



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية  
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

# بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



**MONA MAGHRABY**



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية  
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرو فيلم



# شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرو فيلم



**MONA MAGHRABY**



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية  
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

# جامعة عين شمس

## التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

### قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها  
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



### يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



**MONA MAGHRABY**

# **Effect of different preparation depth for an inlay-retained bridge on the trueness and precision of intraoral digital scanners**

*- An in vitro study -*

*Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Requirements of the  
master's Degree of Science in Fixed Prosthodontics,  
Fixed Prosthodontics Department Faculty of Dentistry,  
Ain Shams University*

By

***Mohamed Khalid El Sayed***

*B.D.S Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams university (2013)*

*Dr.mohamedkhaleed@gmail.com*

*Phone number: (+20) 1010774177*

***Faculty of Dentistry  
Ain Shams University  
2020***

## Supervisors

### **Prof. Tarek Salah Morsi**

*Professor & Head of Fixed Prosthodontics Department  
Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University*

### **Dr. Ahmed Ezzat Sabet**

*Associate Professor of Fixed Prosthodontics Department  
Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University*

### **Dr. Kamal Khaled Ebeid**

*Lecturer of Fixed Prosthodontics Department  
Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University*



بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قالوا

سببنا أنك لا تعلم لنا  
إلا ما علمتنا أنك أنت  
العليم العظيم

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

# Acknowledgment

*First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **ALLAH**, the  
Most Kind and Most Merciful.*

*I'd like to express my respectful thanks and  
profound gratitude to **Prof. Tarek Salah Morsi**,  
Professor, Head of Fixed Prosthodontics Department -  
Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University for his keen  
guidance, kind supervision, valuable advice and  
continuous encouragement, which made possible the  
completion of this work.*

*I am also delighted to express my deepest  
gratitude and thanks to **Dr. Ahmed Ezzat Sabet**,  
Associate Professor, Fixed Prosthodontics Department,  
Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for his kind  
care, continuous supervision, valuable instructions,  
constant help and great assistance throughout this work.*

*I am deeply thankful to **Dr. Kamal Khaled  
Ebeid**, Lecturer of Fixed Prosthodontics Department,  
Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for his great  
help, active participation and guidance.*

*I would like to express my hearty thanks to all my  
family for their support till this work was completed.*

*Last but not least my sincere thanks and  
appreciation to **Dr. Yasmine Ashraf**, **Dr. Omar Effat**,  
**Dr. Mazen Atout** for helping me throughout this study.*

*Mohamed Khalid El Sayed*

# *List of Contents*

Title	Page No.
List of Tables .....	i
List of Figures .....	ii
Introduction .....	1
Review of Literature .....	3
Statement of the Problem .....	31
Aim of the Study .....	32
Materials and Methods .....	33
Results .....	54
Discussion .....	65
Summary .....	71
Conclusion .....	73
Limitations .....	74
References .....	75
Arabic Summary	





# *List of Tables*

Table No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Table (1):</b>	Representing equipment used in the study.....	33
<b>Table (2):</b>	Representing the characteristic of the scanners.....	34
<b>Table (3):</b>	Factorial.....	35
<b>Table (4):</b>	Descriptive statistics for root mean square (RMS) for trueness of different scanners and depths of preparation .....	54
<b>Table (5):</b>	Effect of different variables and their interactions on root mean square (RMS) for trueness .....	55
<b>Table (6):</b>	Mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD) of root mean square (RMS) for trueness in different scanner types.....	57
<b>Table (7):</b>	Mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD) of root mean square (RMS) for trueness of different depths of preparation .....	58
<b>Table (8):</b>	Descriptive statistics for root mean square (RMS) for precision of different scanners and depths of preparation .....	59
<b>Table (9):</b>	Effect of different variables and their interactions on root mean square (RMS) for precision.....	60
<b>Table (10):</b>	Mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD) of root mean square (RMS) for precision in different scanner types and depths of preparation .....	63

# *List of Figures*

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Figure (1):</b>	Classification of Impression Materials .....	3
<b>Figure (2):</b>	Components of accuracy explained graphically. ....	23
<b>Figure (3):</b>	Typodont acrylic cast showing group (b) sample .....	36
<b>Figure (4):</b>	Abrasive stones used for inlay preparation code:TR-018 .....	37
<b>Figure (5):</b>	Depth of group B sample measured at 3 mm.....	38
<b>Figure (6):</b>	Bucco-lingual width measured at 3 mm .....	38
<b>Figure (7):</b>	Width of the proximal box measured at 3.5 mm.....	39
<b>Figure (8):</b>	InEos X5 desktop scanner. ....	40
<b>Figure (9):</b>	InLab window showing reference model after scanning. ....	41
<b>Figure (10):</b>	The aquisition unit of Trios 3 shape .....	43
<b>Figure (11):</b>	The aquisition unit of the Omnicam. ....	45
<b>Figure (12):</b>	Window of the Cerec premium showing the model after scanning with the IOS Omnicam. ....	46
<b>Figure (13):</b>	Components of the Medit I500 scanning system. ....	47
<b>Figure (14):</b>	The reference scan and the scan of the IOS imported to the geomagic window .....	49
<b>Figure (15):</b>	The 2 models after initial and best fit alignment. ....	50

## *List of Figures (cont...)*

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Figure (16):</b>	The 3D comparison represented with a color map.....	51
<b>Figure (17):</b>	The generated report.....	52
<b>Figure (18):</b>	Box plot showing root mean square (RMS) for trueness of different scanners and depths of preparation .....	54
<b>Figure (19):</b>	Bar chart showing average root mean square (RMS) for trueness in different scanner types .....	57
<b>Figure (20):</b>	Bar chart showing average root mean square (RMS) for trueness of different depths of preparation .....	58
<b>Figure (21):</b>	Box plot showing root mean square (RMS) for precision of different scanners and depths of preparation .....	59
<b>Figure (22):</b>	Bar chart showing average root mean square (RMS) for precision in different scanner types and depths of preparation (A).....	64
<b>Figure (23):</b>	Bar chart showing average root mean square (RMS) for precision in different scanner types and depths of preparation (B).....	64



# INTRODUCTION

With the advancement of the digital dentistry over the last years, it becomes so important to evaluate all the computer aided design/computer aided manufacturing (CAD/CAM) devices.

Several intra-oral scanners have been introduced in the market and CEREC (Dentsply Sirona, Bensheim, Germany) was the first intraoral scanner to be introduced in the dental market and since then a great number of intraoral scanners have appeared with different technologies aiming for capturing scans with a high resolution and accuracy.

With the increased pace of life and the increased awareness and the esthetic demand and high expectation from both patients and dentists, also the development of CAD/CAM strategies in the production of restorations with superior performance and high quality from new biocompatible materials, impression taking of tooth preparation become essentially digitized since the fabrication of CAD/CAM based dental restoration demand a digital model.

The success rate of prosthesis depends on several factors, an accurate impression is one of the most important factor to ensure a proper prosthesis from a functional and esthetic aspects.

Conventional impression was utilized to be the sole solution for capturing intra oral data and send it to the laboratory where all the traditional steps were performed starting from disinfecting the impression to pouring, casting, investing down to fabrication of the prosthesis.

The digital process of construction of dental restoration will eliminate the drawbacks produced by the conventional impression such as the risk of storage and damage, the inconvenience and in appreciation regarding the patient, the prolonged overall treatment time and the risk of contamination.

Studying the accuracy of the intraoral scanners has an important role in developing the digital dentistry. The accuracy of impression is described as trueness and precision. Trueness is the ability of measurements matching the real image. Precision is the ability of measurements to be constantly repeated <sup>(1)</sup>.