



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرو فيلم

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



MONA MAGHRABY

Evaluation of The Effectiveness of The Use of Free Diced Cartilage in Dorsal and Tip Nasal Rhinoplasty

A Thesis

***Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree in
Otolaryngology***

By:

Hassan Mohamed Hassan Mahmoud

MB.B.Ch.

Faculty of medicine – Alexandria University

Supervised by:

Prof. Dr. Amr Gouda Shafik

Professor of Otorhinolaryngology

Faculty of medicine – Ain Shams University

Dr. Mohamed Naguib Mohamed

Lecturer of Otorhinolaryngology

Faculty of medicine – Ain Shams University

*Faculty of medicine
Ain Shams University*

2021

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قَالُوا سُبْحَانَكَ لَا عِلْمَ لَنَا
إِلَّا مَا عَلَّمْتَنَا إِنَّكَ أَنْتَ
الْعَلِيمُ الْحَكِيمُ

سورة البقرة

الآية: ٣٢

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

First of all, thanks to **Allah** Almighty the most merciful whose magnificent help was the main factor in completing this work.

I would like to express my very sincere gratitude to **Prof. Dr Amr Gouda Shafik**, for his valuable and constructive guidance, enthusiastic encouragement and useful critiques of this research work. His willingness to give his time so generously and his kind help to finish this work on schedule have been very much appreciated.

I would like also to express my deep appreciation to Prof **Dr Tamer Abd El wahab Abo El Ezz**, for his valuable suggestions during the planning and development of this research work. He spent lots of time guiding and helping me through each and every step of this research work.

And I would like to thank **Prof. Dr Mohamed Naguib Mohamed** for his inspiring and encouraging support through the development and reviewing of this research work. I am grateful for his honest help through this work.

I would also like to express my thanks and appreciation to **my family** and **my wife** for their support, attention, encouragement and patience.

LIST OF CONTENTS

Title	Page No.
ACKNOWLEDGMENT.....	i
LIST OF CONTENTS	ii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	iii
LIST OF FIGURES	iv
LIST OF TABELS	v
ABSTRACT	vi
 I. INTRODUCTION	 1
II. AIM OF THE WORK	4
III. REVIEW OF LITERATURE.....	5
✎ CHAPTER 1:AESTHETIC RHINOPLASTY	5
✎ CHAPTER 2:HISTORY OF RHINOPLASTY	17
✎ CHAPTER 3:TYPES OF GRAFT MATERIAL USED FOR RHINOPLASTY	20
✎ CHAPTER 4:FREE DICED CARTILAGE.....	31
IV. PATIENTS AND METHODS	34
V. RESULTS.....	39
VI. DISCUSSION	55
VII. CONCLUSION.....	61
VIII. RECOMMENDATION.....	62
IX. SUMMARY	63
X. REFERENCES	68
ARABIC SUMMARY	

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ATG	Autologous Tissue Glue
DCF	Diced Cartilage Fascia
FDC	Free Diced Cartilage
FHL	Frankfort Horizontal Line
LLC	Lower Lateral Cartilage
NOSE	Nasal Obstruction Symptom Evaluation
ROE	Rhinoplasty Outcomes Evaluation
SMAS	Superficial Musculoaponeurotic System
ULC	Upper Lateral Cartilage

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure no.	Title	Page
Fig. 1	The Frankfort horizontal position	6
Fig. 2	Horizontal thirds and vertical fifths on frontal view	7
Fig. 3	Nasal thirds	7
Fig. 4	Goode's method	10
Fig. 5	Baum's method	11
Fig. 6	Common nasal angles used for lateral view nasal assessment	12
Fig.7	Zero-meridian line and its relationship to the chin	13
Fig. 8	The oblique view and the brow-tip aesthetic line	14
Fig. 9	Basal view of the nose	15
Fig. 10	NOSE questionnaire	37
Fig. 11	ROE questionnaire	38
Fig. 12	Case No. 1 preoperative views (on the right sides) and postoperative views (on the left sides)	44
Fig.13	Case no. 2 preoperative views (on the right sides) and postoperative views (on the left sides)	46
Fig.14	Case no. 3 preoperative views (on the right sides) and postoperative views (on the left sides)	48
Fig. 15	Case no. 4 preoperative views (on the right sides) and postoperative views (on the left sides)	50
Fig. 16	Case no. 5 preoperative views (on the right sides) and postoperative views (on the left sides)	52
Fig. 17	Case no. 6 preoperative views (on the right sides) and postoperative views (on the left sides)	54

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title	Page
Table 1	Distribution of the cases according to sex differentiation	39
Table 2	Distribution of the cases according to type of surgery	39
Table 3	Distribution of the cases according to aetiology	40
Table 4	Distribution of the cases according to purpose of surgery	40
Table 5	Distribution of the cases according to type of skin	40
Table 6	Distribution of the studied cases according to NOSE score	41
Table 7	Distribution of the studied cases according to postoperative ROE score	42

Abstract:

Background: Rhinoplasty is considered one of the most challenging operations in the plastic surgery. Aesthetic reshaping purpose of the nose can be stressing for both surgeon and patient. Different types of graft materials have been used to perform augmentation rhinoplasty. Free diced cartilage (FDC) was established in dorsal nasal rhinoplasty for better handling of irregularities as well as contour deficits of dorsal nasal outcomes. The main purpose of the present study is to assess the effectiveness of the use of FDC in dorsal tip rhinoplastic surgery and evaluation of its advantages and disadvantages using the validated Nasal obstruction symptom evaluation (NOSE) scale and the rhinoplasty outcome evaluation (ROE) questionnaire to assess nasal obstruction and patient satisfaction.

Methods: this prospective study was conducted between March 2018 and December 2019, twenty patients were included and planned for rhinoplasty using FDC to camouflage dorsal and nasal tip deformities. All patients (11males and 9 females) underwent open rhinoplasty through inverted v columellar incision, taken FDC from nasal septum.

Results: A statistical significant difference between pre- and post-operative NOSE score was found ($p<0.001$). Postoperative rhinoplasty outcome evaluation scores ranged from 45 to 100 with mean \pm SD (83.15 ± 13.22). Excellent satisfaction was the most noted in 17 patients (85%), while 2 patients (10%) reported good satisfaction and 1 patient (5%) with acceptable satisfaction.

Conclusion: it was found that the FDC technique is an effective method for camouflaging and augmentation of dorsum and tip rhinoplastic surgery for either primary or secondary rhinoplasty.

Keywords: FDC, rhinoplasty, NOSE scale, ROE questionnaire.

INTRODUCTION

Rhinoplasty is still one of the challenging operations in plastic surgery. Reshaping the nose for functional or aesthetic purposes can be stressing for patients and surgeons (*Daniel, 2002*).

“Open” (external) and “closed” (endonasal) rhinoplasty procedures are always an issue of debate. From among different possible approaches, a surgeon have to select the one that provides the best aesthetic outcome (*Gruber et al., 2008; Daniel, 2009; Gruber et al., 2011*).

Surgeons have utilized a wide assortment of graft materials to achieve perfect rhinoplasty. The materials could be subdivided into two main categories: autologous and non-autologous materials (*Kreymerman & Fardo, 2008*).

Non-autologous materials can be additionally classified into synthetic and alloplastic materials. It should be noted that non-autologous grafts remain an obvious option for nasal dorsum augmentation. Its advantages are lack of donor-site morbidity, availability and fine immediate outcomes. However, significant cost to surgical procedure and sequelae such as extrusion, infections and displacement are the main disadvantages of such material (*Araco et al., 2006*). Whenever considerable structural

support is needed, autologous bone grafts are of pronounced advantage in reconstructive surgical procedure (*Lee et al., 2011*).

Autogenous cartilage is widely utilized in nasal augmentation surgery and is presumably regarded to be the ideal autologous grafting material because of deficit immunogenicity (*Bateman & Jones, 2000*) long-term survival rate (*Ortiz-Monasterio et al., 1981*), and malleability (*Araco et al., 2006*).

Diced cartilage graft method can avoid the problems of solid-cartilage graft owing to its small fragment size. The main challenge with solid cartilage graft use is the well controlled insertion of the graft and avoidance of post-operative visibility and mobility of the graft (*Oreroglu et al., 2014*). This type of graft obtained extensive popularity as soon as Erol has revived the application of diced cartilage grafts by “Turkish delight” technique in which the excised cartilage is finely diced then it is wrapped in oxidized regenerated cellulose, known as Surgicel. (*Erol, 2000*). Daniel has developed three different techniques, in 2008, of utilizing diced cartilage in rhinoplasty: diced cartilage only, diced cartilage wrapped within the fascia, as well as diced cartilage which covered with the fascia (*Daniel, 2008*).

In 2011 bullocks et al. introduced a new rhinoplasty technique using diced cartilage in combination with autologous tissue glue (ATG: platelet-rich plasma, fibrin glue). During fifteen

months follow-up period, lack of major complications such as infection, displacements , or rejection were reported, but some patients showed erythematous reactions lasting for about 1–4 weeks (*Bullocks et al., 2011*).

Recently, free diced cartilage (FDC) was established in dorsal nasal rhinoplasty aiming to better management of both irregularities and contour deficits of dorsal nasal sequelae. This technique was verified to control the dislodgment problem and it permitted proper fitness owing to its plasticity. Thus, free diced cartilage may be a promising method for decreasing any post-operative complications (*Kreutzer et al., 2017*).It could be utilized in association with autologous or allogenic fascia; or even diced cartilage graft fascia (DCF). Yet, it requires proper intraoperative expertise, skills and proper handling of the cartilage in order to avoid unnatural look of surgical correction (*Erol, 2017*). Preferences of such free diced cartilage are unlimited accessibility of grafting materials and rejection rarely was noted in comparison with other known procedures due to the use autologous material. It has low donor site morbidity. It allows surgeons to utilize a diced cartilage mass as spackling compound to fill in different abnormalities in every nasal part, especially the dorsum (*Kreutzer et al., 2017*).

AIM OF THE WORK

Assessment of effectiveness of the use of free diced cartilage in dorsal nasal and nasal tip rhinoplasty and evaluation of its advantages and disadvantages.