

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم





شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكرو فيلم



جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار





“Evaluation of Horizontal Alveolar Ridge Augmentation using Pericardial Membrane versus Titanium Mesh” (Histological and Clinical Study)

A thesis submitted to the Department of Oral Medicine, Periodontology, Oral Diagnosis and Radiology, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the Master's degree in Periodontology.

By

Ahmed Wafaa AbdelAzeem Bughdadi Abaza

B.D.S. 2004

College of Oral and Dental Medicine and Surgery
Misr University for Science and Technology

Supervisors

Prof.Dr Nevine Hassan Kheir El Din

*Professor of Oral Medicine, Periodontology and Oral Diagnosis
Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University*

Ass. Prof.Dr.Waleed Mohammed Abbas

*Assistant Professor of Oral Medicine, Periodontology and Oral
Diagnosis Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University*

Ass. Prof.Dr.Dina Mohammed Abdel Khalik

*Assistant Professor of Oral Biology, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams
University*

*Faculty of Dentistry
Ain Shams University
2021*

Acknowledgment

*First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **ALLAH**, the Most Kind and Most Merciful.*

*I'd like to express my respectful thanks and profound gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Mervine Hassan Kheir El Din**, Professor of Oral Medicine, Periodontology and Oral Diagnosis Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University for her keen guidance, kind supervision, valuable advice and continuous encouragement, which made the completion of this work possible.*

*My deepest gratitude and thanks to **Ass. Prof. Dr. Waleed Mohammed Abbass**, Assistant professor of Oral Medicine, Periodontology and Oral Diagnosis Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for his kind care, continuous supervision, valuable instructions, constant help and great assistance throughout this work.*

*I am deeply thankful to **Ass. Prof. Dr. Dina Mohammed Abdel Khalik**, Assistant Professor of Oral Biology, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University for her great help, active participation and guidance.*

Ahmed Abaza

Dedication

*Words can never express my sincere thanks to the soul of **My Mother, My Father, My Sister, My Brothers, My Daughter, and My Wife** for their generous emotional support and continuous encouragement, which brought the best out of me. I owe them all every achievement throughout my life.*

*I would like to express my everlasting gratitude to all **My Professors, Colleagues and Friends**, so many of them influenced, encouraged and inspired me throughout the years. I wish them the best of all.*

Abstract

Reconstruction of alveolar bone defect can be achieved by many regenerative surgical procedures, including ridge splitting, onlay grafting, interpositional inlay grafting, distraction osteogenesis, and guided bone regeneration (GBR). In restoring horizontal bone deficiency, GBR was successfully developed. However, there is still a debate about the optimal barrier for GBR.

The aim of the present study was evaluation of dimensional changes following horizontal alveolar ridge augmentation in the esthetic zone using pericardial membrane versus titanium mesh histologically, radiographically, and clinically.

This study included twenty patients suffering from maxillary partial edentulism with inadequate bone width to allow implant placement within an adequate bone volume that allows long term stability of the installed dental implant. Patients were each assigned to a number starting from (1) to (20) and were randomly and equally allocated to group I (PM) and group II (TM) following a simple randomization procedure.

A baseline CBCT was performed while the patient was wearing the vacuum stent to evaluate the dimension of defected site. A standardized sagittal cross-section view of the CBCT was detected by reference mark and radiopaque gutta-percha point. The labio-palatal dimension was measured on three levels: first on the crest, second at apical line and one midway between the crest and apical line. The mean of these measurements was calculated to represent the preoperative width for each case. Then, the same measurements were repeated at 4-months postoperatively.

Bone block was harvested from donor site symphysis area for both groups using trephine bur, then an auto max trephine bur was used to harvest autogenous cancellous particulate bone from the same site of the harvested block. The harvested cancellous particulate autogenous bone graft was mixed with an equal volume of particulate anorganic bovine bone matrix (ABBM) to create a 1:1 composite graft. The augmentation technique was the same in both groups, except the membrane material. Bone block was fixed using titanium screw. In 1st group, bovine pericardium membrane (BP) was placed to cover the graft. while in 2nd group fixation of ti-mesh membrane over the graft material, periosteal releasing incision was performed to achieve tension-free closure.

Keywords: guided bone regeneration, anorganic bovine bone matrix

List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	i
List of Figures	ii
List of Abbreviations.....	xi
Introduction	1
Review of Literature	5
Aim of the study.....	42
Subjects and Methods.....	43
Results	67
Case Presentation	83
Discussion	134
Summary	147
Conclusions	150
Recommendations	151
References	152
Arabic Summary	—

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (1):	Statistics of demographic data for both groups.....	68
Table (2):	Mean and standard deviation (SD) values for histomorphometric analysis for both groups.....	72
Table (3):	Mean and standard deviation (SD) values for labio palatal alveolar ridge (LP) dimensions (mm) measured radiographically for both groups.....	74
Table (4):	Mean and standard deviation (SD) values for labio palatal alveolar ridge (LP) dimensions changes (mm) measured radiographically for both groups.	76
Table (5):	Mean and standard deviation (SD) values for labio palatal alveolar ridge (LP) dimensions percentage of change (%) measured radiographically for both groups.....	77
Table (6):	Mean and standard deviation (SD) values for labio palatal alveolar ridge (LP) dimension (mm), measured clinically for both groups.	79
Table (7):	Mean and standard deviation (SD) values for labio palatal alveolar ridge (LP) dimension changes (mm) measured clinically for both groups.....	81
Table (8):	Mean and standard deviation (SD) values for labio palatal alveolar ridge (LP) dimensions percentage of change (%) measured clinically for both groups.....	82

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Fig. (1):	Shows palatal view of acetate stent with gutta-percha reference point A) on the cast, B) Intraoral.	46
Fig. (2):	Shows CBCT to evaluate the donor site symphysial area.....	46
Fig. (3):	Preoperative sagittal section CBCT showing vertical measurement from crest to nasal floor >8mm, and showing gutta-percha radiopaque point.....	47
Fig. (4):	Preoperative CBCT sagittal cross-section standardized by gutta-percha point and show labio palatal dimension on three levels crestal, middle and apical. A) preoperative B) 4 months post-operative.	48
Fig. (5):	Labial view showing full-thickness flap was elevated and exposed to a deficient ridge by crestal and vertical incision.....	49
Fig. (6):	Crestal view showing UNC 15-color coded periodontal probe to measure the horizontal alveolar ridge defect.....	50
Fig. (7):	A) labial view showing a vestibular approach to expose the mandibular symphysis and B) harvested block using a trephine bur size 8mm diameter.....	52
Fig. (8):	Labial view shows donor site after bone harvesting.....	52
Fig. (9):	Auto-max trephine bur used to harvest autogenous particulate bone.....	52
Fig. (10):	Labial view shows suturing of the mucosa of the donor site using polypropylene 5/0.....	53
Fig. (11):	A) Cerabone botiss natural bovine bone grafting material, (B)Showing 50:50 mixture autogenous particulate with ABBM was made.....	54
Fig. (12):	Labial view showing multiple decortications through the buccal cortical bone.	54
Fig. (13):	Labial view showing harvested bone block fixed by 10mm titanium screw.	55

List of Figures Cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Fig. (14):	(A) Labial view showing the particulate bone graft placed around supporting tenting screws to the level of the screw head fixation of the membrane on the palatal side first using bone tacs then filling the defect with the bone mixture was done (B) Fixation of membrane on the labial side.	56
Fig. (15):	(A) composite graft ABBM and harvested autogenous bone compacted around the bone block and cover head of titanium screw, fixation of (ti-mesh) by titanium screw palatally. B) Fixation of (ti-mesh) by mini-screws at the labial side.	57
Fig. (16):	Labial view showing surgical site after suturing the flap.	57
Fig. (17):	Labial view showing follow up after two weeks A) recipient site, B)donor site.....	58
Fig. (18):	Showing follow up after four months A) labial view B) occlusal view	59
Fig. (19):	Standardized sagittal section from CBCT shows evaluation of alveolar ridge width after four months before the 2nd stage. A) (PM) group I B) (TM) group II.	59
Fig. (20):	Occluso-labial view A) Showing incision B) Exposure of the augmented site of (PM) after four months and final measurement labio palatal was 6mm using UNC-15 mm periodontal probe.....	60
Fig. (21):	A) Labial view showing the exposure of (ti-mesh) and augmented alveolar ridge.....	61
Fig. (22):	Occlusal view showing A)Obtaining a core sample B) using trephine bur 1.7mm C) for both groups.	62
Fig. (23):	Labial view showing implant placement four months postoperatively in augmented site A) (PM), B) (TM).	63
Fig. (24):	Bar chart showing the gender distribution for both groups	68
Fig. (25):	Bar chart showing average age (years) of the participants for both groups.	69

List of Figures Cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Fig. (26):	A photomicrograph of (PM) stained with HandE. showing formation of newly formed bone with a fair thickness (double-head arrow) and vascularity (blue arrow). The osteoblastic cells lining bone surface (yellow arrow) and abundance of osteocytes (green arrow) are less pronounced. Note the presence of residues from the grafting material (black arrow)	70
Fig. (27):	A photomicrograph of a (TM) stained with HandE showing dense deposition of newly formed bone. The bone trabeculae are highly vascularized and highly cellular (blue arrows). The formed bone exhibits signs of viability in the abundance of osteocytes (yellow arrows) and osteoblastic cells lining the bone surface (green arrow). Newly formed bone with a fair thickness (double-head arrow)	71
Fig. (28):	Bar chart showing mean values for histomorphometric analysis for both groups.....	72
Fig. (29):	Bar chart showing mean values for LP dimension (mm) measured radiographically for both groups.	75
Fig. (30):	Line chart showing mean values for LP dimension (mm) measured radiographically for both groups.	75
Fig. (31):	Bar chart showing mean values for LP dimension changes (mm) for both groups.....	76
Fig. (32):	Bar chart showing mean values (LP) dimension percentage of change (%) measured radiographically for both groups.	77
Fig. (33):	Bar chart showing mean values for (LP) dimension (mm) measured clinically for both groups.	79
Fig. (34):	Line chart showing mean values for (LP) dimension (mm) measured clinically for both groups.	80
Fig. (35):	Bar chart showing mean values for LP dimension changes (mm) measured clinically for both groups.....	81

List of Figures Cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Fig. (36):	Bar chart showing mean values for (LP) dimension percentage change (%) measured clinically for both groups.	82
Fig. (37):	(A) Fabrication of vacuum form acetate stent (0.4 mm base plate thermoforming material), Gutta-percha references points placed on the palatine surface.....	83
Fig. (38):	(A)Cone beam CT blue sky soft wear shows preoperative labio palatal dimension on 3 level crest, middle and apical. CBCT Taken with vacuum stent (.B) sagittal section standardized by radiopaque Gutta-percha as reference point.	84
Fig. (39):	Labial view shows Preoperative affected area.....	85
Fig. (40):	Labial view showing expose of the defected area by crestal and vertical incision.	85
Fig. (41):	Occlusal View showing labio-palatal measurement of the horizontally defected area at tooth upper left 1.	86
Fig. (42):	Labial view showing Donor site (sympysial) area.	86
Fig. (43):	Labial View showing block harvesting from symphisyeal area.....	87
Fig. (44):	Block harvested using trephine bure size 8.	88
Fig. (45):	Showing harvesting particulated autogenouse bone from donor site symphysial area using Auto max drill	89
Fig. (46):	Labial view showing recipient bone bed is prepared with multiple decrticalization holes.....	90
Fig. (47):	(A)labial view showing fixation of harvested bone block by titanium mini screw B) Occlusal view.	91
Fig. (48):	Mixtur of autogenous particulated bone and ABBM granules form 1:1 composit graft.....	92
Fig. (49):	Labial view showing pericardium membrane stabilized palatly by tack and composit grafted in place covering head of titanium screw fill space allaround the bone block.	92

List of Figures Cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Fig. (50):	Labial view showing fixation of pericardium membrane labially and apically using titanium tacks.....	93
Fig. (51):	Occlusal view showing released flap A double layer suturing technique was used using a horizontal mattress suture placed 5mm from the incision line along with simple interrupted sutures using 5-0 polypropylene suture.	93
Fig. (52):	Occlusal view showing healing of augmented site after 2 weeks.	94
Fig. (53):	Labial view showing suture and healing of donor site after 2 weeks.	94
Fig. (54):	Labial view showing healing of augmented site with temporary bridge adjustment follow up after 1 month.	95
Fig. (55):	Labial view showing healing augmented site follow up after 2 months.	95
Fig. (56):	Labia view showing healing of augmented site after 4 months postoperatively.....	96
Fig. (57):	Occlusal view showing healing befor 2 nd tage.	96
Fig. (58):	Post operative CBCT after 4 months standardized sagittal cross section references by radio opaque gutta-percha point on palatal side showing labio palatal width increased to be 5.45 at crest level	97
Fig. (59):	Occlusal view Showing exposure of regenerated bone site Not (red vascularized bone)	98
Fig. (60):	Occlusal view showing final measurement buco-palatlly of augmented site more than 5 mm using UNC-15 mm periodontal probe.	98
Fig. (61):	Occlusal view.(A) Biopsy of bone harvested from augmented area using trephine bure (B). site of harvested core.	99
Fig. (62):	Occlusal view showing placing implant in augmented site.....	100

List of Figures Cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Fig. (63):	Occlusal view showing the covering screw of implant at crestal bone level.	100
Fig. (64):	Labial view showing augmented bone and implant placement in augmented area. red vascularized bone.....	101
Fig. (65):	Placement of platelet rich plasma (PRF) enhance soft tissue healing.	101
Fig. (66):	Occlusal view suture after implant placement.....	102
Fig. (67):	CBCT sagittal cross section showing implant placement.....	102
Fig. (68):	Periapical x-ray showing implant position and tacks let not removed.	103
Fig. (69):	Occlusal view showing soft tissue healing after 3 months before prosthetic stage.	103
Fig. (70):	Labial View showing temporization for soft tissue contouring.	104
Fig. (71):	Labial view showing final abutment placed on implant.	104
Fig. (72):	(A)Occlusal view showing implant, collar and soft tissue contouring using (B)screw retained ovate abutment	105
Fig. (73):	E-max crown screw retained on the cast.	106
Fig. (74):	Showing screw retained crown E-max on implant and crowns on adjacent teeth.....	106
Fig. (75):	(A)Occlusal view & (B) Labial view scalloped shape of gingiva formed by PMMA temporary crowns.	107
Fig. (76):	Final restoration.....	108
Fig. (77):	Labia view showing preoperative photo for defected site teeth	109
Fig. (78):	Showing wax-up and prosthetic design of defected site.....	109
Fig. (79):	Fabrication of vacuum form acetate stent (0.4 mm base plate thermoforming material),Gutta-percha references points placed on the palatine surface.	110