Factors Affecting Prediction of Pressure Ulcer among Patients at Critical Care Unite: Suggested Nursing Care Plan

Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement of

Master Degree in Medical Surgical Nursing

(Critical Care Nursing)

By

Lobna Abdel all Ibrahime

Bachelor of Nursing (2012)
Faculty of Nursing-Sohag University

Faculty of Nursing
Ain Shams University
2019

Factors Affecting Prediction of Pressure Ulcer among Patients at Critical Care Unite: Suggested Nursing Care Plan

Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement of

Master Degree in Medical Surgical Nursing

(Critical Care Nursing)

Supervised By

Ass. Prof. Neamatalah Goma Ahmed

Assistant Professor of Medical Surgical Nursing Faculty of Nursing – Ain Shams University

Ass. Prof. Dalia Ali Ameen

Assistant Professor of Critical Care Nursing Faculty of Nursing – Ain Shams University

Faculty of Nursing
Ain Shams University
2019

Factors Affecting Prediction of Pressure Ulcer among Patients at Critical Care Unite: Suggested Nursing Care Plan

^a Lobna A. Ibrahim, ^b Neamatalah Goma Ahmed and Dalia Ali Ameen.

^a Clinical instructor /Faculty of Nursing Sohag University, ^b Ass. Professor /Medical Surgical Nursing Department/Ain Shams University.

Abstract

Background: Pressure ulcer is described as one of the most costly and physically debilitating complications. Aim: This study aimed to assess factors affecting prediction of pressure ulcer among patients at critical care unites. Design A descriptive exploratory design was utilized for the conduction of this study. Setting the study was carried out in critical care unit (ICU) of Sohag University Hospital. Study subject: A purposeful sample of seventy patients. **Tools:** I – patient assessment form which includes patients demographic characteristics' and patients' clinical data, II- Braden scale to detect high risk patient for pressure ulcer, **III**-factors affecting prediction of pressure ulcer tool. Results: revealed that, many factors affecting prediction of pressure ulcers, such as patient's related factors, 55.7% of the studied patients were bed ridden, 87.1% of them were incontinence of stool, 72.9% having a low level of consciousness, 74.3% of the studied patients exposed to shear and friction on the skin, 64.3% were diabetic, and 55.7% were suffered from vascular disease. Almost all of the nurses' practices regarding pressure ulcer prevention were poor, and according to Braden scale assessment 55.7% of patients admitted to ICU were at high risk for pressure ulcer. Conclusion: more than half of patients admitted to ICU had sever risk for pressure ulcer according to Braden scale, more than half of patients were bed ridden, most of them were incontinence of stool, three quarter of patients having a low level of consciousness and were exposed to shear and friction on the skin, nearly two third of patients were diabetic and more than half of them were suffered from vascular disease. All of nurses' practices regarding pressure ulcer prevention were poor, and most of patients did not have environmental factors to prevent pressure ulcer. Recommendations: Application of Braden scale tool to assess all admitted patients to intensive care units. Braden scale should become as daily nursing assessment task.

Keywords: Pressure ulcer – Braden scale – Nursing.



First and foremost, I feel always indebted to AIIAH, the most kind and most the merciful for all his blessing and for giving me the will and strength for completion of this work.

I am deeply grateful to Ass. Prof. Nematalah Goma Ahmed, Ass. Prof. of Medical Surgical Nursing, Faculty of Nursing, Ain Shams University, for her guidance, constructive criticism and supervision for the perfection of this thesis.

I would like to express my deep thanking and appreciation to Ass. Prof. Dalia Ali Ameen, Ass. Prof. of critical care Nursing, Faculty of Nursing, Ain Shams University, for her unlimited help, effort, support, guidance and for the time she devoted to me in this work. I would not have been able to start and continue this work without her help.

I would like to express my deep thanking for patients who accept to participate in this thesis.



List of Contents

Title Page	Page No.
List of Tables	i
List of Figures	ii
List of Appendices	iii
List of Abbreviations	iv
Introduction	1
Aim of the Study	7
Review of Literature	8
Subjects and Methods	77
Results	93
Discussion	119
Conclusion	143
Recommendation	144
Summary	146
References	161
Appendices	183
Protocol	
Arabic Summary	

List of Tables

Table No	Title	Page No
1	Frequency and Percentage distribution of demographic characteristics of the studied patients.	95
2	Frequency and Percentage distribution of clinical data of the studied patients.	96
3	Mean and stander deviation of anthropometric measurement of the studied patients.	97
4	Frequency and Percentage distribution of hemodynamic parameters of the studied patients.	98
5	Frequency and Percentage distribution of the skin condition of the studied patients.	100
6	Frequency and Percentage distribution of neurological assessment of the studied patients by using Glasgow coma scale.	101
7	Frequency and Percentage distribution of laboratory investigation and blood gases measurement of the studied patients.	103
8	Frequency and Percentage distribution of respiratory aids of the studied patients.	105

Table	Title	Page
No	Titic	No
9	Frequency and Percentage distribution of the	106
	studied patients according to Braden scale score.	100
10	Frequency `and percentage distribution of	108
	patients related factors.	100
11	Frequency and percentage distribution of nurses related factors.	109
12	Frequency and percentage distribution of environment related factors.	110
13	Relation between demographic characteristic of the studied patients and total score of Braden scale regarding prediction of pressure sore risk.	111
14	Relation between clinical data of the studied patients and total score of Braden scale regarding prediction of pressure sore risk.	112
15	Relation between anthropometric measurement of the studied patients and total score of Braden scale regarding prediction of pressure sore risk.	113
16	Relation between hemodynamic parameters of studied patients and total score of Braden scale regarding prediction of pressure sore risk.	114

List of Tables 🕏

Table	Title	Page
No	Title	
17	Relation between respiratory aids of the studied patients and total score of Braden scale regarding prediction of pressure sore risk.	116
18	Relation between skin condition of the studied patients and total score of Braden scale regarding prediction of pressure sore risk.	117
19	Relation between neurological assessment of studied patients using Glasgow coma scale and total score of Braden scale regarding prediction of pressure sore risk.	118

List of Figures

Review of Literature		
Fig.	Title	Page
1	Anatomy of the skin.	9
2	Stage of pressure ulcer	25-29
3	Causative factors for pressure ulcer	30
Lis	t of figures of tool validity and relia	bility
	Job title of the expertise regarding face	205
	and content validity of the study tools	

List of Appendices

Appendix N	Title of appendix	Page
Appendix (I)	Patient assessment tool	183
Appendix (II)	Braden scale	190
Appendix (III)	Factors affecting prediction of pressure ulcer tool	195
Appendix (IV)	Suggested nursing care plan.	198
Appendix (V)	Hemodynamic parameter	202
Appendix (VI)	Tools validity and reliability.	205

List of Abbreviations

Abb. Full Term.

ALB : Albumin

ABG : Arterial Blood Gases

BS: Bed sore

BP : Blood pressure

BMI : Body mass index

B/M : Breath per minute

CO₂ : Carbon dioxide

Cm : Centimeter

CAN : Certified nursing assistant

C/M : Cycle per minute

DTPI: Deep tissue pressure injury

DU : Decubitus ulcers

DNA : Deoxyribonucleic Acid.

DM : Diabetes mellitus

DKA: Diabetic ketoacidosis

EPUAP: European pressure ulcer advisory panel

GCS : Glasgow coma scale

Glu : Blood glucose

g : Gram

Hgb : Hemoglobin

HCT: Hematocrit

HCO₃ : Sodium bicarbonate

Tist of Abbreviations 🕏

IGE: Immunoglobulin E

ICU: Intensive care unit

IAD : Incontinence- associated dermatitis

Kg: Kilo gram

LOC : Level of conscious

LOS : Length of stay

m : Meter

mm: Millimeters

mmHg : Millimeters of mercury

mg/dl : Milligrams per deciliter

MV : Mechanical ventilation

NG : Nasogastric

NPUAP: National pressure ulcer advisory panel

NPWT : Negative pressure wound therapy

NSAID: Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory

NPO: Nothing per mouth

O₂ : Oxygen

Paco₂: Partial pressure of carbon dioxide

Pao₂: Partial pressure of oxygen

PH : Power of hydrogen

PI : Pressure injury

PU: Pressure ulcer

PS : Pressure sores

PT : Prothrombin time

RBC: Red blood cell

Tist of Abbreviations 🕏

TMP: Temperature

WBC: White blood cell

Statistical abbreviation

NO : Number

NS : Not Significant

P : P- Value

S : Significant

SD : Standard Deviation

SPSS: Statistical Package For Social Science



Introduction

Pressure ulcer (PU) commonly called Pressure injury (PI), pressure sores (PS), decubitus ulcers (DU) or bedsores (BS), are localized injury occur most often in the skin and subcutaneous tissue over bony prominences. This ulcer may be superficial, caused by local skin irritation and redness with subsequent surface maceration, or deep, originating in underlying tissue. Deep lesions often go undetected until they penetrate the skin, and usually caused subcutaneous damage. Most pressure ulcer develops over body locations: sacral area, greater trochanter, ischia tuberosity, heel, and lateral malleolus. Collectively, these areas account for 95% of all pressure ulcer sites (Ferris, *2017*).

Pressure for long period of time decrease blood supply and ischemia occur, redness can occur at the site within one hour, when pressure continue for more than 1 hour, necrosis (tissue death) occur. Pressure ulcer usually occurs over a bony prominence because they are covered only by skin and small amounts of subcutaneous tissue, such as the occipital skull, pinna of ears, sacrum, ischia tuberosities, and trochanter area of hips, ankle's, elbow, and heels are



the area's most likely to develop a pressure ulcer (Linton, *2015*).

National Pressure Ulcer Advisory Panel (NPUAP) modified the staging systems of developing pressure ulcer into six stages. Stage I non-blanchable erythema with intact skin surface; Stage II epithelial damage, abrasion or blister; Stage III damage to the full thickness of the skin without a deep cavity and Stage IV damage to the full thickness of the skin with a deep cavity. Unstageable Pressure ulcer: Obscured full-thickness skin and tissue loss, full-thickness skin and tissue loss in which the extent of tissue damage within the ulcer cannot be confirmed because it is obscured by slough or eschar. Deep Tissue Pressure ulcer: Persistent non-blanchable deep red, maroon or purple discoloration intact or non-intact skin with localized area or epidermal separation revealing a dark wound bed or blood filled blister (Cash & Glass, 2017).

Researchers reported that the incidence and prevalence of pressure ulcers vary greatly, depending on the setting. In the hospital, incidence rates have ranged from 1% to 30%. Higher rates are noted in intensive care units, where patients are less mobile and have severe systemic illnesses (Banjar, Mahran & Ali 2012). The incidence of pressure