

شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلو

# بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم





HANAA ALY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرونيله



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



HANAA ALY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكترونى والميكروفيلم

## جامعة عين شمس التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها على هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



HANAA ALY

# Assessment of safety and applicability of laparoscopic vs open non anatomical resection of hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhotic patients

#### Thesis

For fulfillment of Master degree in General Surgery

### By

### Ahmed Abdel basset Hegazi

M.B.B.Ch. Faculty of Medicine, Mansoura University

### Under Supervision of

#### Prof. Dr. Mohammed Mahfouz Mohammed

Professor of General Surgery General Surgery, Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University

### **Prof. Dr. Hany Saeed Abdel basset**

Professor of General Surgery General Surgery, Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University

### Dr. Mohammed Abd Almegeed Elsayed

Lecturer of General Surgery General Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

> Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University 2020



سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢



First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **Allah**, the Most Kind and Most Merciful.

I'd like to express my respectful thanks and profound gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Hany Saeed Abdel Basset**, Professor of General Surgery, Faculty of medicine, Ain Shams University for his keen guidance, kind supervision, valuable advice and continuous encouragement, which made possible the completion of this work.

I am also delighted to express my deepest gratitude and thanks to *Prof. Dr. Mohammed Mahfouz Mohammed*, Professor of General Surgery, Faculty of medicine, Ain Shams University *for his kind care, continuous supervision, valuable instructions, constant help and great assistance throughout this work.* 

I wish to introduce my deep respect and thanks to **Dr. Mohammed Abd Almegeed Elsayed**, Lecturer of General Surgery, Faculty of medicine, Ain Shams University for his kindness, supervision and cooperation in this work.

I would like to express my hearty thanks to all my family for their support till this work was completed.

Last but not least my sincere thanks and appreciation to all patients participated in this study.

### Tist of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of abbreviations	i
List of Tables	V
List of Figures	vi
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work	6
Review of literature	
Chapter (1): Anatomy of The Liver	7
Chapter (2): Pathology of Liver Tumors	18
Chapter (3): Hepatic Resection	27
Patients and Methods	62
Results	87
Discussion	102
Summary	118
Conclusion	121
Recommendations	122
Reference	123
Arabic summary	

### Tist of Abbreviations

Abbr.	Full term
% 3rd ABG AE AFP ASA ATN BIP BWS CA CA19-9 CBC CBD CCA	Percent Third Arterial blood gases Anesthetist Alfa fetoprotein American society of anesthesiologists Acute tubular necrosis Bipolar electrocautery Beckwith-Wiedmann syndrome California Carbohydrated antigen Complete blood count Common bile duct Cholangiocarcinoma
CEA CHA	Carcenoembryonic antigen Common hepatic artery
Cm	Centimeter
CO <sub>2</sub> CT CUSA CVA CVP DM DVT ECG ELS	Carbon dioxide Computed tomography Cavitron ultrasonic surgical aspirator Cardiovascular arrhythmia Central venous pressure Diabetus mellitus Deep venous thrombosis Electrocardiomyography Endolinear stapler
FAP FDG FIG	Familial adenomatous polyposis Fluoro-deoxyglucose Figure

### List of Abbreviations

**FLR** Future liver remnant(residual)

FNC Focal nodular carcinoma
FNH Focal nodular hyperplasia

GIA Stapler Gastrointestinal anastamosis Stapler

**GIT** Gastrointestinal tract

**GLDH** Glutamate dehydrogenase

**HALS** Hand assisted laparoscopic surgery

**HB** Hemoglobin

HBs AgHBVHepatitis B antigenHepatitis B virus

HCA Hepatocellular adenomaHCC Hepatocellular carcinoma

**HCV** Hepatitis C virus

**HIV** Human immunodeficiency virus

**HS** Highly significant

**HVE** Hepatic vascular exclusion

**Hz** Hertz

ICG Indocyanine greenICU Intensive care unitIgG Imunoglobulin G

International hepato-pancreatico-biliary

**IHPBA** association

**IOUS** Intraoperative ultrasound

**IV** Intravenous

**IVC** Inferior vena cava

K PotasiumKg KilogramLap Laparoscopic

LCS Laparoscopic coagulating shear

LG Laparoscopic group LGA Left gastric artery

**LH** Left hepatic

**LHA** Left hepatic artery

### List of Abbreviations

**LHV** Left hepatic vein

LLR Laparoscopic liver resection LLS Laparoscopic liver surgery

**LPV** Left portal vein

Lt or L Left

**MDCT** Multidetector computed tomography

MHV Main hepatic veinMI Myocardial infarction

minMinutemLMeliletremmMelimeter

mmHg Melimeter mercuryMPV Mean portal vein

MRA Magnetic resonance angiographyMRI Magnetic resonance imagingMTC Microwave tissue coagulator

NA<sup>+</sup> Sodium

**NASH** Non alcoholic steatohepatosis

No Number

**NS** Non significant

OCT October Open group

OLR Open liver resection
OS Operating surgeon
PE Pulmonary embolism

PET Positron emission tomography
PSC Primary scelerosing cholangitis

**PT** Prothrombin time

**PV** Portal vein

**PVE** Portal vein embolization

ra right anterior

**RAPV** Right anterior portal vein

**RF** Radiofrequency

### List of Abbreviations

RFA
 RHA
 Right hepatic artery
 RHV
 Right hepatic vein
 rp
 right posterior

**RPPV** Right posterior portal vein

**RPV** Right portal vein

Rt Right

S Significant

**SD** Standard deviation

Sg Segment SH Saline hook

**SHVE** Selective hepatic vascular exclusion

**SMA** Superior mesentric artery

**SPSS** Statistical package of the social science

software

ST Surgical technician

**TACE** Trasarterial chemoembolization

**TEG** Thromboelastography

THVE Total hepatic vascular exclusion
 TL-MS Tissuelink-monopolar sealer
 TNF α Tumor necrosis factor alfa

**t-test** Student test

U Mann-whitney test

**US** Ultrasound

**USA** United State of America

VS Versus
X Mean
y Year

γGT Gamma glutemyal trasaminase

χ² Chi-squared testEBV Ebstine bar virue

### List of Tables

Table No.	Title Page W	o.
<b>Table (1):</b>	IHPBA Brisbane 2000 Terminology of liver anatomy and resections	10
<b>Table (2):</b>	WHO histological classification of tumors of the liver and intrahepatic bile ducts	26
<b>Table (3):</b>	Complications of Hepatectomy	51
<b>Table (4):</b>	Difference in age between both groups	87
<b>Table (5):</b>	Shows the demographic data among the patients included in the study.	89
<b>Table (6):</b>	The preoperative investigations among the patients included in the study. By comparing the means of laboratory results between both groups, no significant differences could be found except ALP.	90
<b>Table (7):</b>	Preoperative alfafeto-protein levels in both groups	91
<b>Table (8):</b>	The following table shows the characteristics of the hepatic lesions by using Triphasic CT and intraoperative ultrasound	92
<b>Table</b> (9):	The following table shows the largest tumor size and they were insignificant between two groups	93
<b>Table (10):</b>	Difference of intraoperative data between both groups	94
<b>Table (11):</b>	Required transfusions in both groups	95
<b>Table (12):</b>	Operative details in both groups within one month	96
<b>Table (13):</b>	The following table shows the postoperative data of the patients included in the study.	97
<b>Table (14):</b>	Postoperative complications in both groups	98
<b>Table (15):</b>	Showed the resection margin between two groups had no difference with no statistically significance	100
<b>Table (16):</b>	Level of AFP at the one month	101
<b>Table (17):</b>	Frequency of overall recurrence in both groups	101

Page No.

### Tist of Figures

Title

Figure No.

Fig. (12):

Fig. (13):

Fig. (1):	Intra- and extra-hepatic ducts and gallbladder	17
Fig. (2):	Operative diagram showing the ('French' position) and the surgical team	44
<b>Fig.</b> (3):	Recommended port placements	46
Fig. (4):	Patient in French position with surgeon to stand between his legs.	67
Fig. (5):	Draping of the patient after anesthesia	68
Fig. (6):	Pneumoperitoneum using verrus needle.	68
Fig. (7):	Basic Postioning of the ports for working on both right or left lobe of the liver. Additional ports are put as needed	69
Fig. (8):	Positioning of the ports to work on right lobe of the liver.  Ports are placed according suitable ergonomics of the surgeon.	70
Fig. (9):	Intraoperative ultrasound; localization of the hepatic focal lesion, ultrasound image of the lesion showing the real size and relation to vascular structures.	71
Fig. (10):	Left traiangluar ligament incised and freed by harmonic scalpel, falciform ligament is incised by harmonic scalpel	72
Fig. (11):	Marking the line of resection using monopolar diathermy,	

Extraction of the specimen from extended port incision extracted specimen using endobag......73

Closure of the ports with drain put at the cutsurface of the

### List of Figures

<b>Fig.</b> (16):	The abdominal wall retractor (KENT retractor).	77
Fig. (17):	Incisons used in open resection in this study	78
Fig. (18):	Intraoperative ultrasound needed in some cases of open resection if there is difficulty in lesion localization.	79
Fig. (19):	Marking of the resection site using monopolar diathermy, hepatic parenchymal dissection using habib 4x sealer or using open harmonic scalpel.	80
Fig. (20):	Closure of the wounds using surgical metallic stapler	81
Fig. (21):	A case of open resection of segment VI HCC	82
Fig. (22):	A case of open resection of segment VI HCC	83
Fig. (23):	The demographic data	89
Fig. (24):	Tumor segments between 2 groups	92
Fig. (25):	Tumor size in both groups.	93
Fig. (26):	The difference in mean operative time between 2 groups	94
Fig. (27):	Operative details in both groups.	96
Fig. (28):	The difference in Hospital stay between 2 groups	97
Fig. (29):	Postoperative complications	99
Fig. (30):	Ascites in both groups	
Fig. (31):	Resection margin in both groups	

### Introduction

Throughout history, the liver has been viewed as a mysterious organ, clearly central to human survival. Its complex anatomy, many functions, and rich vascularity have accorded it proper respect from surgeons throughout the world but after improved understanding of segmental liver anatomy together with radiological technique and critical care improvements have allowed liver resection to become an established part of surgical practice over the past 30 years (*Mirnezani et al.*, 2011).

There is a wide variety of both benign and malignant tumors that develop in the liver. The Most common benign hemangioma, followed by focal nodular entities are hyperplasia (FNH) and adenoma, which together represent more than 80% of all benign liver tumors. Rare benign liver parasitic diseases include for instance liver cystadenoma, caroli's disease and angiomyolipoma. Although data are heterogeneous incidence of simple liver cysts is estimated to be approximately 5%. Asymptomatic benign tumors rarely require any form of surgical management, they may require intervention if they begin to enlarge over time or symptomatic. Potential include become treatments