



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



MONA MAGHRABY



Cairo University

OPTIMIZING HYDRAULIC FRACTURING PARAMETERS USING GENETIC PROGRAMMING

By

Esraa Osama Ibrahim Mousa

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfilment of the
Requirements for the Degree of

**INTERDISCIPLINARY-MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
GAS PRODUCTION ENGINEERING**

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2021

OPTIMIZING HYDRAULIC FRACTURING PARAMETERS USING GENETIC PROGRAMMING

By

Esraa Osama Ibrahim Mousa

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfilment of the
Requirements for the Degree of

**INTERDISCIPLINARY-MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
GAS PRODUCTION ENGINEERING**

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr.Eissa Shokir

Professor of Petroleum Engineering
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2021

OPTIMIZING HYDRAULIC FRACTURING PARAMETERS USING GENETIC PROGRAMMING

By
Esraa Osama Ibrahim Mousa

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfilment of the
Requirements for the Degree of

INTERDISCIPLINARY-MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
GAS PRODUCTION ENGINEERING

Approved by the
Examining Committee

Prof. Dr. Eissa Shokir,

Thesis Main Advisor

Prof. Dr. Mahmoud Abu El Ela,

Internal Examiner

Prof. Dr. Attia Attia,

External Examiner

-Dean of Faculty of Energy and Environmental Engineering, The British University in
Egypt

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2021

Engineer's Name: Esraa Osama Ibrahim Mousa
Date of Birth: 1/1/1994
Nationality: Egyptian
E-mail: esraausama069@gmail.com
Phone. : 01007764233
Address: 25-kasr al ainy street, Cairo
Registration Date: 1/ 10/2016
Awarding Date: --/--/ 2021
Degree: Interdisciplinary- Masters of Science



Department: Gas Production Engineering
Supervisor:

Prof Dr. Eissa Shokir

Examiners:

Prof. Dr. Eissa Mohamed Shokir, (Thesis Main Advisor)
Prof. Dr. Mahmoud Abu El Ela, (Internal Examiner)
Prof. Dr. Attia Mahmoud Attia, (External Examiner)
(Dean of Faculty of Energy and Environmental Engineering, The British University in Egypt).

Title of Thesis:

Optimizing Hydraulic Fracturing Parameters Using Genetic Programming.

Key Words:

Hydraulic Fracturing; Fracture Half-length; Fracture Pressure; Fracture Width; Genetic Programming

Summary:

The researcher studied the development of new three simple models to predict the hydraulic fracturing parameters using artificial intelligence technique (Genetic Programming). The main three parameters are Fracture Pressure, Fracture Half Length and the Fracture Width. Where the inputs of the first model for calculating the fracture pressure are: current pressure, porosity, permeability, depth, Young's modulus, Poisson ratio. The inputs for the fracture half-length model are: Fracture Pressure, young's modulus, permeability, reservoir thickness. The inputs for fracture width model are: Fracture Pressure, Poisson ratio, permeability, reservoir thickness. The models were built using 180 points and verified using Monte Carlo technique. In addition, it was verified against three actual case studies.

Disclaimer

I hereby declare that this thesis is my own original work and that no part of it has been Submitted for a degree qualification at any other university or institute. I further declare that I have appropriately acknowledged all sources used and have cited them in the references section.

Name:

Date:

Signature:

Dedication

I am dedicating this thesis to my beloved family, husband, friends, workmates and all those who helped me to complete this work.

Acknowledgements

Firstly, I'd like to express my gratitude to my patient and supportive supervisor Prof. Eissa Shokir who has supported me throughout my master's thesis.

I am extremely grateful for Prof. Mahmoud Abu El Ela (the internal examiner of the thesis) for his time and effort reviewing my work. Also, I would like to thank Prof. Attia Attia the Dean of faculty of renewable energy engineering at the British University in Egypt for his advices throughout the work.

Also, I would like to show my appreciation to Cairo University and specifically Gas Production Engineering Program for guidance and facilities.

Finally, I would like to thank my family and husband for always being there and their endless support.

Table of Contents

DISCLAIMER	i
DEDICATION	ii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	iii
LIST OF FIGURES	vi
LIST OF TABLES	viii
NOMENCLATURE	ix
ABSTRACT	xi
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	3
2.1 INTRODUCTION	3
2.2 THEORY OF HYDRAULIC FRACTURING	3
2.3 STAGES OF HYDRAULIC FRACTURING	6
2.4 FRACTURE JOB DESIGN	6
2.5 FRACTURE GEOMETRY	10
2.5.1 Fracture Half- Length.....	12
2.5.2 Fracture Width	12
2.5.3 Fracture Height.....	13
2.5.4 Fracture Pressure	13
2.6 FRACTURE PROPAGATION MODELS	15
2.7 ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE TECHNIQUES	17
2.8 EVOLUTIONARY ALGORITHMS	18
2.8.1 Genetic Algorithm.....	18
2.8.2 Genetic Programming	19
2.9 IMPLEMENTATION OF GENETIC PROGRAMMING	20
2.9.1 Crossover Operator in Genetic Programming.....	20
2.9.2 Mutation in Genetic Programming.....	21
2.10 ADVANTAGES OF GENETIC PROGRAMMING	23
2.11 APPLICATION OF GENETIC PROGRAMMING IN OIL AND GAS INDUSTRY	23
2.12 OPTIMIZATION OF HYDRAULIC FRACTURING USING AI.....	25
2.13 CONCLUDING REMARKS	26
CHAPTER 3: STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM AND METHODOLOGY	27
CHAPTER 4: BUILDING THE DEVELOPED HYDRAULIC FRACTURING MODELS	28

4.1 INTRODUCTION	28
4.2 DATA GATHERING.....	28
4.2.1 Reservoir Data	28
4.2.2 Geomechanical Properties	29
4.2.3 Fracture Design Data	29
4.3 DATA FILTERING	29
4.4 MODELS DEVELOPMENT	30
4.4.1 Genetic Programming Toolbox (GP TIPS)	30
4.4.2 Distribution of Data Sets.....	32
4.4.3 Optimum GP Parameters Used in Building the Models Using GPTIPS	34
4.4.4 Running the Model	35
4.5 MODELS STRUCTURE (DEVELOPED CORRELATIONS).....	35
4.5.1 Fracture Pressure Model Structure	36
4.5.2 Fracture Half-Length Model Structure	36
4.5.3 Fracture Width Model Structure	37
CHAPTER 5: RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS.....	38
5.1 INTRODUCTION	38
5.2 MODELS VALIDATION.....	38
5.2.1 Fracture Pressure Model Results	38
5.2.2 Fracture Half-length Model Results	42
5.2.3 Fracture Width Model Results.....	47
5.3 SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS	50
5.4 FIELD APPLICATIONS	55
5.4.1 Case Study I.....	55
5.4.2 Case Study II.....	56
5.4.2 Case Study III	57
5.5 DISCUSSION.....	58
CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	61
REFERENCES	62
APPENDIX I: Fracture Pressure Data & Calculations.....	62
APPENDIX II: Fracture Half Length Calculations & Data	71
APPENDIX III: Fracture Width Calculations & Data	74

List of Figures

Figure 2- 1 Hydraulic Fracturing Theory [9]	4
Figure 2-2 Mechanism of Hydraulic Fracturing [14].....	5
Figure 2-3 Effective Wellbore Radius, r'_w/X_f , Vs. F_{cd} [24].....	8
Figure 2-4 Fracture Design Parameters[40].....	11
Figure 2-5 Fracture Half Length [44]	12
Figure 2-6 Mini FracTest [49]	14
Figure 2-7 Step Rate Test [49]	14
Figure 2-8: Perkins, Kern, and Nordgren Model Geometry [52]	16
Figure 2-9: by Geertsma, de klerk, Khristianovic and Zheltov Model Geometry [52]	16
Figure 2-10 Genetic Algorithm Cycles [56]	19
Figure 2-11 Cross Over in Genetic Programming [58]	21
Figure 2-12 Mutation in Genetic Programming [58]	21
Figure 2-13 Genetic Programming Flow Chart [60]	22
Figure 2-14: Fitness History of the Best S-expression [60].....	23
Figure 4-1 Methodology Flow Chart.....	28
Figure 4-3MATLAB Run Summary Interface	36
Figure 5-1 Snapshot of the MATLAB Fracture Pressure Correlation.....	39
Figure 5-2 Deviation between the actual and predicted Fracture Pressure for the Testing data set	40
Figure 5-3 Deviation between the Field Data and predicted Fracture Pressure for the Training data set.....	40
Figure 5-4 Comparisons between the Field Data of Fracture Pressure and Predicted Fracture Pressure	41
Figure 5-5Absolute Average Relative Error (AARE or ARE) at Each Generation for the Fracture Pressure Model.....	42
Figure 5-6 Snapshot of the MATLAB Fracture Half Length Model.....	43
Figure 5-7 Deviation between the Predicted Fracture Half Length Using the Developed Model and Fracture Half Length Field Data for the Testing Data Points	44
Figure 5-8 Deviation between the Predicted Fracture Half Length Using the Developed Model and Fracture Half Length Field Data for the Training Data Points.....	44
Figure 5-9 Comparison between the Predicted Fracture Half-length Using the Developed Model and the Fracture Half-length Field Data.....	45
Figure 5-10 Absolute Average Relative Error (AARE or ARE) at Each Generation for the Fracture Half Length Model	46
Figure 5-11 Fracture Width Model Snapshot.....	48
Figure 5-12 Deviation between the Predicted Fracture by the Developed Model and the Fracture Width Field Data for the Testing Data Points.....	48
Figure 5-13 Deviation between the Predicted Fracture by the Developed Model and the Fracture Width Field Data for the Training Data Points	49
Figure 5-14 Comparison between the Predicted Fracture Width Using the Developed Model and Fracture Width Field Data	49

Figure 5-15 Absolute Average Relative Error (AARE or ARE) at Each Generation for the Fracture Width Model	50
Figure 5-16 Probability Distribution for the Fracture Pressure Model	52
Figure 5-17 Sensitivity Analysis of the Fracture Pressure	52
Figure 5-18 Sensitivity Analysis of the Fracture Length Model.....	53
Figure 5-19 Probability Distributions of the Fracture Width Model	54
Figure 5-20 Sensitivity Analysis of the Fracture Width Model.....	55
Figure 5- 21 Results of the Fracture Pressure Calculations for the three Case Studies	59
Figure 5- 22 Results of the Fracture Half-Length Calculations for the three Case Studies.....	60
Figure 5- 23 Results of the Fracture Width Results Calculations for the three Case Studies....	60
Figure A1-1 Fracture Pressure Validation	68
Figure A1-2 Fracture Pressure Model Gene Weight.....	68
Figure A1-3 Fracture Pressure Population Model	69
Figure A1-4 Model Tree Structure.....	69
Figure A1-5 Model Tree Structure II.....	70
Figure A2-1 Fracture Half Length Model Validation.....	72
Figure A2-2 Fracture Half Length Model Prediction.....	72
Figure A2-3 Fracture Half Length Gene Weigh.....	73
Figure A2-4 Fracture Half Length Population Model.....	73
Figure A3-1 Fracture Width Model Validation.....	75
Figure A3-2 Fracture Width Model Prediction.....	75
Figure A3-3 Fracture Width Gene Weight.....	76
Figure A3-4 Fracture Width Population Model.....	76