



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرو فيلم

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



HANAA ALY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



HANAA ALY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغييرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



HANAA ALY



Accuracy of Transvaginal Ultrasound in Prediction of Latency Period in Women with Preterm Premature Rupture of Membranes

Thesis

*Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree
in Obstetric & Gynecology*

By

Ahmed Hamdi Mohammed El-Sefi

M.B.B.Ch., Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University – (2012)

Under Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Tarek Aly Raafat

*Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University*

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Shrief Abdelhamid

*Assistant Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University*

*Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University*

2021

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قَالَ

سُبْحَانَكَ لَا عِلْمَ لَنَا
إِلَّا مَا عَلَّمْتَنَا إِنَّكَ أَنْتَ
الْعَلِيمُ الْعَظِيمُ

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

Acknowledgments

*First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **Allah** the Most Beneficent and Merciful.*

*I wish to express my deepest thanks, gratitude and appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Tarek Aly Raafat**, Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his meticulous supervision, kind guidance, valuable instructions and generous help.*

*Special thanks are due to **Prof. Dr. Ahmed Shrief AbdElhamid**, Assistant Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his sincere efforts, fruitful encouragement.*

I would like to express my hearty thanks to all my family for their support till this work was completed.

Last but not least my sincere thanks and appreciation to all patients participated in this study.

Ahmed Hamdi Mohammed El-Sefi

List of Contents

| Title | Page No. |
|--|----------|
| List of Tables..... | 5 |
| List of Figures | 6 |
| List of Abbreviations..... | 8 |
| Introduction | - 1 - |
| Aim of the Work | 4 |
| Review of Literature | |
| ▪ Development and Anatomical Background of the Cervix..... | 5 |
| ▪ Preterm Pre-Labor Rupture of Membranes (PPROM) | 25 |
| ▪ Role of TVUS in Prediction of Latency Period in Women with PPRM | 69 |
| Patients and Methods..... | 102 |
| Results..... | 110 |
| Discussion | 129 |
| Summary..... | 130 |
| Conclusion | 142 |
| Recommendations | 143 |
| References | 144 |
| Arabic Summary | |

List of Tables

| Table No. | Title | Page No. |
|------------------|---|----------|
| Table 1: | Demographic characteristics of the studied cases | 110 |
| Table 2: | Basal measurements of the studied cases..... | 111 |
| Table 3: | Perinatal complications among the studied cases | 112 |
| Table 4: | Labor findings among the studied cases | 113 |
| Table 5: | Gestational age at enrollment and labor among the studied cases | 114 |
| Table 6: | Comparison according latency period (two days) regarding basal demographic characteristics | 116 |
| Table 7: | Comparison according latency period (two days) regarding baal measurements | 118 |
| Table 8: | Comparison according latency period (two days) regarding perinatal complications..... | 122 |
| Table 9: | Comparison according latency period (two days) regarding mode of delivery..... | 124 |
| Table 10: | Diagnostic performance of basal measurements in prediction of latency period within two days..... | 126 |
| Table 11: | Diagnostic charactersitcis of basal measures cutoff points in predicting latency period within two days | 127 |

List of Figures

| Fig. No. | Title | Page No. |
|-------------------|--|----------|
| Figure 1: | The Uterus and part of the Vagina | 6 |
| Figure 2: | Angles of anteflexion and anteversion | 7 |
| Figure 3: | Uterine Support Structures | 16 |
| Figure 4: | Cervical dilatation & effacement | 22 |
| Figure 5: | 1 st stage of labour (latent & active phases)..... | 24 |
| Figure 6: | Approach to transvaginal sonographic screening of cervical length in pregnancy and management of pregnant women with a short cervix..... | 78 |
| Figure 7: | Measurement of the cervix & funnel length..... | 86 |
| Figure 8: | Normal cervix..... | 87 |
| Figure 9: | Cervix in a woman with preterm labor..... | 88 |
| Figure 10: | Cervical length in curved cervix..... | 89 |
| Figure 11: | anterior uterocervical angle on transvaginal sonography. | 93 |
| Figure 12: | Posterior uterocervical angle on transvaginal sonography. | 96 |
| Figure 13: | Membrane separation on ultrasound..... | 98 |
| Figure 14: | Diagram of cervical funneling | 99 |
| Figure 15: | Normal T shaped cervix..... | 100 |
| Figure 16: | Funneling with Y shaped cervix | 100 |
| Figure 17: | U shaped funnel. | 101 |
| Figure 18: | Showing the posterior uterocervical angle in two patients on transvaginal sonography. | 106 |
| Figure 19: | Showing the anterior uterocervical angle in two patients on transvaginal sonography..... | 107 |
| Figure 20: | Transvaginal ultrasound measurement of cervical length. | 107 |
| Figure 21: | Perinatal complications among the studied cases. | 112 |
| Figure 22: | Mode of delivery among the studied cases..... | 113 |
| Figure 23: | Latency period among the studied cases | 115 |

List of Figures *cont...*

| Fig. No. | Title | Page No. |
|-------------------|---|----------|
| Figure 24: | Comparison according latency period regarding BMI..... | 117 |
| Figure 25: | Comparison according latency period regarding TLC..... | 119 |
| Figure 26: | Comparison according latency period regarding AFI..... | 120 |
| Figure 27: | Comparison according latency period regarding cerival length. | 120 |
| Figure 28: | Comparison according latency period regarding Posterior uterocervical angle. | 121 |
| Figure 29: | Comparison according latency period regarding anterior uterocervical angle. | 121 |
| Figure 30: | Comparison according latency period regarding perinatal complications. | 123 |
| Figure 31: | Comparison according latency period regarding mode of delivery..... | 125 |
| Figure 32: | Comparison according latency period regarding birth weight..... | 125 |
| Figure 33: | ROC curve for basal measurements in prediction of latency period within two days. | 126 |
| Figure 34: | Diagnostic charactersitcis of basal measures cutoff points in predicting latency period within two days. | 128 |

List of Abbreviations

| Abb. | Full term |
|---------------|--|
| ACOG | <i>American college of obstetrician and gynecologist</i> |
| AFI..... | <i>Amniotic fluid index</i> |
| AFP..... | <i>Alpha-fetoprotein</i> |
| AMH | <i>Antimullerian hormone</i> |
| AOR | <i>Adjusted odds ratio</i> |
| AUCA..... | <i>Anterior uterocervical angle</i> |
| BMI..... | <i>Body mass index</i> |
| BPP..... | <i>Biophysical profile</i> |
| BV..... | <i>Bacterial vaginosis</i> |
| CDC | <i>Centers for disease control and prevention</i> |
| CI..... | <i>Confidence interval</i> |
| CL..... | <i>Cervical length</i> |
| CPAP | <i>Continuous positive airway pressure</i> |
| CS..... | <i>Caeserian section</i> |
| FIBO..... | <i>International federation of gynecology and obstetrics</i> |
| GBS | <i>Group B streptococcal</i> |
| HIV | <i>Human immunodeficiency virus</i> |
| HSV..... | <i>Herpes simplex virus</i> |
| IGFBP-1 | <i>Insulin like growth factors binding protein 1</i> |
| IL-6..... | <i>Interleukin 6</i> |
| IVH..... | <i>Intraventricular hemorrhage</i> |
| L/S | <i>Ratio lecithin / sphingomyelin ratio</i> |
| MEMU..... | <i>Maternal fetal medicine unit</i> |
| MMPs | <i>Matrix metalloproteinase</i> |
| NEC | <i>Necrotizing entoocolitis</i> |

List of Abbreviations cont...

| Abb. | Full term |
|---------------------|---|
| <i>NICHD</i> | <i>National institute of child health and human development</i> |
| <i>NSTs</i> | <i>Nonstress tests</i> |
| <i>PAMG</i> | <i>Placental alpha micro globulin 1</i> |
| <i>PUCA</i> | <i>Posterior uterocervical angle</i> |
| <i>PMD</i> | <i>Paramesonephric duct</i> |
| <i>PP12</i> | <i>Placental protein 12</i> |
| <i>PPROM</i> | <i>Preterm prelabor rupture of membrane</i> |
| <i>PROM</i> | <i>Prelabour rupture of membrane</i> |
| <i>ROC</i> | <i>Receiver operating characteristics curve</i> |
| <i>RR</i> | <i>Relative risk</i> |
| <i>SMFM</i> | <i>Society for maternal fetal medicine</i> |
| <i>STDs</i> | <i>Sexually transmitted disease</i> |
| <i>TIMMPs</i> | <i>Tissue inhibitors of MMPs</i> |
| <i>TLC</i> | <i>Total leukocyte count</i> |
| <i>TVUS</i> | <i>Transvaginal ultrasound</i> |
| <i>VD</i> | <i>Vaginal delivery</i> |

INTRODUCTION

Prelabor rupture of membranes (PROM) refers to membranes rupture before the onset of uterine contractions (previously known as premature rupture of membranes); PPRM refers to PROM before 37+0 weeks of gestation. It is responsible for, or associated with, approximately one-third of preterm births and the single most common identifiable factor associated with preterm delivery (*Jantien et al., 2014*).

PPROM occurs in 3 percent of pregnancies approximately 0.5 percent of pregnancies <27 weeks, 1 percent of pregnancies 27 to 34 weeks, and 1 percent of pregnancies 34 to 37 weeks (*Jantien et al., 2014*).

The fetus and neonate are at greater risk of PPRM-related morbidity and mortality than the mother. Prematurity-related morbidity varies with gestational age and is higher in the setting of chorioamnionitis. Fetal exposure to intrauterine inflammation has been associated with an increased risk of neuro developmental impairment (*Soraisham et al., 2009*).

The initial evaluation of premature preterm rupture of membranes (PPROM) should include a sterile speculum examination to document ROM. Maternal vital signs should be documented as well as continuous fetal monitoring initially to establish fetal status. Ultrasonographic documentation of

gestational age, fetal weight, fetal presentation, and amniotic fluid index should be established. Digital examination should be avoided, but visual inspection of the cervix can accurately estimate cervical dilatation. Digital examination of the cervix with PPROM has been shown to shorten latency and increase risk of infections without providing any additional useful clinical information (*Simhan et al., 2005*).

If after initial evaluation of the mother and fetus, they are both determined to be clinically stable, expectant management of PPROM may be considered to improve fetal outcome. The primary maternal risk with expectant management of PPROM is infection. This includes chorioamnionitis (13-60%), endometritis (2-13%), sepsis (< 1%), and maternal mortality (1-2 cases per 1000). Complications related to the placenta include abruption (4-12%) and retained placenta or postpartum hemorrhage requiring uterine curettage (12%) (*Mercer et al., 2004*).

The key decision is whether to induce labor (or perform cesarean delivery) or to manage the pregnancy expectantly. Prolonged latency after PPROM at 23 to 34 weeks does not worsen neonatal prognosis (*Lorthe et al., 2017*).

So, Expectant management in the setting of PPROM, and in the absence of obstetric complications, is considered beneficial to the fetus by increasing gestation age at birth (*Morris et al., 2016*)

The term latency refers to the time between membrane rupture and delivery. Latency is an important factor for neonatal survival in these patients (*Park et al., 2006*). Studies showed that latency period after PPRM is associated with a higher infant mortality rate specially when occur before 30 weeks' gestation, with pulmonary disease being the major cause of death (*Pasquier et al., 2007*).

So having adequate knowledge about latency period after PPRM and conducting appropriate management such as early referring to well-equipped center, clinicians can save mother and fetus.

Digital vaginal examination is avoided in these women as it increases the risk of infection and shown to decrease the latent period of entering into labor (*Vermillion et al., 2000*).

Transvaginal sonographic assessment of cervix, although deemed to be safe, has not been much studied for the prediction of time to delivery in women having preterm premature rupture of membranes (*Tsoi et al., 2004*).

So, the objective of this study will be to assess the Accuracy of cervical parameters measured by transvaginal sonography, that is, posterior uterocervical angle, anterior uterocervical angle and cervical length in prediction of latency period in Women with Preterm Premature Rupture of Membranes.