



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرو فيلم

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



MONA MAGHRABY



Ain Shams University



*Faculty of Science
Department of Geophysics*

**“ASSESSMENT OF GROUNDWATER POTENTIAL AND
AQUIFER CHARACTERISTICS USING GEOMAGNETIC
AND GEOELECTRICAL DATA AROUND WADI
GHWEIBBA AREA, NORTH EAST OF THE EASTERN
DESERT, EGYPT”**

*Submitted for
The degree of Philosophy Doctor (Ph. D.) of Science Degree in Geophysics*

By

Mahmoud Shehata Sharkawi Ahmed

B.Sc., Geology, Al-Azhar University (2009)

M.Sc., Applied Geophysics, Al-Azhar University (2016)

To

*Geophysics Department, Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University*

Supervised by

Prof. Dr.Sami Hamed Abd El-Nabi

Professor of Geophysics, Department
of Geophysics, Faculty of Science,
Ain Shams University.

Prof. Dr. Ahmad Muhammad Sobhy

Professor of Geophysics, Department
of Geophysics, Faculty of Science,
Ain Shams University.

Prof. Dr. Sultan Awad Sultan

Professor of Applied Geophysics, National
Research Institute of Astronomy
and Geophysics, Helwan.

Dr. Noha Mohamed Fathalla

Lecturer of applied Geophysics, Department
of Geology, Faculty of Science,
Menoufia University.



Approval Sheet

Title: “Assessment of Groundwater Potential and Aquifer Characteristics using Geomagnetic and Geoelectrical Data around Wadi Ghweibba Area, North East of the Eastern Desert, Egypt”

Name: Mahmoud Shehata Sharkawi Ahmed

Supervisors

Name:	Position:	Signature:
Prof. Dr.Sami Hamed Abd El-Nabi	<i>Professor of Geophysics, Department of Geophysics, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University.</i>	
Prof. Dr. Ahmad Muhammad Sobhy	<i>Professor of Geophysics, Department of Geophysics, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University.</i>	
Prof. Dr. Sultan Awad Sultan	<i>Professor of Applied Geophysics, National Research Institute of Astronomy and Geophysics, Helwan.</i>	
Dr. Noha Mohamed Fathalla	<i>Lecturer of applied Geophysics, Department of Geology, Faculty of Science, Menoufia University.</i>	

Thesis has been approved on:

Approval seal:

/ /2021

Faculty approval:

University council approval:

/ /2021

/ /2021



Approval Sheet

Title: “Assessment of Groundwater Potential and Aquifer Characteristics using Geomagnetic and Geoelectrical Data around Wadi Ghweibba Area, North East of the Eastern Desert, Egypt”

Name: Mahmoud Shehata Sharkawi Ahmed

Judging and discussion committee

Name:	Position:	Signature:
Prof. Dr.Sami Hamed Abd El-Nabi	<i>Professor of Geophysics, Department of Geophysics, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University.</i>	
Prof. Dr. Ahmad Muhammad Sobhy	<i>Professor of Geophysics, Department of Geophysics, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University.</i>	
Prof. Dr. Hassan Saleh Sabet	<i>Professor of Geophysics and head of geology dept., Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University.</i>	
Prof. Dr. Mohamed Abbas Mabrouk	<i>Emeritus Professor of Geophysics, Geophysics Department, Desert Research Center</i>	

Thesis has been approved on:

Approval seal:

/ /2021

Faculty approval:

University council approval:

/ /2021

/ /2021

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First and forever all gratitude and praise is due to almighty "**Allah**" who guided and aided me to bring forth this work to light.

I wish to express my greatest and deepest gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Sami Hamed Abd El-Nabi**, Professor of Geophysics, Department of Geophysics, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, for his supervision, advisement and being a great scholar.

I'm mostly indebted and thankful to **Prof. Dr. Ahmad Muhammad Sobhy**, Professor of Geophysics, Department of Geophysics, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, for his helpful arguments and valuable guidance during the work.

I wish to express my deep gratitude and appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Sultan Awad Sultan**, Professor of Applied Geophysics, National Research Institute of Astronomy and Geophysics (**NRIAG**), Helwan, for all his supporting, encouraging, guiding, and helping me during all stages this work.

I would like to offer my most grateful to **Dr. Noha Mohamed Fathalla**, Lecturer of applied Geophysics, Department of Geology, Faculty of Science, Menoufia University, for his support, kindness, and helpful discussions, but no words of thanks or feelings could be enough.

My great appreciation and gratitude are to **Prof. Dr. Mohamed Abd El-Hamid Dawoud**, Professor of Hydrogeology, National Water Research Center (**NWRC**), for his supporting me to complete this work.

Deep thanks to all my brother's Geomagnetic laboratory (**NRIAG**), especially **Mr./ Mohamed Mostafa Abd El-Mosen** assistance researcher in geomagnetism and ground electricity for valuable help me to finish this work.

Last but not least, this thesis is dedicated to **my God** and I hope to accept it from me as a sincerely work, and then to my **parents**, my **brothers** especially **Mr./ Mustafa Shehata Sharkawi**.

LIST OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
LIST OF CONTENTS.	I
LIST OF FIGURES.	VIII
LIST OF TABLES.	XII
ABSTRACT.	XV
 CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION.	
1.1. General View.	1
1.2. Location of the Area of Study.	2
1.3. Objectives of the Study.	3
1.4. Methodology.	4
1.5. Previous Work	4
 CHAPTER TWO: GENERAL GEOLOGICAL SETTING.	
2.1. Geomorphology.	8
2.2. Surface Stratigraphy.	10
2.2.1. Middle Eocene.	14
a. Hof Mountain Formation.	14
b. Ramia Formation.	14
2.2.2. Upper Eocene.	12
2.2.3. Oligocene.	12
2.2.4. Middle Miocene.	13

LIST OF CONTENTS

2.3.5. Upper Miocene.	14
a. Wadi Okheider Succession.	14
b. Hagul Formation.	14
2.2.6. Quaternary.	15
a. Alluvium.	15
b. Wadi deposits.	15
c. Coastal sands.	15
d. Sabkha.	16
2.3. Structural Setting.	16
2.3.1. Surface structures of the area of study.	16
2.4. Subsurface Stratigraphy.	18

CHAPTER THREE: REMOTE SENSING AND GIS.

3.1. General View.	20
3.2. Digital Elevation Model (DEM).	21
3.2.1 Topographic contour map.	23
3.2.2. Terrain analysis.	24
a. Slope images.	24
b. Aspect images.	24
c. Shaded relief (Hill shade).	24
3.2.3. Watershed analysis.	27
3.2.3.1. Generation of watershed modeling.	28
a. Filling sinks.	28
b. Flow direction.	29
c. Flow accumulation.	30
d. Basin.	31
e. Stream link	33
f. Stream order	33
g. Stream to feature	33
3.2.3.2. Quantitative analysis of the drainage basins.	36
a. Stream Orders (U).	36
b. Stream Length (Lu).	36

LIST OF CONTENTS

c. Bifurcation Ratio (Rb).	37
d. Drainage Density (D).	37
e. Stream Frequency (Fs).	37
f. Basin shape.	38
3.2.4. Hydrological budget of the basins in the area of study.	41

CHAPTER FOUR: MAGNETIC DATA ANALYSIS.

4.1. General View.	42
4.2. Magnetic Properties.	43
4.2.1. Susceptibility.	43
4.2.2. Susceptibilities of rocks and minerals.	44
4.3. Magnetic Field of the Earth.	45
4.4. Instrument Overview.	45
4.5. Magnetic Data.	46
4.5.1. Data acquisition.	46
4.5.2. Data corrections.	47
4.5.2.1. Diurnal variation correction.	47
4.5.2.2. Geomagnetic field correction.	47
4.5.3. Qualitative interpretation of the magnetic data.	48
4.5.3.1. The Geomagnetic maps.	48
4.5.3.1.1. The Total magnetic field intensity map.	48
4.5.3.1.2. Concept of the reduction to the pole.	50
4.5.3.1.3. Description of the reduced to the magnetic pole map.	51
4.5.4 Quantitative interpretation of magnetic data.	52
4.5.4.1. Two-dimensional radial averaged power spectrum.	52
4.5.4.2. Filtering techniques.	54
4.5.4.2.1. The High-pass and Low-pass filters	54
4.5.4.3. Edge detection methods.	57
4.5.4.3.1. Vertical derivatives.	57
4.5.4.3.2. Tilt Derivative method (TDR).	59
4.5.4.4. Structural interpretation of the magnetic field data.	61
4.5.4.4.1. Trend analysis technique.	62

LIST OF CONTENTS

4.5.4.4.2. Results and discussion of trend analysis.	65
1. N-S (East-African) Trend.	69
2. NNE-SSW Trend.	69
3. The NE-SW Trend.	69
4. ENE-WSW Trend.	70
5. The E-W Trend.	71
6. NNW-SSE (Atalla) Trend.	71
7. NW-SE (Gulf of Suez-Red Sea, Clysmic or Erythrean) Trend.	72
8. WNW-ESE Trend.	72
4.5.4.5. Three-dimensional Euler deconvolution.	73
4.5.4.6. Two-dimensional magnetic modeling:	76
a. Profile P1 – P1`.	78
b. Profile P2 – P2`.	79
c. Profile P3 – P3`.	80
d. Profile P4 – P4`.	81
e. Profile P5 – P5`.	82
4.5.4.7. Three-dimensional magnetic modeling.	83
4.5.4.7.1.. Preparation of the three-dimensional magnetic modeling.	83
4.5.4.7.2. Analysis and interpretation of the results given by the application of 3-D magnetic models.	86
a. The topographic surface (upper surface).	86
b. The depth to the basement rocks (lower surface).	86

CHAPTER FIVE: GEOELECTRICAL INVESTIGATION.

5.1. General View.	89
5.2. Basic Principles.	90
5.3. The relation between Geology and Resistivity.	93
5.4. Electrode Configurations.	95
5.5. Geoelectrical Data Measurements.	97
5.5.1. Instrument overview.	97
5.5.2. Data acquisitions.	98

LIST OF CONTENTS

5.6. Resistivity Data Interpretation.	99
5.6.1. Qualitative interpretation.	99
5.6.1.1 Iso-apparent resistivity maps.	100
5.6.1.1.1. Iso-apparent resistivity map at $AB/2 = 5$ m.	102
5.6.1.1.2. Iso-apparent resistivity map at $AB/2 = 12$ m.	102
5.6.1.1.3. Iso-apparent resistivity map at $AB/2 = 65$ m.	104
5.6.1.1.4. Iso-apparent resistivity map at $AB/2 = 120$ m.	104
5.6.1.1.5. Iso-apparent resistivity map at $AB/2 = 300$ m.	106
5.6.1.2. Apparent resistivity pseudo-sections	107
5.6.2 Quantitative interpretation.	109
5.6.2.1 Geoelectrical cross-sections.	115
5.6.2.2 Depth contour maps.	119
5.6.2.2.1. Depth contour map of the second geoelectrical unit.	119
5.6.2.2.2. Depth contour map of the third geoelectrical unit.	119
5.6.2.2.3 Depth contour map of the fourth geoelectrical unit.	121
5.6.2.2.4. Depth contour map of the fifth geoelectrical unit.	121
5.6.2.3 Iso-pach maps.	122
5.6.2.3.1. Iso-pach map of the first geoelectrical unit.	122
5.6.2.3.2. Iso-pach map of the second geoelectrical unit.	123
5.6.2.3.3. Iso-pach map of the third geoelectrical unit.	123
5.6.2.3.4. Iso-pach map of the fourth geoelectrical unit.	125
5.6.2.4. True resistivity map of the third geoelectrical unit (upper Miocene aquifer).	125
5.7. Dar-Zarrouk Parameters.	126
5.8. Analyses of Dar-Zarrouk Parameters.	128
5.8.1. Longitudinal unit conductance (S).	128
5.8.2. Transverse unit resistance (T).	130
5.8.3. Coefficient of anisotropy (λ).	130
5.8.4. Significance and use of D-Z parameters.	134

CHAPTER SIX: WELL LOGGING ANALYSIS.

6.1. General View.	135
6.2. Correlation of logging methods in boreholes.	137

LIST OF CONTENTS

6.3. Qualitative Log Analysis.	142
6.4. Quantitative Log Analysis.	144
6.4.1. Determination of the volume of shale (V_{sh}).	144
6.4.2. Determination of the fresh water/salt water boundary.	149

CHAPTER SEVEN: HYDROGEOCHEMICAL ASPECTS.

7.1 General View.	151
7.1 Hydrochemical Parameters of the Upper Miocene Aquifer System.	155
7.1.1. Frequency distribution of total salinity.	155
7.1.2. Total hardness.	156
7.1.3. Alkalinity.	157
7.2. Major Ion Relation.	158
7.2.1. Water-types and ion dominance.	158
7.2.2. Hypothetical salt combination.	160
7.2.3. Hydrochemical parameters (ion ratios).	164
7.2.3.1. Sodium/chloride ratio (rNa^+/rCl^-).	164
7.2.3.2. Sulfate/chloride ratio (rSO_4^{--}/rCl^-).	165
7.2.3.3. Calcium/chloride ratio (rCa^{++}/rCl^-).	165
7.2.3.4. Magnesium/chloride ratio (rMg^{++}/rCl^-).	165
7.2.3.5. Potassium/chloride ratio (rK^{++}/rCl^-).	165
7.2.3.6. Bicarbonate/chloride ratio ($rHco_3^-/rCl^-$).	166
7.2.3.7. Sodium/potassium ratio (rNa^+/rCl^-).	166
7.3. Geochemical Classification of Groundwater Samples.	167
7.3.1. Piper trilinear diagram.	167
7.3.2. Sulin's diagram.	171
7.3.3. Durov's diagram.	174
7.3.4. Schoeller semi-logarithmic graph.	177
7.3.5. Evaluation of groundwater quality for different uses.	180
7.3.5.1. Evaluation for drinking and domestic uses.	180
7.3.5.2. Evaluation for livestock and Poultry use.	182
7.3.5.3. Evaluation of groundwater for irrigation purposes.	185
7.3.5.3.1. Salinity hazard.	185

LIST OF CONTENTS

7.3.5.3.2. Sodium hazard (SAR).	185
7.3.5.3.3. Residual Sodium Carbonates (R.S.C.).	187
7.3.5.3.4. Sodium content (Na %).	189
7.3.5.4. Evaluation of groundwater for industrial uses.	190
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS.	192
REFERENCES	200
ARABIC SUMMERY	

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page No.
Fig. 1.1: Location of the area of study.	2
Fig. 2.1: The main landforms in the area of study (Modified after Ezz El-din, 2010).	9
Fig. 2.2: Stratigraphic column of the area of study, modified after (Abdallah and Abd El-Hady 1966).	11
Fig. 2.3: Geologic map of the area of study (modified after Conco, 1987).	13
Fig. 2.4: Structural map of the Gulf of Suez rift (After Moustafa and Khalil 1994).	17
Fig.2.5: Lithostratigraphic sequence of well No.5.	19
Fig. 3.1: Digital Elevation Model (DEM) of the area of study.	22
Fig. 3.2: Topographic contour map of the area of study showing the distribution of the elevation points, (contour interval =100m).	23
Fig. 3.3: Slope for DEM of the area of study.	25
Fig. 3.4: Aspect for DEM of the area of study.	26
Fig. 3.5: Shaded relief image derived from the DEM displaying the distinct topographic features.	27
Fig. 3.6: Fill DEM of the area of study.	29
Fig. 3.7: The eight possible flow directions from a cell (After ESRI, 2011).	30
Fig. 3.8: Flow direction map of the area of study.	31
Fig. 3.9: Flow accumulation map of the area of study.	32
Fig. 3.10: Studied basins of the area of study.	32
Fig. 3.11: Stream order of Ghweiba basin.	34
Fig. 3.12: Stream order of Badaa basin.	35
Fig. 3.13: Flow chart of Hydrological model.	35
Fig. 4.1: ENVI-MAG total field kit.	46
Fig. 4.2: Total intensity magnetic map of the area of study.	50
Fig. 4.3: Total intensity magnetic map reduced to the pole (RTP Map) of the area of study.	52
Fig. 4.4: The 2-D average power spectrum curve of the area of study.	53
Fig. 4.5: a. High pass magnetic filter map of the area of study with effective cutoff Wavelength of 0.315 Km^{-1} . b. low pass magnetic filter map of the area of study with effective cutoff Wavelength of 0.315 km^{-1} .	56
Fig. 4.6: The First vertical derivative magnetic field of the area of study.	59
Fig. 4.7: Tilt Derivative filter applied on RTP map of the area of study.	61
Fig. 4.8: Interpreted faults as deduced from the RTP magnetic anomaly map.	63