



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرو فيلم

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



MONA MAGHRABY



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF SCIENCE
GEOPHYSICS DEPARTMENT



Mineralization Significance of Airborne Gamma Ray Spectrometric and Magnetic Data of Gabal Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for
The Degree of Master Science (M.Sc.) in Geophysics

By

Salem Othman Mustafa Bourgieaa

To

Geophysics Department

Faculty of Science

Ain Shams University

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Sami Hamed Abd El-Nabi

Professor of Geophysics

Geophysics Department – Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University – Cairo – Egypt

Ass. Prof. Karam Samir Ibrahim Farag

Associate Professor of Geophysics

Geophysics Department – Faculty of Science Ain
Shams University – Cairo – Egypt

Cairo – 2020

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

With the aid and inspiration of Allah that guided and strengthened me, this dissertation was completed. I would like to express my gratitude to all those who have helped me in the last few years, but some people deserve special thanks.

First of all, I would like to thank my supervisors **Prof. Dr. Sami Hamed Abd El-Nabi**, Professor of Geophysics, Head of Petroleum Geophysics Program, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, for his guidance and great help in making this work perfect. Special thanks to **Dr. Karam Samir Farag**, Associate professor of Geophysics, Geophysics Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, for his help and support.

Finally, I would like to express my deepest gratitude and warm thanks to my wife for her support, encourage and patience in this journey which was really the main driving force for me not only to complete this work but also to live.

My Mother, all my family members and everyone else believed that I could do something good, deserve special thanks for their support in this last very tiring period. It feels good to know that they are always ready to help.

Salem Othman Mustafa Bourgieaa

ABSTRACT

The area under study lies in the Central Eastern Desert of Egypt. It covers about 1417 Km² in surface area and is located between Lat. 25° 10' 48" and 25° 32' 56" N and Long. 33° 56' 27" and 34° 18' 7" E.

The study area is characterized by both gentle and rough topography. It is traversed by many wadis (dry valleys) as W. EL Miyah, W. Umm Gheig, W. Umm Bisilla and W. El Jundi. The topographic values range from 404-1286 meters. The study area is primarily occupied by basement rocks that include various volcano-sedimentary rock associations and ophiolitic mafic-ultramafic rock associations. Quaternary sediments in the mapped area represented by wadi sediments are composed of detritus, sands, pebbles and rare boulders that are generally created by weathering of previously-existing basement rocks. The general structural setting of the area under investigation could be summarized to dominant trends of surface structural lineament in two main trends ENE-WSW and NNW-SSE and minor trends in NE- SW and NW- SE directions.

The radiometric gamma ray spectrometric data are in the forms of total counts (TC) in unit of (Ur), potassium (K) in (%), equivalent uranium (eU) and equivalent thorium (eTh) in (ppm). In addition of the ratios of eU/eTh, eU/K and eTh/K, these seven variables are then gridded, colored, and contoured for better qualitative interpretation of the radioelement distributions through the different surface exposed rock units. Zonation maps of TC, eU, eTh and 3D view of TC clearly demonstrate the contact and dimensions of alteration zone of albite granite of Umm Naggat pluton in terms of radiometric point of view. TC values range is from 28.3 to 75 in Ur, eU values range is from 11 to 38.1 ppm and eTh values range from 29 to 56 ppm and the dimensions is represented by two locality on oval shape. Both locality has E-W direction. Albite granite anomalous zone it could be considered as a primary target.

Factor analysis technique are used for carrying out the quantitative interpretation, with seven variables of TC, eU, eTh, K%, eU/eTh, eU/K and eTh/K to generate three factors F1, F2, F3, where those factors could facilitate the spatial correlation of features in the various data sets, and at the same time displayed variations which difficult to be determined. These factor scores are multiplied by 100 and then gridded, colored and contoured. Factor1

can be identified as the factor of integrated radioactivity or factor of uranium exploration. Factor 2 used to differentiate the rock type. Factor 3 refers to rock basicity.

Outlining uraniumiferous provinces zones was carried out in three ways according to Saunders and Potts (1976). Way one by compare the average of each rock unit with the published crustal average value. Way two construct point anomaly map and way three examining profiling over anomalous zones. The first way of defining anomalies is by calculating the average uranium values for each of the various rock units and comparing them with the published crustal average value for each rock type involved to find uranium-enriched units. A comparison of the calculated uranium average for each rock unit with its corresponding crustal average shows that the younger granites of the three plutons Umm Naggat, Umm Bisilla and El Unayji have uranium values exceed the crustal average of acidic rocks. Second way in outlining the uraniumiferous anomalous zone based on calculation for properties where their data differ significantly from the mean background of the data. The high anomalous values are considered as the values equaling or exceeding at least two standard deviations from the calculated arithmetic mean values ($X+2S$) & ($X+3S$) for eU measurements, for a single point in each rock unit. This acceptable technique was chosen for distinguishing between the normal and abnormal measurements, which could be considered as anomalous values according to (Saunders & Potts, 1976) technique for calculating the significant factor of each gamma-ray spectrometric variable in each rock unit. Thirdly, stacked profiles construction along selected flight lines for outlined uranium anomaly enrichment in order to facilitate the follow up of the response of anomalous zones on the different spectrometric channels plus the topographic channel. These profiles have been shown in flight lines, L2390, L2400, L2570, L2580, L2600, and L2610. Most of these lines show high eU, eU/eTh and eU/K channels. The result of these three ways highlight to the location of three anomalous zones. These anomalies are considered to represent the first priority for ground follow-up. It was evident throughout this work that, most of the identified gamma-ray spectrometric anomalies was found to be closely related to the surface faulting directions prevailing in the region.

The airborne magnetic data obtained from the survey over the mapped area has been analyzed by various techniques such as the reduction to the north magnetic pole (RTP), isolation of the regional and residual magnetic components using Gaussian filtering technique, calculation of the magnetic depth calculation. Three techniques of magnetic depth

calculation as the Source Parameter Image (SPI), Analytic Signal (AS) and Euler Deconvolution were applied. The deduced depth maps show that results are much closed to each other. The integration of all these techniques has been resulted in the construction of the interpreted magnetic basement tectonic map for the study area.

The constructed tectonic basement map shows that deep-seated structure of the study area oriented in NNW (Atalla trend) and WNW (Najd trend) as primary trends while the ENE to nearly E-W ranked as secondary trend, while the near surface structure of the study area oriented in NNW (Atalla trend) and N-S (East-African) as primary while the NE (Trans-African) to nearly NNE (Agaba) ranked as secondary trend.

Table of Content

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT -----	I
ABSTRACT -----	II
Table of Content -----	V
List of Figures -----	IX
List of Tables -----	XII

CHAPTER 1:INTRODUCTION

1.1. Location -----	1
1.2. Topography -----	1
1.3. Aim of the Study -----	2
1.4. Previous Work -----	4

CHAPTER 2:GEOLOGICAL SETTING

2.1.General -----	7
2.2. Litho-Stratigraphic Succession of the Surveyed Area -----	8
2.2.1.1.Serpentinities (SP) -----	10
2.2.1.2.Metagabbro-Diorite Complex (mg&mg) -----	11
2.2.1.3.Metavolcanics -----	11
2.2.1.4.Metasediments (ms) -----	12
2.2.1.5.Hammamat Sediments (ha) -----	13
2.2.1.6.Older Granitoids (Grey Granite -gα) -----	13
2.2.1.7.Younger Granitoids (Pink Granite -gβ& gγ)-----	14
2.2.1.8.Trachyte Plugs (TR) -----	15
2.2.2.1.Sedimentry Deposits (Quaternary) -----	15
2.3.Mineralizations -----	16
2.3.1.Umm Naggat Mineral Occurrence -----	16
2.3.2. Umm Samra-Umm Bakra Vein-Type -----	16
2.3.3.BIF of Gabal AL Hadid -----	17
2.3.4. Umm Bisilla Quartz Veins -----	18
2.3.5. Magnesite -----	18
2.3.6.Fluorite -----	18
2.4 Structure Setting -----	19
2.4.1.General -----	19
2.4.2. Trend Pattern -----	19
2.4.2.1.Trans-African trend (NE-SW) -----	20
2.4.2.2.Atalla trend (NNW-SSE) -----	20

2.4.2.3. Gulf of Suez - Red sea trend (NW-SE)-----	20
2.4.2.4. Meridional or east African trend (N-S)-----	21
2.4.2.5. Gulf of Aqaba trend (NNE-SSW)-----	21
2.4.2.6. Najd trend (WNW-ESE) -----	21

CHAPTER 3: AIRBORNE GEOPHYSICAL DATA ACQUISITION AND PROCESSING

3.1. General -----	22
3.2. Data Acquisition -----	22
3.3. Spectrometric Survey -----	26
3.3.1. Principle of Radioactivity-----	26
3.3.1.1. Types of Radioactive Decay -----	26
3.3.1.2. Natural Sources of Radiation -----	26
3.3.1.3. Disequilibrium -----	27
3.3.1.4. Interaction of Gamma Rays with Matter -----	27
3.3.1.5. Attenuation of Gamma-Ray Flux with Height -----	28
3.3.1.6. Altitude Attenuation Coefficients or STP Calculation -----	30
3.3.2. Radiometric Survey Method -----	31
3.3.3. Background Radiation -----	31
3.3.3.1. Cosmic Rays -----	31
3.3.3.2. Atmospheric Radioactivity Source -----	32
3.3.3.3. Radioactivity of the Measuring System -----	33
3.3.4. Instrumentation -----	33
3.3.4.1. Aircraft-----	33
3.3.4.2. Radar Altimeter-----	33
3.3.4.3. Voltage-to-Frequency Converter-----	34
3.3.4.4. Gamma-Ray Spectrometer -----	34
3.3.4.5. Detector Interface -----	35
3.3.4.6. Analog-to-Digital Converter-----	35
3.3.4.7. Analog Recording -----	35
3.3.4.8. Temperature Sensor -----	36
3.3.5. Instrument Calibration -----	36
3.3.6. Navigation System -----	37
3.3.6.1. Path Recovery Camera -----	37
3.3.6.2. Doppler Navigation System-----	37
3.3.7. Pre-Processing -----	38
3.3.8. Processing-----	38
3.3.8.1. Dead Time Correction -----	40
3.3.8.2. Energy Calibration -----	40
3.3.8.3. Cosmic and Aircraft Background Removal-----	40
3.3.8.4. Radon Background Removal -----	41

3.3.8.5. Stripping Correction-----	41
3.3.8.6. Height Correction-----	41
3.3.8.7. Conversion to Elemental Concentrations -----	41
3.4. Aeromagnetic Survey -----	42
3.4.1.General-----	42
3.4.2. Magnetic Properties of Rocks-----	42
3.4.3. Airborne Magnetometer -----	43
3.4.4. Base Station Magnetometer -----	44
3.4.5. Recording System -----	44
3.4.6. Airborne Magnetic Data Processing -----	44
3.4.6.1.Edit -----	44
3.4.6.2. Diurnal Correction-----	45
3.4.6.3. Heading Correction-----	45
3.4.6.4. Parallax / Lag Correction -----	45
3.4.6.5. International Geomagnetic Reference Field (IGRF) -----	46
3.4.6.6.Magnetic Adjustment (Leveling) -----	46
3.4.6.7.Tie-Line Leveling -----	46

CHAPTER 4:INTERPRETATION OF RADIOMETRIC DATA

4.1 General-----	48
4.2 Interpretation of Airborne Gamma-ray Spectrometric Data-----	49
4.2.1 Qualitative Interpretation of Aero-Spectrometric Maps -----	49
4.2.1.1 Total-count (TC) Contour Map-----	50
4.2.1.2 Potassium (K%) Contour Map -----	54
4.2.1.3 Equivalent Uranium (eU) Contour Map -----	54
4.2.1.3 Equivalent Thorium (eTh) Contour-----	59
4.2.1.4 Equivalent Uranium/Thorium (eU/eTh) Ratio Contour Map: -----	62
4.2.1.5 Equivalent Uranium /Potassium (eU/K) Ratio Contour Map: -----	62
4.2.1.6 Equivalent Thorium / Potassium (eTh/K) Ratio Contour Map: -----	62
4.2.2 Ternary Composite Maps -----	66
4.2.2.1 The Ternary Composite Maps: -----	66
4.2.2.2 Potassium Composite Map-----	67
4.2.2.3 Equivalent Uranium Composite Map-----	68
4.2.2.4 Equivalent Thorium Composite Map-----	68
4.2.2 Quantitative Interpretation of Gamma-Ray Spectrometric Maps -----	74
4.2.2.1 Factor Analysis Technique-----	74
i-Factor1: -----	77
ii-Factor2: -----	78
iii-Factor3:-----	79
4.2.2.2 Outlining Uraniferous Provinces: -----	83
I-The First Way : -----	83

II-The Second Way :-----	85
III-The Third Way-----	88
4.3. Relation of Anomalous Zones and Topography-----	96
4.4. Relation of Anomalous Zones and Fault Pattern-----	96

CHAPTR 5:INTERPRETATION OF MAGNETIC DATA

5.1.General-----	99
5.2.Qualitative Interpretation-----	100
5.2.1.Total Intensity Magnetic Map -----	101
5.2.2. Removal of the Earth's Regional Gradient-----	103
-----	104
5.2.3.Reduction to the North Magnetic Pole -----	105
5.2.4.Zonataion Map of RTP -----	107
5.2.4.1. Low Magnetic Zone (-974nT to -50nT)-----	107
5.2.4.2. Intermediate Magnetic Zone (-50nT to 80nT)-----	107
5.2.4.2. High Magnetic Zone (80nT to 2070nT)-----	107
5.2.4.The Energy (Power) Spectrum -----	109
5.2.4.Gaussian Regional / Residual Filter -----	111
5.2.5. Residual Magnetic Component Map-----	111
5.2.6. Regional Magnetic Component Map -----	112
5.3.Quantitative Interpretation of the Aeromagnetic Data -----	115
5.3.1. Magnetic Depth Calculations -----	115
5.3.1.1. Analytic Signal (AS) -----	115
5.3.1.2. Source Parameter Imaging (SPI) Technique-----	118
5.3.1.3. 3D Euler Deconvolution Method-----	120
5.3.2 Interpreted Magnetic Subsurface Structure Map-----	123
5.3.2.1.Tilt Derivative (TD)-----	123
5.3.2.2. Horizontal Tilt Derivative (TDX) -----	126
5.3.2.3. Structural Interpretation -----	129
5.3.2.3.1.General -----	129
5.3.2.3.2.Deep Seated Structure map. -----	130
5.3.2.3.3.Shallow Seated Structure map -----	132
CONCLUSION -----	135
REFERENCES -----	140
الملخص العربى-----	أ

List of Figures

Figure No.	Title	Page
Fig.1.1	Location Map of the Study Area of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt	1
Fig.1.2	Topography and Drainage Map of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt.	3
Fig.2.1	Geologic Map of Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt (Modified After Conoco, 1987).	9
Fig.2.2	Mineralized Zone of Umm Samra-Umm Bakra at G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt (After Ibrahim et al. 2017).	17
Fig.2.3	Azimuth Rose Diagram of The Structural Element Deduced from Geological Map of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt.	19
Fig.3.1	Index Map of The Airborne Magnetic and Gamma-Ray Spectrometric Survey of Area II, Block 71, G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert Egypt (after Aero Service 1984).	23
Fig.3.2	Flight Path Map of Airborne Magnetic and Gamma Ray Spectrometric Survey of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt (after Aero Service 1984).	25
Fig.3.3	The Interaction of Gamma Rays With Matter (after IAEA, 2003)	28
Fig.3.4	Variation in Count Rate With Flight Altitude (After IAEA, 2003)	30
Fig.3.5	Aircraft Used in the Study Area, Cessna Titan, Type 404	34
Fig.3.6	Standard Flow Chart for Gamma-Ray Spectrometric Data Processing (after IAEA1991)	39
Fig.3.7	Index Map of Aeromagnetic Data Block71 of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt (after Aero Service 1984).	46
Fig.4.1	Typical Gamma-Ray Spectrum of the Three Radioelements, Potassium, Uranium and Thorium with The Energy Windows Used to Detect Them (after IAEA, 1991).	48
Fig.4.2	Filled Color Total Count (TC) Contour Map of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt (after Aero Service 1984).	51
Fig.4.3	Visualized Five Zonal Area of Total Count (TC) Map of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt.	52
Fig.4.4	3D View of Total Count Map, of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt.	53
Fig.4.5	Filled Color Potassium (K%) Contour Map of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt (after Aero Service 1984).	55
Fig.4.6	Visualized Three Zonal Area of Potassium (K%) Map of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt.	56
Fig.4.7	Filled Color Equivalent Uranium (eU) Contour Map, of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt (after Aero Service 1984).	57
Fig.4.8	Visualized Four Zonal Area of Equivalent Uranium (eU) Map of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt.	58

Fig.4.9	Filled Color Equivalent Thorium (eTh) Contour Map of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt (after Aero Service 1984).	60
Fig.4.10	Visualized Five Zonal Area of Equivalent Thorium (eTh) Map of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt.	61
Fig.4.11	Filled Color (eU/eTh) ratio Contour Map of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt (after Aero Service 1984).	63
Fig.4.12	Filled Color (eU/k) ratio Contour Map of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt (after Aero Service 1984).	64
Fig.4.13	Filled Color (eTh/K) ratio Contour Map of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt (after Aero Service 1984).	65
Fig.4.14	False Color Ternary Composite map, of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt.	70
Fig.4.15	False Color Potassium Composite Map, of G. Umm Naggat, Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt.	71
Fig.4.16	False Color Uranium Composite map, of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt.	72
Fig.4.17	False Color Thorium Composite Map, of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt.	73
Fig.4.18	Filled Color Factor (1) Contour of Map of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt.	80
Fig.4.19	Filled Color Factor (2) Contour of Map of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt.	81
Fig.4.20	Filled Color Factor (3) Contour of Map of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt.	82
Fig.4.21	Representative Graph of Calculated Background Mean (X) of Radioelements, for The Different Rock Units of the Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt.	84
Fig.4.22	The Uranium Point Anomaly Map, of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt	86
Fig.4.23	Detailed Point Anomaly Map of Anomalous Enrichment of G. Umm Naggat Pluton, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt.	87
Fig.4.24	Stacked Profile taken along Flight Line 2610 (After Aero Service, 1984).	90
Fig.4.25	Stacked Profile taken along Flight Line 2600 (After Aero Service, 1984).	91
Fig.4.26	Stacked Profile taken along Flight Line 2570 (After Aero Service, 1984).	92
Fig.4.27	Stacked Profile taken along Flight Line 2580 (After Aero Service, 1984).	93
Fig.4.28	Stacked Profile taken along Flight Line 2390 (After Aero Service, 1984).	94
Fig.4.29	Stacked Profile taken along Flight Line 2400 (After Aero Service, 1984).	95
Fig.4.30	Stream Order Superimposed On Point Anomaly Map of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt.	97
Fig.4.31	Fault Pattern Superimposed On Point Anomaly Map of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt.	98

Fig.5.1	Total Intensity Aeromagnetic Map of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt (After Aero Service, 1984).	102
Fig.5.2	Zero Levelled Total Intensity Aeromagnetic Map of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt (After Aero Service, 1984).	104
Fig.5.3	Reduction to The North Pole (RTP) Aeromagnetic Intensity Map of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt (After Aero Service, 1984).	106
Fig.5.4	Zonation of RTP Magnetic Intensity Map of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt.	108
Fig.5.5	Radially Averaged Power Spectrum and Depth Estimation of The RTP Aeromagnetic Intensity Map of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt.	111
Fig.5.6	Residual RTP Aeromagnetic Intensity Map of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt.	113
Fig.5.7	Regional RTP Aeromagnetic Intensity Map of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt.	114
Fig.5.8	Filled Color Analytical Signal Contour Map of The RTP Magnetic Intensity of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt.	117
Fig.5.9	Filled Color Source Parameter Image Contour Map of The RTP Magnetic Intensity of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt.	119
Fig.5.10	3D Euler Deconvolution with SI (0) of The RTP Magnetic Intensity Map of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt.	122
Fig.5.11	Tilt Derivative Map of the Regional of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt.	124
Fig.5.12	Tilt Derivative Map of the Residual of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt.	125
Fig.5.13	3D Euler Solution of Index (SI=0) superimposed on Residual Total Horizontal Tilt Derivative (THDR_TDR) map of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt.	127
Fig.5.14	3D Euler Solution of Index (SI=0) superimposed on Regional Total Horizontal Tilt Derivative (THDR_TDR) map of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt.	128
Fig.5.15	Interpreted Deep Seated Structure of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt.	131
Fig.5.16	Azimuth Rose Diagram of Deep Seated Structure of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt.	132
Fig.5.17	Interpreted Shallow Seated Structure of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt.	133
Fig.5.18	Azimuth Rose Diagram of Shallow Seated Structure of G. Umm Naggat Area, Central Eastern Desert, Egypt.	134