



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرو فيلم

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



HANAA ALY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



HANAA ALY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



HANAA ALY



Faculty of women for
Arts, Sciences and Education
Home Economics dept.

Thesis Submitted for Requirements of M.Sc (Home Economics – Textile and Clothes)

Entitled

***Construction of Easy Fitting Block Pattern by Using a
Comparison Between Two Methods to be Suitable for the
Egyptian Women's Body and its Applications.***

By

Haneen Mahmoud Mohamed Saied
Demonstrator at Home Economics dept.
Faculty of women – Ain Shams University

Under supervision

Khaled Mohammad Ahmad Abdo
Associate professor of textile and clothing – Home Economics dept.
Faculty of Women - Ain Shams University

Heba Zakaria Abou Hashish
Lecturer of textile and clothing – Home Economics dept.
Faculty of Women - Ain Shams University

Acknowledgement

Praise be to God Almighty without his help and support this thesis would have never been completed.

I am truly and deeply indebted to Assistant professor Khaled Mohamed who supported me and guided me throughout my thesis. He was a perfect supervisor who was always there ready with advice and solutions for all the problems that erupted. I gained much from all his perceptive remarks and he always welcomed my questions. Moreover, his encouragement always pushed me forward and made me exert more effort.

I would like to give my appreciation, gratitude and sincere thanks to Dr. Heba Zakaria for valuable supervision of this work preparation of the thesis, her great encouragement to get as much of knowledge, supplying me with the required references, and fruitful discussion.

I would like also to thank all my professors and my colleagues in the Home Economics Department for their support.

Also, I am extremely grateful to my Parents for all their help. My father and mother, were the guiding light that always unbounded supported me and urged me to excel.

Finally, I would like to thank my sister, brother, and all my friends who supported me throughout my research.

Contents	
Subject	Page
Contents	
List of figures	
List of tables	
Summary	
Chapter 1: Introduction.	
1. Introduction.	1
1.1- Research definitions.	2
1.2- Research problem.	3
1.3- Research aims.	3
1.4- Research hypothesis.	3
1.5- Research limitations.	4
1.6- Research methodology.	5
1.7- Previews studies.	5
Chapter 2: Literature review	
Literature review	10
2.1. The Historical background of the pattern making .	11
2.2. Body measurements.	17
2.2.1- General rules for taking body measurement.	18
2.2.2- The way of taking body measurement.	20
2.3- Fitting.	23
2.3.1- The concept of clothing fit.	23
2.3.2- The different perspective of the good fitting.	24
2.3.3- Fit test and standard.	33
2.4- Pattern making.	42
2.4.1- The process of pattern making.	46
2.4.2- The purpose of the basic block pattern.	47
2.5- Fabric characteristics.	48
Chapter 3. Experimental work.	
Experimental work.	53
3.1- Research tools.	54
3.2- Research procedures.	54
3.2.1- Choosing the random samples.	56
3.2.2- Choosing the basic samples.	57
3.2.3- Studying different types of block pattern for choosing two methods.	57
3.2.4- Comparing between two methods to make the basic easy fitting block pattern.	64

3.2.5- Preparing a questionnaire sheet to evaluate the two methods (research topic).	65
3.2.6- Evaluating the two methods to determine the setting range of the block pattern.	67
3.2.7- Constructing a suggested block pattern to find the best way to fit and suit the body.	70
3.2.8- Preparing a questionnaire sheet to evaluate the suggested method.	71
3.2.9-Evaluating this suggested easy fitting block pattern.	71
3.2.10-Re-modifying suggested modifications on the pattern.	73
3.2.11- Re-evaluating of suggested block pattern.	73
Chapter 4. Results and discussions.	
Results and discussions.	78
4.1- The results of comparing between the 'A' and 'B' methods.	79
4.1.1- The first assumption.	79
4.1.2- The second assumption.	86
4.1.3- The third assumption.	94
4.1.4- The fourth assumption.	102
4.2- The results of comparing between the "B (Borgo) and Suggested" methods.	111
4.2.1- The fifth assumption.	112
4.2.2- The sixth assumption.	120
4.2.3- The seventh assumption.	128
4.2.4- The eighth assumption.	136
General discussion of the results.	144
Chapter 5. Applications.	
Applications.	146
1- Product (1): Satin blouse.	147
2- Product (2): Simple round neck blouse.	151
3- Product (3): Drape twist blouse.	155
4- Product (5): Jacquard cardigan.	159
5- Product (5): Tucks blouse.	163
6- Product (6): The flared blouse.	167
7- Product (7): Tie Twist jeans blouse.	171
8- Product (8): Princes gathered blouse.	176
9- Product (9): Long dress.	180

10- Product (10): Pleated dress.	186
Chapter 6. Conclusion.	
Conclusion.	190
1- The bodice block of suggested method.	190
1.1- The measurements required to draft the suggested block pattern.	190
1.2- The draft of suggested block pattern.	191
2- The sleeve block pattern of suggested method	194
2.1- The measurements required to draft the sleeve block pattern of suggested method.	194
2.2- The draft of suggested sleeve block pattern.	194
Recommendations.	196
References.	197
Appendix	203
Arabic summary	١

List of figures

Figures	Page
Chapter 2: Literature review	
Fig. (2.1): Pattern pieces with overlapping lines from Harper's Bazar. A	12
Fig. (2.2): Butterick pattern piece for sleeve, circa 1930s.	12
Fig. (2.3): Butterwick patterns featured in The Delineator, July 1925.	13
Fig. (2.4): Guide sheet from Butterick, patent for Dressmaker's pattern outfit.	13
Fig. (2.5): pattern Excerpt from Butterick's patented cutting diagram, circa 1930.	14
Fig. (2.6): Butterick frock pattern, featuring Deltor instruction guide, circa 1930.	14
Fig. (2.7): McCall pattern, 1931.	15
Fig. (2.8): McCall printed pattern piece dated 1931.	15
Fig. (2.9): Simplicity pattern, circa early 1930s, show one looks in three patterns.	16
Fig. (2.10): Showing the all body measurements.	20
Fig. (2.11): Showing the silhouette classifications.	26
Fig. (2.12): Fabric grain.	28
Fig. (2.13): The fold and pull vertical wrinkles.	31
Fig. (2.14): The fold and pull horizontal wrinkles.	31
Fig. (2.15): The diagonal wrinkles.	32
Fig. (2.16): Showing the different between the well fit and bad fit at the chest area.	34
Fig. (2.17): The difference between the suitable and smaller garment in the front.	35
Fig. (2.18): The difference between the well fit and bad fit in the front.	35
Fig. (2.19): The difference between the well fit and bad fit at the front waist area.	36
Fig. (2.20): Different wrinkles in the back.	36
Fig. (2.21): The difference between the well fit and bad fit at the back-waist area.	37
Fig. (2.22): The difference between the well fit and bad fit at the hips area	38
Fig. (2.23): well fit shoulder	38

Fig. (2.24): Varying lengths of the shoulders.	39
Fig. (2.25): The difference between the four types of shoulders	40
Fig. (2.26): The difference between the well fit and bad fit at the neckline area	40
Fig. (2.27): correct sleeve hung	41
Fig. (2.28): The steps of draping method.	43
Fig. (2.29): The draft pattern.	44
Fig. (2.30): Showing the basic pattern set.	46
Fig. (2.31): Different result when used different fabric weights and thickness.	49
Fig (2.32): Different fabrics and lengths used.	50
Fig (2.33): Show the different shapes that were created by the same fabrics but different working scales.	50
Fig. (2.34): Show the light fabrics to close fitting block pattern without dart.	51
Fig (2.35): The difference between used two types of block pattern with the same fabric (polyester)	52
Chapter 3: Experimental work	
Fig. (3.1): The dartless block (English method).	59
Fig. (3.2): Sleeve block (English method).	61
Fig. (3.3): Basic bodice (Italian method).	63
Fig. (3.4): Sleeve block (Italian method).	64
Fig. (3.5): comparing between the samples of size ‘Small’ of the method’s ‘A’ and ‘B’.	68
Fig. (3.6): comparing between the samples of size ‘Medium’ of the method’s ‘A’ and ‘B’.	69
Fig. (3.7): comparing between the samples of size ‘Large’ of the method’s ‘A’ and ‘B’.	69
Fig. (3.8): comparing between the samples of size ‘X-Large’ of the method’s ‘A’ and ‘B’.	70
Fig. (3.9): samples of the suggested pattern.	72
Fig. (3.10): sample of suggested pattern of size ‘Small’.	74
Fig. (3.11): sample of suggested pattern of size ‘Medium’.	75
Fig. (3.12): sample of suggested pattern of size ‘Large’.	76
Fig. (3.13): sample of suggested pattern of size ‘X-Large’.	77
Chapter 4: Results and Discussions.	
Fig. (4.1): The significance of the differences between the average scores of the two methods "A and B" Used for blouse modeling (Front: size "S")	80

Fig. (4.2): The significance of the differences between the average scores of the two methods "A and B" Used for blouse modeling (Back: size "S")	82
Fig. (4.3): The significance of the differences between the average scores of the two methods "A and B" Used for blouse modeling (Sleeve: size "S")	84
Fig. (4.4): The significance of the differences between the average scores of the two methods "A and B" Used for blouse modeling (the total for size "S")	86
Fig. (4.5): The significance of the differences between the average scores of the two methods "A and B" Used for blouse modeling (Front: size "M")	87
Fig. (4.6): The significance of the differences between the average scores of the two methods "A and B" Used for blouse modeling (Back: size "M")	90
Fig. (4.7): The significance of the differences between the average scores of the two methods "A and B" Used for blouse modeling (Sleeve: size "M")	92
Fig. (4.8): The significance of the differences between the average scores of the two methods "A and B" Used for blouse modeling (the total for size "M")	94
Fig. (4.9): The significance of the differences between the average scores of the two methods "A and B" Used for blouse modeling (Front: size "L")	95
Fig. (4.10): The significance of the differences between the average scores of the two methods "A and B" Used for blouse modeling (Back: size "L")	98
Fig. (4.11): The significance of the differences between the average scores of the two methods "A and B" Used for blouse modeling (Sleeve: size "L")	100
Fig. (4.12): The significance of the differences between the average scores of the two methods "A and B" Used for blouse modeling (the total for size "L")	102
Fig. (4.13): The significance of the differences between the average scores of the two methods "A and B" Used for blouse modeling (Front: size "XL")	103
Fig. (4.14): The significance of the differences between the average scores of the two methods "A and B" Used for blouse modeling (Back: size "XL")	106

Fig. (4.15): The significance of the differences between the average scores of the two methods "A and B" Used for blouse modeling (Sleeve: size "XL")	108
Fig. (4.16): The significance of the differences between the average scores of the two methods "A and B" Used for blouse modeling (the total for size "XL")	110
Fig. (4.17): The significance of the differences between the average scores of the two methods "B and Suggested" Used for blouse modeling (Front: size "S")	113
Fig. (4.18): The significance of the differences between the average scores of the two methods "B and Suggested" Used for blouse modeling (Back: size "S")	115
Fig. (4.19): The significance of the differences between the average scores of the two methods "B and Suggested" Used for blouse modeling (Sleeve: size "S")	118
Fig. (4.20): The significance of the differences between the average scores of the two methods "B and Suggested" Used for blouse modeling (the total for size "S")	119
Fig. (4.21): The significance of the differences between the average scores of the two methods "B and Suggested" Used for blouse modeling (Front: size "M")	121
Fig. (4.22): The significance of the differences between the average scores of the two methods "B and Suggested" Used for blouse modeling (Back: size "M")	123
Fig. (4.23): The significance of the differences between the average scores of the two methods "B and Suggested" Used for blouse modeling (Sleeve: size "M")	126
Fig. (4.24): The significance of the differences between the average scores of the two methods "B and Suggested" Used for blouse modeling (the total for size "M")	127
Fig. (4.25): The significance of the differences between the average scores of the two methods "B and Suggested" Used for blouse modeling (Front: size "L")	129
Fig. (4.26): The significance of the differences between the average scores of the two methods "B and Suggested" Used for blouse modeling (Back: size "L")	131
Fig. (4.27): The significance of the differences between the average scores of the two methods "B and Suggested" Used for blouse modeling (Sleeve: size "L")	134