groups	79
Table (9):	Mean and Standard deviation (SD) of pure tone audiometry thresholds in the control group and aided thresholds in the study group	81
Table (10):	The clinical data of the study group	83

Table (11): Comparison of children received full day rehabilitation and children received rehabilitation sessions before the cochlear implantation regarding speech test battery scores	88
Table (12a): Linear correlations between the independent variables and LIP score in the study group	89
Table (12b): Multiple regression model of the independent variables and LIP score in the study group	90
Table (13): Linear correlations between the independent variables and MTP3 score in the study group	90
Table (14a): Linear correlations between the independent variables and MTP6 pattern score in the study group	91
Table (14b): Multiple regression model of the independent variables and MTP 6 pattern score in the study group	91
Table (15a): Linear correlations between the independent variables and MTP6 word score in the study group	92
Table (15b): Multiple regression model of the independent variables and MTP 6 word score in the study group	92

Table (16a): Linear correlations between the independent variables and MTP 12 pattern score in the study group	93
Table (16b): Multiple regression model of the independent variables and MTP 12 pattern score in the study group	94
Table (17a): Linear correlations between the independent variables and MTP 12 word score in the study group	95
Table (17b): Multiple regression model of the independent variables and MTP 12 word score in the study group	96
Table (18a): Linear correlations between the independent variables and Monosyllabic 4 score in the study group	97
Table (18b): Multiple regression model of the independent variables and Monosyllabic 4 score in the study group	97
Table (19a): Linear correlations between the independent variables and Monosyllabic 12 score in the study group	98
Table (19b): Multiple regression model of the independent variables and Monosyllabic 12 score in the study group	99
Table (20a): Linear correlations between the independent variables and Matrix 1 score in the study group	100

Table (20b): Multiple regression model of the independent variables and Matrix 1 score in the study group	101
Table (21a): Linear correlations between the independent variables and Matrix 2 score in the study group	102
Table (21b): Multiple regression model of the independent variables and Matrix 2 score in the study group	103
Table (22a): Linear correlations between the independent variables and Matrix 3 score in the study group	104
Table (22b): Multiple regression model of the independent variables and Matrix 3 score in the study group	105
Table (23a): Linear correlations between the independent variables and Matrix 4 score in the study group	106
Table (23b): Multiple regression model of the independent variables and Matrix 4 score in the study group	107
Table (24a): Linear correlations between the independent variables and Matrix 5 score in the study group	108
Table (24b): Multiple regression model of the independent variables and Matrix 5 score in the study group	109

Table (25a): Linear correlations between the independent variables and Matrix 6 score in the study group	110
Table (25b): Multiple regression model of the independent variables and Matrix 6 score in the study group	111
Table (26a): Linear correlations between the independent variables and Matrix 7 score in the study group	112
Table (26b): Multiple regression model of the independent variables and Matrix 7 score in the study group	113
Table (27a): Linear correlations between the independent variables and Matrix 8 score in the study group	114
Table (27b): Multiple regression model of the independent variables and Matrix 8 score in the study group	115
Table (28a): Linear correlations between the independent variables and Matrix 9 score in the study group	116
Table (28b): Multiple regression model of the independent variables and Matrix 9 score in the study group	117
Table (29a): Linear correlations between the independent variables and Matrix 10 score in the study group	118

Table (29b): Multiple regression model of the independent variables and Matrix 10 score in the study group	119
Table (30a): Linear correlations between the independent variables and COT 1 score in the study group	120
Table (30b): Multiple regression model of the independent variables and COT 1 score in the study group	121
Table (31a): Linear correlations between the independent variables and COT 2 score in the study group	122
Table (31b): Multiple regression model of the independent variables and COT 2 score in the study group	123
Table (32a): Linear correlations between the independent variables and COT 3 score in the study group	124
Table (32b): Multiple regression model of the independent variables and COT 3 score in the study group	125
Table (33a): Linear correlations between the independent variables and COT 4 score in the study group	126
Table (33b): Multiple regression model of the independent variables and COT 4 score in the study group	127

Table (34a): Linear correlations between the independent variables and COT 5 score in the study group	128
Table (34b): Multiple regression model of the independent variables and COT 5 score in the study group	129
Table (35a): Linear correlations between the independent variables and COT 6 score in the study group	130
Table (35b): Multiple regression model of the independent variables and COT 6 score in the study group	131
Table (36a): Linear correlations between the independent variables and GASP score in the study group	132
Table (36b): Multiple regression model of the independent variables and GASP score in the study group	133
Table (37a): Linear correlations between the independent variables and total score in the study group	134
Table (37b): Multiple regression model of the independent variables and total score in the study group	135

List of Figures

<i>Figure No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
Figure (1):	Critical values of ELFRA-1 questionnaire in the control and the study groups (depending on the German ELFRA-1)	70
Figure (2):	Correlation between the chronological age of the study group and word perception or speech perception	71
Figure (3):	Correlation between the chronological age of study group and word production, sound production, reaction to sounds, gesture and fine motor	72
Figure (3):	Correlation between the chronological age of the study group of ELFERA 2 and word, syntax and morphology	75
Figure (5):	Sex distribution of the control and the study groups	80
Figure (6):	Mean (X) of the hearing thresholds at 500, 1000, 2000 and 4000 HZ in both ears in the control group	82
Figure (7):	Mean (X) of the aided hearing thresholds at 500, 1000, 2000 and 4000 HZ in the study group	82
Figure (8):	Comparison of the LIP, Monosyllabic 4 and Monosyllabic 12 tests between the control and study groups	84