

شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلو

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم





MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلو



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكترونى والميكروفيلم

جامعة عين شمس التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



MONA MAGHRABY



Holmium Laser Enucleation Prostatectomy versus Bipolar Transurethral Resection of the Prostate in Management of Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia

Thesis

Submitted For Partial Fulfillment of Doctorate Degree in Urology

By

Ahmed Lotfy Ghazy El Gohary

MBBCh - MSc in Urology, Ain Shams University

Under supervision of

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Sherief Mourad

Professor of Urology Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Assist. Prof. Dr. Mohamed Mohamed Yassin

Assistant Professor of Urology Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Assist. Prof. Dr. Ahmed Farouk Salem

Assistant Professor of Urology Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

> Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University 2021



سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

Acknowledgment

First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **ALLAH**, the Most Kind and Most Merciful.

I'd like to express my respectful thanks and profound gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Mohamed Sherief Mourad,** Professor of Urology, Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University for his keen guidance, kind supervision, valuable advice and continuous encouragement, which made possible the completion of this work.

I am also delighted to express my deepest gratitude and thanks to Assist. Prof. Dr. Mohamed Mohamed Wassin, Assistant Professor of Urology, Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University, for his kind care, continuous supervision, valuable instructions, constant help and great assistance throughout this work.

I am deeply thankful to **Dr. Ahmed Farouk Salem**, Assistant Professor of Urology, Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University, for his great help, active participation and guidance.

I am very thankful to **Dr. Ahmed Ibrahim Radwan**, Lecturer of Urology, Ain Shams University for his
great support & effort throughout the whole work.

Ahmed Lotfy

List of Contents

| Title Page No | 0. |
|--|-----|
| List of Tables | i |
| List of Figures | .ii |
| List of Abbreviations | iv |
| Introduction | . 1 |
| Aim of the Study | .3 |
| Review of Literature | |
| Anatomy of the Prostate | .4 |
| Physiology of BPH | |
| Laser Prostate Surgery1 | |
| Holmium: YAG Laser Prostatectomy1 | 19 |
| Holmium Laser Enucleation of the Prostate (HoLEP)2 | 24 |
| Bipolar Transurethral Resection of the Prostate | 34 |
| Patients and Methods4 | 11 |
| Results4 | 18 |
| Discussion | 36 |
| Conclusion | 72 |
| Summary | 73 |
| References | |
| Arabic Summary | |

List of Tables

| Table No. | Title | Page | No. |
|--------------------------|---|---------------|-----|
| Table (1): Table (2): | Demographic data Comparison between both groups | | 50 |
| | regard age, complain and prostate size |) . | 51 |
| Table (3): | Comparison between both groups regard IPSS, PSA and Q preoperatively. | max | 53 |
| Table (4): | Comparison between both groups regard Hb drop, resected volumestoperative catheter time and duration of hospital stay | ume, ation | 54 |
| Table (5): | Comparison between both groups regard Qmax, PSA and post voiding | | 56 |
| Table (6): | Comparison between both groups regard IPSS, PSA, Qmax post, voiding residual volume and postopera prostate size | post ative | 56 |
| Table (7): | Comparison between both groups regard QOL pre and postoperative | | 57 |
| Table (8): | Comparison between both groups regard complications. | | 58 |
| Table (9): | Comparison between IPSS, Qmax, and post voiding in laser group | | 59 |
| Table (10): | QOL pre and postoperative in laser gro | oup | 62 |
| Table (11): | Comparison between IPSS, Qmax, and postvoiding in bipolar group | | 63 |
| Table (12): | QOL pre and postoperative in big | | 65 |

List of Figures

| Fig. No. | Title | Page No. |
|---------------------|---|----------|
| Figure (1): | Zanag of the prograte | |
| Figure (1): | Zones of the prostate Prostate vasculature | |
| 9 | | |
| Figure (3): | Symptoms of BPH | |
| Figure (4): | International Prostate Symptom Sco | |
| Figure (5): | BPH progression. | |
| Figure (6): | Site of prostatic adenoma | |
| Figure (7): | Prostate by TRUS | 12 |
| Figure (8): | Prostate by PAUS | 12 |
| Figure (9): | Uroflow. | 13 |
| Figure (10): | Evaluation of BPH | 13 |
| Figure (11): | Electromagnetic spectrum | 14 |
| Figure (12): | Energy state of normal populations | |
| Figure (13): | Population inversion of atoms | |
| Figure (14): | Spontaneous emission of energy | |
| Figure (15): | Laser fiber | |
| Figure (16): | Holmium laser machine | 21 |
| Figure (17): | Endoscopy set | 22 |
| Figure (18): | Enucleation lobe. | 22 |
| Figure (19): | Enucleation of the median lobe | 26 |
| Figure (20): | Enucleation of the lateral lobes | 27 |
| Figure (21): | Tissue morcellation after HoLEP | 28 |
| Figure (22): | New karl storz morcellator | 28 |
| Figure (23): | Electrosurgical effects-desiccation | 37 |
| Figure (24): | Electrosurgical effects- fulguration | 38 |
| Figure (25): | Electrosurgical effects- vaporization | 39 |

List of Figures Cont...

| Fig. No. | Title | Page No. |
|---------------------|---|----------|
| Figure (26): | Set used in Bipolar TURP | 43 |
| Figure (27): | Steps of TURP | |
| Figure (28): | Consort flowchart | |
| Figure (29): | Complaint | |
| Figure (30): | Age | |
| Figure (31): | Complaint | |
| Figure (32): | Post operative catheter time | |
| Figure (33): | Duration of hospital stay | |
| Figure (34): | International Prostate Symptom S (IPSS) | Score |
| Figure (35): | Qmax | |
| Figure (36): | PSA | |
| Figure (37): | QOL pre and postoperative in group | laser |
| Figure (38): | Comparison between IPSS in biggroup | polar |
| Figure (39): | Qmax | 64 |
| Figure (40): | PSA | |
| Figure (41): | QOL pre and postoperative in biggroup | |

List of Abbreviations

| Abb. | Full term |
|-------------------------------|---|
| BOO Bladd BPH Benig | |
| B-TURP Bipole saline | ar system Transurethral resection in |
| BTURP Bipole CO2 Carbo | ar transurethral resection of the prostate on dioxide |
| CZ Centr | |
| | ium: Yttrium-Aluminum-Garnet laser ium Laser Bladder Neck Incision |
| | ium Laser Ablation of the Prostate |
| | ium Laser Enucleation of the Prostate |
| | ium Laser Resection of the Prostate national Prostate Symptom Score |
| | sium Titanyl phosphate laser |
| | r urinary tract symptoms |
| Nd:YAG laser Neody OP Open | ymium: Yttrium-Aluminum-Garnet laser prostatectomy |
| PZ Perip | _ |
| QoL Quali | • |
| Tu:YAG laser Thuli TUR Trans | um: Yttrium-Aluminum-Garnet laser |
| | ium laser enucleation of the prostate |
| | surethral resection of the prostate |
| | surethral vaporization of the prostate |
| TZ Trans UTIs Urina | |
| YAGYttriu | |



Introduction

VIRP remains the most frequently performed operation for BPH in small to moderate size prostate, however the long resection time required for large prostate is associated with an increased risk of TUR syndrome and blood loss (Zhu et al., 2013).

Until the year 2012 open prostatectomy was represented as a first line treatment alternative for large size prostate more than 80 gm, despite the substantial perioperative morbidity and extended catheterization and convalescence period (Geavlete et al., 2013).

The Introduction of the bipolar system transurethral resection in saline (B-TURP) has reduced the relative risks of transurethral resection syndrome (TUR Syndrome), blood transfusion and has reduced the need for readmission after surgery. However perioperative bleeding that may require blood incontinence and sometimes bladder transfusion, neck main complications contracture, are the that may encountered during this procedure (Cleves et al., 2016).

Therefor A novel treatment modalities have been vigorously pursued with efficacy comparable to that of open prostatectomy but with fewer complications, HoLEP is one of such modalities that is highly effective for large BPH, however the long learning curve and high cost limits its widespread



extensive application especially in developing countries (Zhu et al., 2013).

Holmium laser enucleation of the prostate (HoLEP) is the most recent step in the evolution of holmium laser prostatectomy. HoLEP is a safe and effective surgical procedure, which has comparable results to transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP) and open prostatectomy, with low morbidity and short hospital stay (Elzayat et al., 2006).

HoLEP is equally suitable for small, medium, and large prostate glands, with clinical outcomes that are independent of prostate size, and recently it has been proposed as a new gold standard for treatment of symptomatic benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) (Kuntz et al., 2004).

AIM OF THE STUDY

To compare Holmium laser enucleation and bipolar transurethral resection of the prostate in terms of safety, and efficacy, in the management of prostatic hyperplasia.

Chapter 1

ANATOMY OF THE PROSTATE

The prostate is a pyramidal fibromuscular gland that encircles the male urethra. The average volume of the normal prostate gland is approximately 20 grams. The gland is in continuity with bladder neck superiorly, while inferiorly the apex of the gland lies on the external sphincter of the bladder (*Nehikhare et al.*, 2017).

Microscopic Anatomy of the prostate has divided it into 3 zones as shown in figure (1): transition zone (TZ), central zone (CZ), and peripheral zone (PZ). The prostate consists of approximately 70% glandular tissue and 30% fibro-muscular stroma. (TZ) accounts for 10% of the glandular tissue while the (PZ) accounts for 70% for the glandular tissue (*Nehikhare et al.*, 2017).

(TZ) encircle the urethra from the bladder neck till the membranous urethra and it is where BPH occurs and leads to bladder outlet obstruction (BOO), it is usually described as 2 lateral lobes and a median lobe that leads to LUTS. (CZ) is the area surrounding the ejaculatory ducts and consists of 25% of the glandular element represented in the verumontanum. (PZ) forms the posterior and lateral aspects of the prostate, it is examined during the digital rectal examination and this area