



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرو فيلم



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرو فيلم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



MONA MAGHRABY

**Durability of Bond Strength to Dentin Using Two
Universal Adhesives in Two Different Modes and
Different Degradation Conditions: An In Vitro Study**

Thesis

Submitted to Dental Biomaterials department
Faculty of Dentistry
Ain-Shams University

In partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Master
Degree in Dental Biomaterials

By

Amany Amin AbdAllah El Sayed Salem

B.D.S (Ain-Shams University 2011)
Instructor of Dental Biomaterials
Biomaterials Department
Faculty of Dentistry
Ain-Shams University

**Biomaterials Department
Faculty of Dentistry
Ain-Shams University
2021**

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. Dalia Ibrahim El-Korashy

Professor and Head of Biomaterials Department

Faculty of Dentistry

Ain-shams University

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Salah Nassif

Professor of Dental Biomaterials

Biomaterials Department

Faculty of Dentistry

Ain-Shams University

Acknowledgment

It's a pleasure to express my deep sense of gratitude to my mentor **Prof. Dr. Dalia Ibrahim El-Korashy**, Professor and Head of Biomaterials Department, Ain-Shams University, for her continuous encouragement and support. Thank you for not sparing any effort to guide and help me throughout this work. I have learned a lot from you on both the academic and personal levels.

I am deeply grateful to **Prof. Dr. Mohamed Salah Nassif**, Professor of Dental Biomaterials for his invaluable supervision, guidance and support during my study. Your professionalism and experience helped me a lot at every stage of the research project.

Special thanks to all my professors and colleagues in the Biomaterials Department for always being there for me. Your support has enabled me not only to complete this study, but also to make my time more enjoyable.

Dedication

I would like to dedicate this work to my parents for their unconditional love and without whom I wouldn't have reached this point. I can't be more thankful for having you in my life.

I would also like to thank my brother and sister for their continuous support and encouragement. You have always been there for me whenever I needed you.

Special thanks to my beloved husband for his endless support. It is a rare privilege to be married to someone so understanding.

Finally, I dedicate this work to my sons, you are such a huge blessing that I will always be thankful for.

List of contents

| | |
|--|----|
| List of tables | I |
| List of figures..... | II |
| Introduction | 1 |
| Review of literature | 4 |
| 1. Chemistry of dental adhesives | 4 |
| 2. Classification of dental adhesives..... | 9 |
| 2.1. Classification based on generations of bonding systems | 9 |
| 2.2. Classification based on the adhesion mechanism | 12 |
| 3. Development of universal adhesive systems..... | 22 |
| 3.1. Chemistry of universal adhesives..... | 23 |
| 3.2. The pH of universal adhesives | 27 |
| 4. Bond strength of universal adhesives to enamel and dentin..... | 28 |
| 5. Durability of universal adhesives | 30 |
| 6. Evaluation of bonding agents | 32 |
| 6.1. Macro-bond strength testing methods..... | 33 |
| 6.2. Micro-bond strength testing methods..... | 35 |
| 7. Scanning electron microscopic (SEM) evaluation | 37 |
| Aim of the study..... | 38 |
| Materials and methods..... | 39 |
| 1. Materials..... | 39 |
| 2. Methods | 40 |
| 2.1. Micro-shear bond strength testing | 43 |
| 2.2. Failure mode analysis..... | 48 |
| 2.3. Scanning electron microscopic evaluation of the tooth/ restoration interface | 48 |
| 3. Statistical analysis..... | 51 |
| Results..... | 52 |
| I. Results of micro-Shear bond strength (MPa) | 52 |

| | |
|---|-----------|
| II. Results of failure mode analysis | 65 |
| III. Scanning Electron Microscopic (SEM) evaluation results..... | 66 |
| Discussion | 73 |
| Summary and Conclusions | 83 |
| References | 86 |

List of tables

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Table 1: Materials used in the study, their description, composition, and lot number..... | 39 |
| Table 2: Three-way ANOVA for the effect of different variables and their interactions on the micro-shear bond strength (MPa)..... | 52 |
| Table 3: Mean and standard deviation (SD) values of micro-shear bond strength (MPa) for the effect of different aging methods | 53 |
| Table 4: Mean and standard deviation (SD) values of micro-shear bond strength (MPa) for the effect of different adhesives | 54 |
| Table 5: Mean and standard deviation (SD) values of micro-shear bond strength (MPa) for the effect of different etching modes | 55 |
| Table 6: Mean and standard deviation (SD) values of micro-shear bond strength (MPa) showing the effect of different aging methods, adhesives and etching modes | 58 |
| Table 7: Mean and standard deviation (SD) values of micro-shear bond strength (MPa) showing the effect of different etching modes within other variables | 59 |
| Table 8: Mean and standard deviation (SD) values of micro-shear bond strength (MPa) showing the effect of different aging methods and adhesives..... | 61 |
| Table 9: Mean and standard deviation (SD) values of micro-shear bond strength (MPa) showing the effect of different adhesives and etching modes | 62 |
| Table 10: Mean and standard deviation (SD) values of micro-shear bond strength (MPa) showing the effect of different etching modes and aging methods | 64 |
| Table 11: Failure mode analysis for different groups | 65 |

List of figures

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Figure 1:Materials used in the study | 40 |
| Figure 2: Flow chart for grouping of the specimens | 42 |
| Figure 3: The sectioned tooth with dentin surface flushing with the acrylic resin | 43 |
| Figure 4: Dentin surface with 2 specimens bonded to it after tygon tube removal..... | 46 |
| Figure 5: A: SD Mechatronic thermocycler and B: specimens in the basket to be thermo-cycled | 47 |
| Figure 6: A specimen during loading by universal testing machine with wire loop | 48 |
| Figure 7:Sputter coater..... | 50 |
| Figure 8: Scanning electron microscope..... | 50 |
| Figure 9: Bar chart showing the mean micro-shear bond strength (MPa) for different aging methods | 54 |
| Figure 10: Bar chart showing mean micro-shear bond strength (MPa) for different adhesives..... | 55 |
| Figure 11: Bar chart showing mean micro-shear bond strength (MPa) for different etching modes..... | 56 |
| Figure 12: Bar chart showing mean microshear bond strength (MPa) for different aging methods, adhesives and etching modes | 59 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Figure 13: Bar chart showing mean microshear bond strength (MPa) for different aging methods and adhesives..... | 61 |
| Figure 14: Bar chart showing mean microshear bond strength (MPa) for different adhesives and etching modes | 63 |
| Figure 15: Bar chart showing mean microshear bond strength (MPa) for different aging methods and etching modes..... | 64 |
| Figure 16: Light microscopic image 40X of the dentin surface after debonding | 66 |
| Figure 17: SEM image 3000X showing tooth /restoration interface in the control group | 68 |
| Figure 18: SEM image 3000X showing tooth/restoration interface after one year water storage | 70 |
| Figure 19: SEM image 3000X showing tooth restoratin interface after thermocycling..... | 72 |

Introduction

The success of the current esthetic restorations is greatly influenced by the quality of the bond between the tooth structure and the restorative material, so adhesive technology has been a subject of considerable research interest.⁽¹⁾

The main challenge for dental adhesives is the complexity of the tooth structure where enamel and dentin differ greatly in their composition. Enamel is composed of hydroxyapatite (HAp) (96% by weight), besides water and organic material (4% by weight). On the other hand, dentin is composed of HAp (45% by weight) besides collagen and water (55% by weight). In addition, dentin is a substrate that undergoes age changes affecting its structure and chemical composition. So, it is clear that bonding to dentin is much more challenging and requires more complicated and time-consuming application procedures. Hence, the limited durability of resin-dentin bonds severely compromises the clinical longevity of tooth-colored restorations.^{(2),(3)}

Besides, there are continuous attempts for simplification of adhesive protocols for a faster and less technique sensitive bonding procedures, so an adhesive system for all situations, referred to as ‘universal’ or ‘multi-mode’ adhesives have been introduced.⁽⁴⁾ Universal adhesives represent the last generation of adhesives in the market.⁽⁵⁾

They can be applied either with the etch-and-rinse or the self-etch technique according to the clinician’s preference, with claims by manufacturers that there is no compromise on bonding effectiveness to dentin when either bonding strategy is employed.⁽⁶⁾ Moreover, they

can be used with different adherent substrates including enamel, dentin, metal alloys and ceramics.⁽⁷⁾

The pH of universal adhesives greatly influences the long term stability and durability of the bond strength to dentin. Universal adhesives can be classified according to the pH into “mild” (pH > 2) or “ultra-mild” (pH > 2.5) or intermediately strong (pH approximately 1.5). Generally, lower stability of bonding to dentin has been reported to intermediately strong universal adhesives after aging due to the high acidity of residual monomers that continue to demineralize the dentin and further weaken the adhesive interface.^(5, 8)

The immediate bond strength of contemporary adhesives are quite satisfactory, however the long term durability upon aging is more critical and more clinically relevant.⁽⁹⁾ So, artificial aging techniques have been used to simulate the changes in the oral environment, the most common of which are the water storage and thermocycling techniques. Water is thought to play a major role in degradation of dentin-resin bond. In long-term water storage experiments, degradation is accelerated by hydrolysis of hydrophilic resin components and by host-derived proteases with collagenolytic activity. In addition, the restorations are clinically subjected to repetitive expansion and contraction stresses caused by temperature fluctuations within the oral cavity. These stresses have been proposed to affect the bonded interface. In thermocycling, the bonded specimens are subjected to cyclic temperature changes through water immersion.⁽¹⁰⁾

There are insufficient data in the literature regarding the effect of different aging methods on the performance of universal adhesives.

Moreover, there is heterogeneity in the results of already conducted studies testing the long term durability of universal adhesives.⁽¹⁰⁻¹⁶⁾

Hence, this study was designed to evaluate the durability of two universal adhesives; mild and ultra-mild, in both etch-and-rinse and self-etch modes, after simulated in vitro degradation by long-term water storage and thermocycling.