

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم





HOSSAM MAGHRABY





شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



HOSSAM MAGHRABY



جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغيار



HOSSAM MAGHRABY

Comparison between the Effects of ultrasound guided local Insulin injections versus hydrodissection of normal saline in Carpal Tunnel Syndrome patients with diabetes mellitus

AThesis

Submitted for partial fulfillment of Master degree in **NEUROLOGY AND PSYCHIATRY**

By

Muhyadin Hassan Mohamed

M.B.B.ch Faculty of Medicine-East Africa University

Under Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Ramez Reda Moustafa

Professor of Neurology Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Assist. Prof. Dr. Amr Abdel monem Mohamed

Assistant Professor of Neurology Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Assist. Prof. Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Al-sadek

Assistant Professor of Neurology Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University 2021



Acknowledgments

First and foremost, I feel always indebted to Allah, the Most Beneficent and Merciful who gave me the strength to accomplish this work,

My deepest gratitude to my supervisor, **Prof. Dr. Ramez**Reda Moustafa, Professor of Neurology, Faculty of Medicine,
Ain Shams University, for his valuable guidance and expert
supervision, in addition to his great deal of support and
encouragement. Prof. Dr. Ramez he was not merely supervisor
for me he was my trainer I received from him a lot of knowledge
and experience I greatly appreciate his wish for transferring me
knowledge and experience I really have the honor to complete
this work under his supervision.

I would like to express my great and deep appreciation and thanks to Assist. Prof. Dr. Amr Abdel monem Mohamed, Assistant Professor of Neurology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his meticulous supervision, and his patience in reviewing and correcting this work.

I must express my deepest thanks to Assist. Prof. Dr. Ahmed Al-Sadek, Assistant Professor of Neurology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for guiding me throughout this work and for granting me much of his time. I greatly appreciate his efforts. Really Assist. Prof. Al-Sadek he was not only supervising me he also trained and teaches me skills and knowledge.

Special thanks to my Parents, my lovely Wife Dr. Fatma and all my Family members specially my big brothers Mohamed and Mohamoud, for their continuous encouragement, enduring me and standing by me.

🗷 Muhyadin Hassan Mohamed

List of Contents

Subject	Page No.
List of Abbreviations	i
List of Tables	iii
List of Figures	vi
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work	4
Review of Literature	
Anatomy	5
Carpal Tunnel Syndrome (Median Nerve Entrapment)	34
Role of the Ultrasound in the Diagnosis and Management of Carpal Tunnel Syndrome	60
Patients and Methods	83
Results	89
Discussion	121
Summary	129
Conclusions and Recommendations	131
References	132
References	

List of Abbreviations

Abbr. Full-term

ADM : Abductor Digiti Minimi

APB : Adductor pollicis brevis

BCTQ: Boston Carpal Tunnel Questionnaire

CB : Communication branch

CMAP : Compound Muscle Action Potentials

CSA : Cross-Sectional Area of median nerve

CSI : Combined sensory index

CT : Computed tomography

CTS : Carpal tunnel syndrome

DM : Diabetes Mellitus

DML : Distal motor latency

DSL : Distal Sensory Latency

DTI : Diffusion Tensor Imaging

EDX : Electrodiagnostic

FDP : Flexor Digitorum Profundus

FDS: Flexor Digitorum Superficialis

FSS: functional status scale

HBA1c: Hemoglobin A 1 C

HD : Hydrodissection

IGF-1 : Insulin-like Growth Factor-1

MCV : Motor nerve Conduction velocity

MGA : Martin Gruber Anastomosis

MN : Median Nerve

MNCS: Motor Nerve Conduction Study

MRI : Magnetic Resonance Imaging

NAP : Nerve action potential

NCS : Nerve Conduction Study

NCV : Nerve conduction velocity

NGF : Nerve Growth Factor

NPH: Neutral Protamine Hagedorn

NS : Normal saline

NUS : Neuroultrasonography

Samp : Amplitude, Sensory Amplitude

SD : Standard deviation

SNAPs : Sensory nerve action potentials

SNCS: Sensory Nerve Conduction Study

SPSS : Statistical package for social sciences

SSS : Symptom Severity Scale

TCL: Transverse Carpal Ligament

TSH: Thyroid stimulating hormone

UA : Ulnar artery

UN : Ulnar nerve

US : Ultrasonography

VAS : Visual Analogue Score

List of Tables

Table No	. Title P	age No.
Table 1:	Effect of pressure within carpal tunnel.	22
Table 2:	Comparison of the Seddon and Sunderla classification of peripheral nerve injury	
Table 3:	Clinical signs and symptoms of CTS	36
Table 4:	Differential diagnosis of CTS	38
Table 5:	Diagnostic Value of History and Physic Examination Findings for Carpal Tunn Syndrome	nel
Table 6:	Comparison between HD group at Insulin group according to the demographic data regarding age, se affected hand and duration of disease	eir ex,
Table 7:	Comparison between HD group at Insulin group according to their laborate tests regarding HbA1c% and TSH	ory
Table 8:	Comparison between HD group at Insulin group according to their clinic assessment regarding VAS score	nd cal
Table 9:	Comparison between HD group at Insulin group according to their clinic assessment regarding Tinel's sign, Phaltest and Reverse phalen test	eal en
Table 10:	Comparison between HD group at Insulin group according to their Bost Carpal Tunnel questionnaire (BCT) regarding SSS.	nd on Q)

Table 11:	Comparison between HD group and Insulin group according to their Boston Carpal Tunnel questionnaire (BCTQ) regarding FSS
Table 12:	Comparison between HD group and Insulin group according to their Boston Carpal Tunnel questionnaire (BCTQ) regarding sonographic CSA of median Nerve (mm ²)
Table 13:	Comparison between HD group and Insulin group according to their Electrophysilogical Assessment (Nerve Conduction Study) regarding DML (ms) and amplitude (µv)
Table 14:	Comparison between HD group and Insulin group according to their Electrophysilogical Assessment (Nerve Conduction Study) regarding MCV (m/S)
Table 15:	Comparison between HD group and Insulin group according to their Electrophysilogical Assessment (Nerve Conduction Study) regarding DSL (ms) and amplitude (µv)
Table 16:	Comparison pre injection and post injection according to Clinical Assessment, Boston Carpal Tunnel questionnaire (BCTQ), Sonographic CSA of median Nerve (mm2) and Electrophysilogical Assessment (Nerve Conduction Study) in HD Group

Table 17:	Comparison pre injection and post
	injection according to Clinical Assessment, Boston Carpal Tunnel questionnaire (BCTQ), Sonographic CSA of median Nerve (mm2) and Electrophysilogical Assessment (Nerve Conduction Study) in Insulin Group
Table 18:	Comparison between HD group and Insulin group according to their all parameters in pre injection
Table 19:	Comparison between HD group and Insulin group according to their all parameters in post injection
Table 20:	Comparison between HD group and Insulin group according to their failure rate

List of Figures

Figure I	Vo. Title	Page No.
Figure 1:	Transverse section at the level of the for the carpal tunnel	
Figure 2:	Transverse Carpal Ligament	8
Figure 3:	Course of the median nerve	9
Figure 4:	Berretini anastomosis	12
Figure 5:	Martin Gruber Anastomosis	13
Figure 6:	Marinacci communication	14
Figure 7:	Riche-Cannieu Anastomosis	16
Figure 8:	Anatomy of the brachial plexus	18
Figure 9:	A schematic presentation for vemechanism of carpal tunnel syndromedian nerve injury.	me and
Figure 10:	Anatomy of nerve	26
Figure 11:	A hand symptom diagram can be a tool in diagnosing carpal tunnel sync	
Figure 12:	Median—ulnar sensory latency diff to the ring finger (ringdiff)	
Figure 13:	Median-radial sensory latency different to the thumb (thumbdiff)	
Figure 14:	Median-ulnar mixed nerve palm difference from palm to wrist (palm	•
Figure 15:	Median–ulnar motor latency different intrinsics.	
Figure 16:	Martin Gruber Anastomosis	56

Figure 17:	MRI of Carpal tunnel58
Figure 18:	Ultra Sound of carpal tunnel64
Figure 19:	Diagram shows the "nervi nervorum," "vasa nervorum," and the postulated lymphatic drainage outside the epineurium 68
Figure 20:	Illustrates the needle position for method 1 of hydrodissection of nerves
Figure 21:	Illustrates the effect of bevel up position on the direction of flow of the injectate and tracking of the needle
Figure 22:	Illustrates the effect of the bevel down position on the direction of flow of the injectate and tracking of the needle
Figure 23:	The needle position for method 1 of HD of the common peroneal nerve
Figure 24:	The sequence of HD of the diseased nerve using method 1
Figure 25:	The method for using 1 needle entry for HD of 2 nerves running parallel to each other,
Figure 26:	The relative direction and movement of the needle with the nerve with method 2 for HD of nerves
Figure 27:	Ultrasonographic imaging of injection 80
Figure 28:	Bar chart between HD group and Insulin group according to age90
Figure 29:	Bar chart between HD group and Insulin group according to sex

Figure 30:	Bar chart between HD group and Insulin group according to VAS score
Figure 31:	Bar chart between HD group and Insulin group according to their clinical assessment regarding Tinel's sign
Figure 32:	Bar chart between HD group and Insulin group according to SSS
Figure 33:	Bar chart between HD group and Insulin group according to FSS 101
Figure 34:	Bar chart between HD group and Insulin group according to Sonographic CSA of median Nerve (mm2)
Figure 35:	Bar chart between HD group and Insulin group according to their Electrophysilogical Assessment (Nerve Conduction Study) regarding DML (ms)
Figure 36:	Bar chart between HD group and Insulin group according to their Electrophysilogical Assessment (Nerve Conduction Study) regarding Amplitude
Figure 37:	Bar chart between HD group and Insulin group according to their Electrophysilogical Assessment (Nerve Conduction Study) regarding MCV
Figure 38:	Bar chart between HD group and Insulin group according to their Electrophysilogical Assessment (Nerve Conduction Study) regarding DSL (ms)