



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية  
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرو فيلم

# بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



**MONA MAGHRABY**



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية  
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرو فيلم



# شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرو فيلم



**MONA MAGHRABY**



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية  
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

# جامعة عين شمس

## التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

### قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها  
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



### يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



**MONA MAGHRABY**

# **A New Technique for Sacrospinous-Sacrospinous Fixation for the Treatment of Uterovaginal and Vaginal Vault Prolapse A Randomized Controlled Trial**

*A Thesis*

*Submitted for partial fulfillment of the M.D degree  
in Obstetrics and Gynecology*

**By**

**Mahmoud Amr Abdel Aziz Nadim**

M.B.B.Ch (2012), M.Sc. (2016), Obstetrics and Gynecology  
Assistant lecturer - Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

*Under Supervision of*

**Prof. Hassan Awwad Bayoumy Mostafa**

*Professor and Head of the Department  
of Obstetrics & Gynecology  
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University*

**Prof. Hazem Mohamed Bayoumy Sammour**

*Professor of Obstetrics & Gynecology  
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University*

**Prof. Hisham Ahmed Kamal Ashour**

*Visiting Professor of Obstetrics & Gynecology  
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University*

**Dr. Mohamed Samir Eid Sweed**

*Assistant Professor of Obstetrics & Gynecology  
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University*

**Dr. Osama Ismail Kamel Ibrahim**

*Lecturer in Obstetrics & Gynecology  
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University*

**Faculty of Medicine  
Ain Shams University**

**2021**

## *Acknowledgement*

I am Praising **ALLAH** the most merciful for the blessings embracing me throughout my life.

Foremost, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my lead supervisors **Professor Hassan Awwad, Professor Hazem Sammour and Professor Hisham Ashour**, for the continuous support of my MD study and research, for their patience, motivation, enthusiasm, and immense knowledge. Their guidance helped me in all the time of research and writing of this thesis. I could not have imagined having better mentors and advisors for my study.

I would like to extend my gratitude to **Ass. Professor Mohamed Sweed and Dr. Ossama Ismail**, for their encouragement, insightful comments, and thorough revision and modifications they made to have this thesis completed in its final form.

My sincere thanks also goes to my colleagues in Egypt and Germany for the stimulating discussions, for the sleepless nights we were working together, and for all the fun we have had in the last two years.

To all the wonderful women in Egypt and Germany who accepted to be part of this study: Warm thanks and gratitude for entrusting me with your pains and problems and for having so willingly be kind during the period of follow up.

Last but not the least, I would like to thank my family: my parents for supporting me in every possible way throughout my life, **Rola** my wife for being beside me despite the pains she endured during her pregnancy and childbirth which happened during the preparation of this thesis and my parents in-law for their infinite support and dedication.

## Table of Contents

<b>Acknowledgement</b>	i
<b>Table of Contents</b>	ii
<b>List of Figures</b>	v
<b>List of Tables</b>	vi
<b>The Protocol of the Thesis</b>	vii
<b>Introduction</b>	1
<b>Aim of the work</b>	5
<b>Review of The Literature</b>	6
<b>Surgical Anatomy of Pelvic Organ Support</b>	6
▪ <b>The Ischial Spine</b>	6
▪ <b>The Sacrospinous Ligament</b>	8
▪ <b>The Sacrotuberous Ligament</b>	9
▪ <b>The Pelvic Muscles</b>	9
▪ <b>Obturator Anatomy</b>	16
▪ <b>Avascular Planes of The Female Pelvis</b>	18
▪ <b>The Delancey Levels of Pelvic Organ Support</b>	24
▪ <b>Nerves of the Pelvis</b>	27
▪ <i>Nerve entrapment – Neuroanatomy in association with Sacrospinous Ligament Fixation</i>	28
<b>Pelvic Organ Prolapse</b>	30
▪ <b>Making the Point About Terminology</b>	30
▪ <b>Prevalence</b>	32
▪ <b>Who Are at Risk?</b>	35
▪ <i>Other risk factors</i>	41
▪ <b>Prevention</b>	43
▪ <b>Clinical Manifestations</b>	44
▪ <b>Staging Pelvic Organ Prolapse</b>	50
▪ <b>POPQ system</b>	51
▪ <b>Baden-Walker system</b>	53

▪ <b>Diagnosis</b>	54
▪ <i>Symptom and Medical History</i>	54
▪ <i>Setting up the examination</i>	55
▪ Vaginal Topography Using the POPQ system	60
▪ Staging using the POP-Q system	65
▪ Modifications of The POPQ System and Reporting POPQ Results- The Simplified POP-Q	66
▪ Additional Examination Techniques	68
<b>Approach to Management of Pelvic Organ Prolapse</b>	77
<b>Surgical Repair of Apical Prolapse</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Terminology</li> <li>▪ Anatomy and Mechanisms of Injury</li> <li>▪ Risk Factors</li> <li>▪ Candidates for Apical Prolapse Repair</li> <li>▪ Preoperative Evaluation and Preparation</li> <li>▪ Surgical Planning <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Abdominal Versus Vaginal Approach</li> <li>○ Who will benefit from abdominal repair?</li> <li>○ Who will benefit from vaginal repair?</li> </ul> </li> <li>▪ Anatomic outcome</li> <li>▪ Postoperative prolapse symptoms</li> <li>▪ Reoperation rates</li> <li>▪ Complication rates</li> <li>▪ Risk of mesh or suture complications</li> <li>▪ Risk of postoperative dyspareunia</li> <li>▪ Length of surgery</li> <li>▪ Concomitant repair of other sites of prolapse</li> </ul>	82

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Uterus preservation</li> <li>▪ Concomitant surgery for stress urinary incontinence</li> </ul>	
<b>Evaluating Apical Prolapse Procedures</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Abdominal Sacrocolpopexy</li> <li>○ Vaginal Surgical Approach</li> </ul>	104
<b>Vaginal Procedures for Apical Prolapse</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Sacrospinous Ligament Suspension or Sacrospinous Ligament Fixation (SSLS)</li> <li>○ Uterosacral Ligament Suspension</li> </ul>	115
<b>Patients and Methods</b>	136
<b>Results</b>	157
<b>Discussion</b>	166
<b>Conclusion &amp; Recommendations</b>	177
<b>Summary</b>	178
<b>References</b>	182
<b>Arabic Summary</b>	



## List of Figures

<b>Figure No.</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
Figure (1)	The Muscles of the Pelvic Floor	13
Figure (2)	The Avascular Spaces of the Pelvis	24
Figure (3)	Delancey Levels of Pelvic Organ and Vagina Support	27
Figure (4)	The points of the POP-Q system for quantitation of Pelvic Organ Prolapse	64
Figure (5)	CONSORT 2010 flow diagram showing the recruitment and handling of the study population during the study.	140
Figure (6)	The Descending rectal pillar is perforated opening up the pararectal space	144
Figure (7)	The sacrospinous ligament, levator ani and arcus tendinous pelvis	144
Figure (8)	The medial Breisky's retractor is protecting the rectum	145
Figure (9)	Holding the needle on the needle holder	146
Figure (10)	Taking a bite through the sacrospinous ligament	146
Figure (11)	A nerve for zone to take the bite at the sacrospinous -Sacrotuberous intersection	147
Figure (12)	Testing the suture stability	148
Figure (13)	The Pelvisoft® (Collagen) Biomesh	149
Figure (14)	The pulley sutures are being passed into the mesh	150
Figure (15)	The mesh is used to reinforce the vagina	150
Figure (16)	The Deschamps ligature carrier taking a bite through the sacrospinous ligament	152
Figure (17)	Line graph for the change in the POP-Q stage in the two groups.	162

## List of Tables

<b>Table No</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
Table (1)	Complications arising due to sacrospinous fixation	124
Table (2)	A summary of the major modifications in the new technique	154
Table (3)	Comparison between the study groups regarding the basic demographic Characteristics	158
Table (4)	Comparison between the study groups regarding the basal clinical characteristics.	159
Table (5)	Comparison between the study groups regarding the operative interventions	160
Table (6)	Comparison between study groups regarding postoperative pelvic organ prolapse.	162
Table (7)	Comparison between study groups regarding the operative time for the repair of apical prolapse.	163
Table (8)	Comparison between study groups regarding the incidence of surgical complications intra-operatively and during the follow-up period.	165

**A new technique for Sacrospinous-  
Sacrotuberous fixation for the treatment of  
Uterovaginal and Vaginal Vault Prolapse  
A Randomized Controlled Trial**

*A Protocol of a Thesis*

*Submitted for partial fulfillment of the M.D degree  
in Obstetrics and Gynecology*

*By*

**Mahmoud Amr Abdel Aziz Nadim**

*M.B.B.Ch (2012), M.Sc. (2016), Obstetrics and Gynecology  
Assistant lecturer - Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University*

*Under Supervision of*

**Prof. Hassan Awwad Bayoumi Mostafa**

*Professor of Obstetrics & Gynecology  
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University*

**Prof. Hazem Mohamed Sammour**

*Professor of Obstetrics & Gynecology  
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University*

**Prof. Hisham Ahmed Kamal Ashour**

*Visiting Professor of Obstetrics & Gynecology  
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University*

**Dr. Mohamed Samir Eid Sweed**

*Assistant Professor of Obstetrics & Gynecology  
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University*

**Dr. Osama Ismail Kamel Ibrahim**

*Lecturer in Obstetrics & Gynecology  
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University*

**Faculty of Medicine  
Ain Shams University  
2017**

## Introduction

The prolapse of one or several pelvic organs is a condition that has been known by medicine since its early days, and different therapeutic approaches have been proposed and accepted. But one of the main problems concerning the prolapse of pelvic organs is the need for a universal, clear and reliable staging method. **Pelvic Organ Prolapse Quantification system (POP-Q)** refers to an objective, site-specific system for describing, quantifying, and staging pelvic support in women (**Bump et. al., 2011**).

It provides a standardized tool for documenting, comparing, and communicating clinical findings with proven interobserver and intraobserver reliability. The POP-Q system gained the attention of the specialists all over the world, being approved by the International Continence Society (ICS), the American Urogynecologic Society (AUGS), and the Society of Gynecologic Surgeons for the description of female pelvic organ prolapse. It is the most common system used by gynecologists and urogynecologists, although other systems have been devised (**Muir et. al., 2007**).

**Vaginal prolapse is associated with weakness of pelvic floor** due to childbirth and postmenopausal atrophy. The upper vagina is suspended in the pelvis by the caudal portion of the cardinal uterosacral ligament complex. These ligaments attach the cervix and upper vagina to the pelvic wall in the area of the greater sciatic foramen (**Monk et. al., 2004**). When these suspensory fibers are damaged, the cervix, upper vagina prolapses downward away from the greater sciatic foramen and fall below the normal position at the level of the ischial spine. Women who have undergone hysterectomy and in whom the suspensory apparatus was not

reconstructed are at increased risk for vaginal eversion. The true incidence of vaginal vault prolapse following hysterectomy is approximately 0.5 percent of patients (**Baessler et. al., 2010**).

Numerous operative techniques are described for the correction of vaginal prolapse. Fixation of the vaginal apex to the sacrospinous ligament has many advantages. By using a transvaginal approach, the incumbent potential complications of laparotomy are avoided, and hospital stay as well as recovery to normal activity is shortened as well as maintenance of sexual potency (**Adams et. al., 2012**).

Sacrospinous colpopexy, introduced by Randall and Nichols in 1971, has become a favored method for restoring vaginal support in women with vault prolapse, massive eversion of the vagina and procidentia (**Randall & Nichols, 2007**). Defects in apical vaginal support are crucial to recognize and address when undertaking surgery for prolapse. The upper third of the vagina (level I) is suspended from the pelvic walls by vertical fibers of the paracolpium, which is a continuation of the cardinal ligament. The uterosacral and sacrospinous ligament suspension seek to restore the level 1 vaginal support. The age-specific incidence increased with advancing age and thus better surgical techniques are required.

**Sacrospinous ligament fixation (SSLF)** is a safe and effective procedure for the treatment of POP. Known complications of a sacrospinous fixation with or without uterine preservation for the treatment of pelvic organ prolapse or vaginal vault prolapse are hemorrhage, cystitis, perforation of the bladder, rectum or small bowel, rectovaginal fistula, post-operative pain of the gluteal region and nerve injury (**David-Montefiore et. al., 2007**). Exposure

and direct visualization of the sacrospinous ligament, coccygeus muscle complex require adequate dissection of the pararectal space, avoiding injury to the rectum. Injury to the pudendal nerve and the internal pudendal vessels is avoided by placing the fixation suture minimally 1.5 cm medial to the ischial spine (**Ramp et. al., 2008**).

Multiple studies have shown sacrospinous ligament fixation to be highly effective therapy for vaginal vault prolapse. Several authors have suggested that the marked vaginal retroversion subsequent to sacrospinous ligament fixation may predispose to recurrent pelvic support defects in the anterior fascial segment, resulting in cystocele or urethrocele, or both (**Robert et. al., 2007**). Pelvic support defects at long-term follow-up evaluation occurred more commonly in the anterior fascial segment. Retroversion and fixation of the upper vagina predisposes the anterior fascial segment to excess pressure and a higher incidence of cystocele than could be attributed to the effects of aging and menopause (**Varner et. al., 2011**).

Peri-operative complication occurred during surgery; not all occurred during the SSLF itself but as concomitant prolapse surgery. This indicates that possibly some of the complications occurring during a sacrospinous ligament fixation with or without uterine preservation and pelvic floor repair are caused not during the ligament fixation itself but during one of the other procedures. Patients who underwent sacrospinous ligament fixation with uterine preservation had significant fewer complications than the patients who underwent sacrospinous fixation with a hysterectomy (11.5% vs 31.2%) (**Hefni et. al., 2011**).

## **Aim of the Work**

This is a pilot study aiming to compare a modified technique for Sacrospinous-Sacrotuberous fixation with the traditional sacrospinous ligament fixation technique for treatment of uterovaginal and/or vaginal vault prolapse.

### **Research Question**

Is the modified technique of Sacrospinous-Sacrotuberous fixation comparable to the traditional technique in the treatment of uterovaginal and / or vaginal vault prolapse?

### **Research hypothesis**

The outcomes of the suggested new technique for Sacrospinous-Sacrotuberous fixation are comparable to those of the traditional technique.

### **Null hypothesis**

The outcomes of the suggested new technique for Sacrospinous-Sacrotuberous fixation are worse than those of the traditional technique.