

شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلو

# بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم





HANAA ALY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرونيله



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



HANAA ALY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكترونى والميكروفيلم

# جامعة عين شمس التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها على هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



HANAA ALY



### Comparative Study in Recurrent Disc Surgery between Posterior Lumbar Fusion with Interbody Fusion Versus Posterior Lumbar Fusion Alone

### Chesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of M.D. Degree in Neurosurgery

## By

#### **Mohamed Abdel Moaty Mohamed**

M.B.B.ch & M.Sc. Neurosurgery Al Matarya Teaching Hospital

### Under supervision of

#### Professor Dr. Esam Eldeen Emara

Professor of Neurosurgery Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

#### Professor Dr. Ali Kotb Ali

Professor of Neurosurgery Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

#### **Professor Dr. Khaled Mohamed Saoud**

Professor of Neurosurgery Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

### **Dr. Ahmed Roshdy Farghaly**

Lecture of Neurosurgery
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Faculty of medicine Ain shams university 2020-2021

## بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

الْعَالَمِينَ لَا اللهِ وَمُعْتِي وَمَعْتِيايَ وَمَمَاتِي لِللهِ رَبِّ الْعَالَمِينَ لَا الْعَالَمِينَ لَا



شَرِيك لَهُ وَبِذَلِكَ أُمِرْت وَأَنَا أَوَّل الْمُسْلِمِينَ

صدق الله العظيم

سورة الانعام ١٦٣

### Acknowledgments

First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **Allah**, the Most Beneficent and Merciful.

I wish to dedicate this thesis express my deepest gratitude and thanks to soul of **Prof. Dr. Esam Eldeen Emara**, Professor of Neurosurgery, Faculty of Medicine- Ain Shams University, for her irreplaceable encouragement, her expertise, and her great directions all through the work.

My most sincere gratitude is also extended to **Prof. Dr. Ali Koth Ali,** Professor of Neurosurgery, Faculty of Medicine – Ain
Shams University, for her precious help and fruitfulguidance.

Special gratefulness and thanks to **Dr. Khaled Mohamed Saoud** Professor of Neurosurgery, Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams
University for her great help.

Special gratefulness and thanks to **Dr. Ahmed Roshdy Farghaly** lecturer of Neurosurgery, Faculty of Medicine – Ain
Shams University for her great help.

Special gratefulness for every patient for their participation and cooperation in our study.

Last but not least, I can't forget to thank all members of my Family, especially (My Father, My Mother, My Two Sisters, My Brother, My Wife and My Two Sons) for pushing me forward in every step in the journey of my life.

#### Candidate

# List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Abbreviations	i
List of Tables	ii
List of Figures	iii
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work	5
Review of Literature	
Anatomy of Lumber Spine	6
Biomechanics of Lumber Spine	
Pathogenesis of Recurrent Lumbar Disc	38
Management of Recurrent Lumbar Diseases	46
Patients and Method	64
Results	80
Master Sheet	95
Illustrative Cases	97
Discussion	123
Summary & Conclusion	138
References	
Arabic Summary	

## List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
AF	. Annulus fibrosus
AxiaLIF	. Axial lumbar interbody fusion
CRP	. C-reactive protein
CT	. Computed tomography
ESR	. Erythrocyte sedimentation rate
ICSI	. Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement
IDET	. Intradiscal electrothermal therapy
JOA	. Japanese Orthopedic Association score
LF	. Ligamentum flavum
MED	. Microendoscopic discectomy
MRI	. Magnetic resonance image
NSAIDs	. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs
ODI	. Oswestry Disability Index questionnaire
PLF	. Posterolateral fusion
PLIF	. Posterior lumbar interbody fusion
TDA	. Total disc arthroplasty
TENS	. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation
TLIF	. Transforaminal Lumbar Interbody Fusion
VAS	. Visual analogue score
XLIF	. Extreme lateral lumbar interbody fusion

# List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (1): Table (2):	Age, sex, Body mass index(BMI)  Incidence of smoking, diabetes, and before recurrence	trauma
<b>Table (3):</b>	Description of personal and medicamong study group A cases (Pedic Fixation)	cal data le screw
<b>Table (4):</b>	Description of personal and medication among study group B cases (Pedic Fixation & Interbody fusion)	le screw
<b>Table (5):</b>	Comparison between the 2 study gregard personal and medical data	-
<b>Table (6):</b>	Description of operative and post-odata among study group A cases (Pedic Fixation).	cle screw
<b>Table (7):</b>	Description of operative and post-odata among study group B cases (Pedic Fixation & Interbody fusion)	cle screw
<b>Table (8):</b>	Comparison between the 2 study gregard operative and post operative da	-
<b>Table (9):</b>	Description of pre-operative and post-operative and	cle screw
<b>Table (10):</b>	Description of pre-operative and post-opain among study group B cases (Pedic Fixation & Interbody fusion)	cle screw
<b>Table (11):</b>	Comparison between the 2 study gregard preoperative and post operative	

# List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Fig. (1):	Graphic rendering of oblique dorsal v L5 vertabra showing the parts of vertebral arch	of the
Fig. (2):	Clinical Anatomy of the Lumbar Spir Sacrum	
Fig. (3):	Pedicle entrance point in lumbar sp intersection of two lines	
Fig. (4):	Bony architecture of the lumbar lateral view	_
Fig. (5):	Drawing of 2 lumbar segments viewer an oblique angle	
Fig. (6):	Clinical Anatomy of the Lumbar Spir Sacrum	
Fig. (7):	Clinical Anatomy of the Lumbar Spir Sacrum	
Fig. (8):	Ligaments of the lumbar spine: Latera	al view 17
Fig. (9):	Clinical Anatomy of the Lumbar Spin Sacrum	
Fig. (10):	Schematic representation showing connection L4 and L5 nerve roots (nerves) in the extra foraminal through the furcal nerve	(spinal region
Fig. (11):	Cross section of body musculature fascia through L3 showing intrinsic musculature	spinal
Fig. (12):	Compression of disc leading to inc pressure in disc nucleus and deforma endplate	tion of
Fig. (13):	Motions and forces are described relathis coordinate system	tive to

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Fig. (14):	Spinal motion segment planes directions of motion and biomecha coordinate system and direction of and moments	anical forces
Fig. (15):	The effects of shear (A), torsion (B) tension (C) on the fibers of the a fibrosus	nulus
Fig. (16):	Transpedicular screws entry	37
Fig. (17):	Adult Lumbar Spine - Anteroposterior	View 48
Fig. (18):	Adult Lumbar Spine - Oblique View	49
Fig. (19):	A 48-year-old man presented 9 months an L4-5 microdiscectomy with persistent pain and right radicular pain in a distribution similar to his initial symptoms	t back oution
Fig. (20):	Generation I technique: one he catheter, no temperature monitoring outer disc	in the
Fig. (21):	Brantigan carbon cage, an example open box design	
Fig. (22):	TLIF (Transforaminal Lumbar Inte Fusion) (Banana) Cage	•
Fig. (23):	Bagby and Kuslich lumbar interbody to cage, an example of a threaded horizoglinder	zontal
Fig. (24):	Japanese Orthopaedic Associa evaluation system for lower back syndrome (JOA score)	pain
Fig. (25):	Visual analog scale	68
Fig. (26):	Figure Top loaded transpedicular screv	vs 74

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Fig. (27): Fig. (28):	Interbody fusion cages TLIF and PLIF Age, sex and body mass index in groups	both
Fig. (29):	Incidence of smoking, D.M and trau both groups	
Fig. (30):	Gender distribution in group A	84
Fig. (31):	Site of the sciatica in group A	84
Fig. (32):	Level of recurrence in group A	85
Fig. (33):	Gender distribution in group B	86
Fig. (34):	Site of the sciatica in group B	87
Fig. (35):	Level of recurrence in group B	87
Fig. (36):	Comparison between the 2 study groregard personal and medical data	_
Fig. (37):	Comparison between the 2 study groregard operative and post-operative da	-
Fig. (38):	Comparison between group A and B ir operative complication	-
Fig. (39):	Comparison between group A and regard operative time	
Fig. (40):	Comparison between pain in both grou	ıps 93
Fig. (41):	(A) plain X-ray anteroposterior view plain X-ray lateral view of the lumbor spine showing laminectomy of L4 a evidence of spinal instability. (C) plain lateral view in extension; both showing evidence of spinal instability	sacral nd no ain X- X-ray ing no

Fig. No.	Title	Page	No.
Fig. (42):	T2 weighted sagittal image so recurrent lumbar disc herniation at level with foraminal compromise	t L4-L5	99
Fig. (43):	T2 weighted axial image showing relumbar disc herniation at L4-L5 levencroachment on the left exit forame.	vel with	99
Fig. (44):	T1 weighted axial image with of showing recurrent lumbar disc her with encroachment on the left exit for	rniation	100
Fig. (45):	(A) plain X-ray anteroposterior viplain X-ray lateral view; both sinstrumented fixation of L4-l transpedicular screws and 2 rods. (CX-ray lateral view in flexion, (D) plail lateral view in extension; both sinstrumented fixation of L4-l transpedicular screws and 2 rods	showing L5 by C) plain in X-ray showing L5 by	101
Fig. (46):	(A) plain X-ray antero-posterior showing the laminectomy defect at plain X-ray lateral view of lumb spine showing no evidence of instability	r view L5, (B) posacral spinal	
Fig. (47):	T1 weighted sagittal magnetic resimage of lumbosacral spine structurent lumbar disc herniation at level.	showing t L5-S1	103
Fig. (48):	T2 weighted sagittal magnetic resimage of lumbosacral spine structurent lumbar disc herniation at level with compromise of the the roots.	showing t L5-S1 eca and	104

Fig. No.	Title Page No.
Fig. (49):	T1 weighted axial magnetic resonance image of the lumbosacral spine showing recurrent lumbar disc herniation at L5- S1 level with encroachment on the right exit foramen.
Fig. (50):	T2 weighted axial magnetic resonance image of the lumbosacral spine showing recurrent lumbar disc herniation at L5-S1 level with encroachment on the right exit foramen
Fig. (51):	<ul> <li>(A) plain X-ray anteroposterior view of the lumbosacral spine showing instrumented fusion of L5-S1 with transpedicular screws,</li> <li>(B) plain X-ray lateral view of the same level showing no evidence of instability</li></ul>
Fig. (52):	T2 weighted sagittal images of magnetic resonance of lumbosacral spines of the same patient done 6 months after the revision surgery showing no recurrence or significant residual disc material and no neural compromise
Fig. (53):	T2 weighted axial images of the L5-S1 level showing adequate decompression with no foraminal encroachment or root compression106
Fig. (54):	(A) plain X-ray antero-posterior view showing the laminectomy defect at L4, (B) plain X-ray lateral view of lumbosacral spine showing no evidence of spinal instability. (C) plain X-ray lateral view in flexion, (D) plain X-ray lateral view in extension; both showing no evidence of spinal instability

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Fig. (55):	T1 weighted sagittal magnetic reimage of lumbosacral spine recurrent lumbar disc herniation a level.	showing at L4-L5
Fig. (56):	T2 weighted sagittal magnetic reimage of lumbosacral spine recurrent lumbar disc herniation a level with foraminal compromise	showing at L4-L5
Fig. (57):	T1 weighted axial magnetic reimage of the lumbosacral spine recurrent lumbar disc herniation a level with encroachment on the riforamen.	showing at L4-L5 ight exit
Fig. (58):	T2 weighted axial magnetic reimage of the lumbosacral spine recurrent lumbar disc herniation a level with more encroachment on text foramen.	showing at L4-L5 the right
Fig. (59):	(A) plain X-ray anteroposterior view lumbosacral spine showing instruction of L4-L5 with transpascrews, (B) plain X-ray lateral viewsame level showing no evide instability	umented pedicular w of the ence of
Fig. (60):	Computed tomography axial cut window) of the instrumented level patient done 6 months after the surgery showing proper positionin pedicular screws and no compromis neural foramens.	s of the revision g of the e on the