



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرو فيلم

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



HANAA ALY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



HANAA ALY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



HANAA ALY

Accuracy Assessment of Occlusal Repositioning Wafer Construction Using Virtual Planned Model Surgery

Submitted to the Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University for partial fulfillment of the requirements for master's degree in Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery

By

Omar Ahmad Mahmoud Gamal Al-Dine Hamad

BDS 2011

Faculty of Dentistry - Misr International University

Supervisors

Heba Abdelwahed Sleem

Professor of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery

Faculty of Dentistry - Ain Shams University

Amr Amin Ghanem

Associate Professor of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery

Faculty of Dentistry - Ain Shams University

Anas Mohammad Almukhtar

Honorary Research fellow - Glasgow University, Scotland UK

Lecturer of Orthodontics

Faculty of Dentistry - University of Mosul, Iraq

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

Acknowledgement

My sincere gratitude to Prof. Mohamed Ayoub Professor of Oral Pathology, Ain Shams University - Vice president at Nahada University, for his strong support, kind understanding and fatherly care.

Many thanks and appreciation to Dr. Eman Shaheen, Clinical Engineer, Dept. Maxillofacial surgery, UZ Leuven for her precious tips and for the answers she provided.

Many thanks to my senior staff and colleagues in the department of oral and maxillofacial surgery - Ain Shams University, specially Hossam Hany for his dedicated effort.

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	
Acknowledgement	3
Table of Contents	I
List of Abbreviations	III
List of Figures	IV
List of Tables	VI
Introduction.....	1
Review of Literature	2
1. Face beauty impact:.....	2
2. Nature and Evolution of Orthognathic surgery:	2
3. Role of Occlusal Repositioning wafers in orthognathic surgery:	4
4. Accuracy quest:	17
Aim of the Study	19
Patients and Methods	20
Inclusion Criteria	20
Exclusion Criteria	20
Methods.....	21
1. Patient preparation and preoperative record:	21
Extraoral Examination and Intraoral Examination:	21
2. Data acquisition and planning:	24
4. Comparison and verification of Wafers	34
5. Statistical analysis:	43
Results.....	51
1. Clinical presentation and characteristics of Study Population:.....	51
.2 Radiographic evaluation:	53
3. Accuracy assessment of occlusal repositioning wafer:.....	55
4. Wafer check points:	56

5. Time consumption:	60
6. Cost:	61
Discussion	68
Summary	73
Conclusion	74
Recommendations	75
Appendix	76
References	79
الملخص العربى	1

List of Abbreviations

BSSO	Bisagittal Split Osteotomy
CBCT	Cone Beam Computed Topography
CAD/CAM	Computer Aided Design / Computer Aided Manufacture
CMF	Cranio-Maxillofacial
TMJ	Temporomandibular Joint
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
DICOM	Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine
CT	Computed Topography
MRI	Magnetic Resonance Imaging
MSCT	Multi-slice Computed Topography
ROI	Region of Interest
FOV	Field of View
STL	Standard Triangle Language
AO	Arbeitsgemeinschaft für Osteosynthesefragen (German)
ASA	American Society of Anesthesiology

List of Figures

Figure 1: Early CBCT machines were designed to scan the patient in supine position (Abramovitch & Rice, 2014).....	9
Figure 2: Components of 3D patient model and possible methods of acquisition	12
Figure 3: Check list adopted for orthognathic patient preparation (Ayoub, 2014).....	22
Figure 4: Casts mounted on a semi-adjustable articulator.	25
Figure 5: Thresholding (selected Hounsfield unit is marked yellow).....	28
Figure 6: 3D model of the bony skull (Artifacts marked by arrows).....	28
Figure 7: Hybrid Model (replaced teeth are coded red).....	29
Figure 8: Example of augmented model (hybrid model).....	30
Figure 9: Contour of the dental cast model appearing on the axial, coronal and sagittal views	30
Figure 10: Virtual osteotomies (different segments are color code for better visualization)	32
Figure 11: overview of final wafer orientation and supports built away from the fitting surface.....	34
Figure 12: Wafer checked intraorally for occlusal fitting and rocking.....	35
Figure 13: Upper and lower casts positioned using virtually designed wafer and ready for scanning	37
Figure 14: thresholding of the scanned model (arrow marking the wafer)...	38
Figure 15: Assessment point registration (any well-defined landmarks can be used for point registration)	39
Figure 16: Lower casts aligned after point registration	40
Figure 17: Lower casts position after " global registration"	41
Figure 18: Upper casts trimmed leaving only the occlusal part for assessment	42
Figure 19: Example of color map generated by the analysis function. Area close to the target entity are marked green and area deviated are marked red.	43
Figure 20: LeFort I osteotomies.....	45
Figure 21: Nasal septum osteotome in position.	45

Figure 22: Conventional intermediate wafer used to position the maxilla. ..	46
Figure 23: Maxillary fixation with miniplate.....	46
Figure 24: Flap raised and sling released before osteotomies	47
Figure 25: BSSO osteotomy	48
Figure 26: BSSO fixed using miniplates	49
Figure 27: Pre-operative (A) and four weeks post-operative (B) sagittal view	53
Figure 28: Pre-operative (top) and post-operative (bottom) 3D reconstruction	54
Figure 29: Bar chart showing deviation (mm) between conventional and virtual techniques within each wafer type.	56
Figure 30: Bar chart showing frequency distribution (n) of wafer check points between intermediate and final wafers.	58
Figure 31: Bar chart showing frequency distribution (n) of wafer check points between conventional and virtual techniques.	60
Figure 32: Patient preoperative (A) and six weeks postoperative (B) frontal photograph.....	62
Figure 33: Patient preoperative (A) and six weeks postoperative (B) lateral photograph.....	63
Figure 34: Pre-operative (A) and post-operative (B) occlusion.....	64
Figure 35: Patient provisional canting assessment	64
Figure 36 : Patient preoperative (A) and immediate postoperative (B) frontal photograph.....	65
Figure 37: Patient preoperative (A) and immediate postoperative (B) lateral photograph.....	66
Figure 38: Pre-operative (A) and immediate post-operative (B) occlusion..	66
Figure 39: patient occlusion after the completion of the post-operative orthodontic treatment	67

List of Tables

Table 1: Wafers clinical check list for assessment (NA = not applicable). ..	35
Table 2: Proposed special cast protocol (Shaheen, E. et al., 2017).	37
Table 3: Characteristics of study population	51
Table 4: Surgical plan execution.....	52
Table 5: Frequency of blood loss for the studied cases	52
Table 6: Mean $\pm$ SD of deviation (mm) between conventional and virtual techniques within each wafer type.	55
Table 7: Comparison of frequency distribution (n) of wafer check points between intermediate and final wafers.	57
Table 8: Comparison of frequency distribution (n) of wafer check points between conventional and virtual techniques.....	59
Table 9: time consumption for planning methods.	61

Introduction

The face is a unique body part, it identifies one human from another and represents the person to a great extent. Self-esteem and body image are widely affected by how a person's face looks like. Facial deformities caused by trauma, pathology and congenital and developmental anomalies affect the person's psychology as well as social integration and well-being. MacGregor (1970) highlighted that people suffering from facial deformities even minor ones as protruded anterior teeth are victims to sarcasm and negative comments. Corrective jaw surgeries and orthognathic treatment are very useful in addressing both functional and aesthetic needs that are difficult or even impossible to treat with orthodontic procedures and conservative treatments (Ayoub, 2014).

For the past fifty years surgical techniques and planning methods have been evolving to treat developmental and acquired cranio-maxillofacial deformities restoring the symmetry, maxillo-mandibular relationships and facial dimensions (Bell, 2011). Number of surgeons and practitioners using virtual reality, computer aided design and computer aided manufacturing (CAD/CAM) to treat complex and challenging CMF case is increasing every day (Schramm et al., 2007).

The planning of orthognathic and maxillofacial surgeries is shifting from conventional methods and moving towards virtual and computer aided technologies. This shift required the assessment and evaluation of these virtual methods and techniques.

Review of Literature

1. Face beauty impact:

“Beauty as we feel it is something indescribable; what it is or what it means can never be said.”

George Santayana, *The Sense of Beauty* (1896).

It has been found that the community perceives attractive beautiful people more positively than people who are considered unattractive and offers them better opportunities on many social aspects as they are treated better (Langlois et al., 2000). That was also evident among children (Little et al., 2011).

In 2009, Sui and Liu conducted an experiment where they illustrated how humans are attracted to facial beauty even in the presence of other visual. Candidates participating in the experiment could not ignore being drawn to faces rated as attractive (Sui & Liu, 2009). The literature is lacking data about the frequency and demographic distribution of dentofacial deformities.

2. Nature and Evolution of Orthognathic surgery:

Orthognathic surgery is defined as correction of deformities of the jaw and the associated malocclusion. Deformities include esthetic deformities, TMJ dysfunctions, deformities causing sleep apnea and others. These deformities are skeletal and impossible to be corrected or masked by orthodontic treatment alone.

Hullihen's procedure performed in 1849 in the United States is the origin of orthognathic surgery and malocclusion correction, surgeries were limited to the mandible. Also von Lengenbeck, Dufourmentel and others reported and executed similar maxillofacial surgeries but true origins were the "St. Louis operation" established by the cooperation of orthodontist Edward Angel and surgeon Vilray Blair (Steinhäuser, 1996) (Naini, 2016).

In 1917 Soerensen plated comminuted bone fracture with a golden wedding ring. Spiessl was the first to apply rigid fixation in orthognathic cases, he used screws for fixation of the sagittal split osteotomies (Spiessl, 1974).

September 5, 1969 is claimed to be the birthday of orthognathic surgery when the famous Obwegeser made his first sagittal split osteotomies and LeFort I osteotomy simultaneously in a single case for correction of malocclusion and patient profile (Obwegeser, H. L., 2007). He described that case as maxillary advancement and mandibular set back. Planning was simply made by profile tracing on a transparent sheet placed on the original cephalometric radiograph then roughly estimated the amount of movement needed, the final decision was to be made in the operation theater. Fixation was made using wires and bone grafting with the patient placed after the operation in intermaxillary fixation for six weeks. Obwegeser published that work in 1970 (Obwegeser, H., 1970; Obwegeser, H. L., 2007).

Luhr was considered as the first to use miniplate and screw for orthognathic fixation and midface fractures fixation (Drommer & Luhr, 1981). This attempt was followed by Champy and others who developed their own mini plates sets (Mohd et al., 2019).