



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



MONA MAGHRABY



Cairo University

SEISMIC RESILIENCE QUANTIFICATION OF A HOSPITAL DESIGNED ACCORDING TO ECP-201

By

Heidi Yosri Mohammad Hosni Ibrahim

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Structural Engineering

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2021

SEISMIC RESILIENCE QUANTIFICATION OF A HOSPITAL DESIGNED ACCORDING TO ECP-201

By
Heidi Yosri Mohammad Hosni Ibrahim

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Structural Engineering

Under the Supervision of

**Prof. Dr. Walid Abdel Latif
Attia**

.....
Professor of Structural Analysis and
Mechanics
Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2021

SEISMIC RESILIENCE QUANTIFICATION OF A HOSPITAL DESIGNED ACCORDING TO ECP-201

By
Heidi Yosri Mohammad Hosni Ibrahim

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Structural Engineering

Approved by the
Examining Committee

Prof. Dr. Walid Abdel Latif Attia,

Thesis Main Advisor

Prof. Dr. Sherif Ahmed Mourad,

Internal Examiner

Prof. Dr. Hatem Hamdy Ghith,

External Examiner

Professor at Housing and Building National Research Center.

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2021

Engineer: Heidi Yosri Mohammad Hosni.
Date of Birth: 09 / 10 / 1990.
Nationality: Egyptian
E-mail: haidyosry@gmail.com
Phone: +201278003919
Address: 19 Tarek Ibn Ziad St., Giza, Egypt.
Registration Date: 01 / 10 / 2015.
Awarding Date: / / 2021.
Degree: Master of Science.
Department: Structural Engineering.



Supervisors:
Prof. Dr. Walid Abdel Latif Attia.

Examiners:
Prof. Dr. Walid Abdel Latif Attia. (Thesis main advisor)
Prof. Dr. Sherif Ahmed Mourad. (Internal examiner)
Prof. Dr. Hatem Hamdy Ghith. (External examiner)
Professor at Housing and Building National Research Center.

Title of Thesis:

Seismic Resilience's Quantification of a Hospital Designed According to ECP-201.

Key Words:
Seismic, Resilience, Assessment, FEMA, PACT.

Summary:

This thesis presents seismic resilience quantification of a hospital designed according to the Egyptian code (ECP-201) in terms of repair cost, repair time and casualties. The prototype building is an existed typical 5-story reinforced concrete structure with shear walls and expansion joint, located in Suez. Building's safety against lateral loads was checked according to ECP-201 provisions. Static non-linear (Pushover) analysis was conducted. Collapse fragility functions of building's structural and non-structural components were established using incremental dynamic analysis (IDA). Building's seismic resilience was quantified using new-generation seismic performance assessment methodology proposed by Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), FEMA P-58 along with its associated software, Performance Assessment Calculation Tool (PACT). The outcomes show that building's median repair time is 22 days, median repair cost is \$20,850,000 which is 66.5% of the building's total replacement cost, and median number of casualties is 386 persons.

Disclaimer

I hereby declare that this thesis is my own original work and that no part of it has been submitted for a degree qualification at any other university or institute.

I further declare that I have appropriately acknowledged all sources used and have cited them in the references section.

Name:

Date: ../../...

Signature:

Dedication

I dedicate this thesis to my parents, Fathia Soltan and Yosri Honsi for their unconditional love and support. I'm truly thankful and grateful for having you. Also, I dedicate this thesis to my brother, Rami Yosri, for being a constant source of encouragement and support.

Acknowledgments

I'd like to thank my supervisor, Prof. Dr. Walid Abdel-Latif Attia, Cairo University who was more than generous with his expertise, and precious time. Also, I'd like to express deep appreciation to Prof. Dr. Mohamed Abdel-Mooty, The American University in Cairo, for introducing "Resilience" to me for the first time and recommending it as a thesis topic and I'd like to thank him for his guidance, patience, and support throughout the entire process.

I'd like to express my gratitude to all my friends and colleagues specially Eng. Abanoub Adel Azmy, Eng. Ahmed Mohammad Mokhtar, Dr. Karim Taha and Eng. Waleed Abdel-Salam for support and encouragement.

Table of Contents

DISCLAIMER	I
DEDICATION	II
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	III
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	IV
LIST OF TABLES.....	VII
LIST OF FIGURES.....	VIII
NOMENCLATURE	XI
ABSTRACT	XIV
CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. SEISMIC RESILIENCE DEFINITION	1
1.2. RESEARCH MOTIVATION	1
1.3. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES	2
1.4. THESIS’S ORGANIZATION	2
CHAPTER 2 : LITERATURE REVIEW	4
2.1. RESILIENCE	4
2.2. COMMUNITY SEISMIC RESILIENCE	4
2.2.1. Dimensions of Resilience.....	4
2.3. QUANTIFICATION OF SEISMIC RESILIENCE	6
2.3.1. Performance-Based Seismic Design	6
2.3.2. Performance-Based Seismic Assessment.....	7
2.4. SEISMIC RESILIENCE OF HEALTHCARE FACILITIES.....	8
CHAPTER 3 : DESIGN SAFETY CHECKS AND PUSHOVER ANALYSIS	
APPLICATION	9
3.1. THE CASE STUDY GENERAL DATA	9
3.2. BUILDING MATERIALS	9
3.3. LOADING CRITERIA.....	12
3.4. STIFFNESS ASSUMPTIONS.....	12
3.5. ELASTIC BUILDING MODEL.....	13
3.6. RESPONSE SPECTRUM ANALYSIS (RSA)	14
3.7. HOSPITAL SAFETY CHECKS AGAINST LATERAL LOADS.....	14
3.7.1. Building Stability due to Overturning and Sliding.....	14
3.7.1. P-Delta Effect.....	15
3.7.2. Displacement Analysis.....	16
3.7.3. Story Drift Analysis	19
3.7.4. Design of Columns and Shear Walls.....	21
3.8. PUSHOVER ANALYSIS’ APPLICATION ON THE CASE STUDY	23

3.8.1.	Modeling Approach	23
3.8.1.1.	Plastic Hinges.....	24
3.8.1.2.	Application of loads	25
3.8.2.	Capacity Curve.....	25
3.9.	SUMMARY OF BUILDING COST	26
CHAPTER 4 : PERFORMANCE MODEL ASSEMBLY.....		27
4.1.	SITE AND BUILDING DESCRIPTION	28
4.2.	ASSESSMENT TYPE AND PERFORMANCE MEASURE.....	29
4.3.	SIMPLIFIED ANALYSIS METHOD.....	29
4.4.	BUILDING PERFORMANCE MODEL ASSEMBLY	29
4.4.1.	Project Information	29
4.4.2.	Building Information.....	30
4.4.3.	Population Distribution	31
4.4.4.	Components' Fragility Specifications	32
4.4.4.1.	Structural Components' Fragility Specifications.....	32
4.4.4.2.	Nonstructural Components' Fragility Specifications	34
4.4.5.	Components' Performance Groups	36
4.4.5.1.	Structural Components' Performance Groups.....	36
4.4.5.2.	Non-structural Components' Performance Groups	37
4.4.5.3.	Incremental Dynamic Analysis	41
4.4.5.4.	Simplified Nonlinear Analysis	41
4.4.5.5.	Dispersions in Response Calculations.....	45
4.4.5.6.	Collapse Modes.....	46
CHAPTER 5 : ANALYTICAL MODEL CONSTRUCTION AND PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT		51
5.1.	EARTHQUAKE HAZARDS DEFINITION.....	51
5.2.	BUILDING RESPONSE ANALYSIS.....	52
5.2.1.	Pseudo lateral force (V).....	52
5.2.2.	Estimated Median Story Drift Ratio and Dispersion.....	53
5.2.3.	Estimated Median Peak Floor Acceleration and Dispersion.....	58
5.2.4.	Estimated Median Residual Story Drift Ratio and Dispersion.....	60
5.3.	RESPONSE INPUT	63
5.4.	PERFORMANCE CALCULATION	64
5.4.1.	Demand Simulation.....	64
5.4.2.	Realization Initiation	65
5.4.3.	Collapse Determination.....	65
5.4.3.1.	Collapse modes	65
5.4.3.2.	Repair Cost and Repair Time	65
5.4.3.3.	Causalities	65
5.4.4.	Damage Calculation	66
5.4.5.	Decision Making	66
5.5.	RESULTS REVIEW.....	66
5.5.1.	Repair Cost.....	66
5.5.2.	Repair Time.....	68
5.5.3.	Casualties	70
CHAPTER 6 : SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS.....		72

6.1.	SUMMARY	72
6.2.	CONCLUSIONS	72
6.3.	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE STUDIES	73
REFERENCES		74
APPENDIX A: FRAGILITY SPECIFICATIONS OF THE HOSPITAL BUILDING		79

List of Tables

Table 3.1: Concrete Material Properties.....	9
Table 3.2: Steel Material Properties	9
Table 3.3: Gravity Loading Criteria	12
Table 3.4: Code-level Seismic Design Criteria	12
Table 3.5: Stiffness Assumptions of the Elastic Building Model Elements.....	13
Table 3.6: Dynamic Properties of the Building.....	14
Table 3.7: Building Stability against Overturning.....	15
Table 3.8: Building Stability against Sliding	15
Table 3.9: Story forces, displacements and inter-story drift sensitivity coefficients for zone (A)	16
Table 3.10: Story forces, displacements and inter-story drift sensitivity coefficients for zone (B)	16
Table 3.11: Maximum Floors Displacements for Zones (A) and (B) in Directions X and Y.	17
Table 3.12: Maximum Story Drifts for Zones (A) and (B) in Directions X and Y.....	20
Table 3.13: Columns' Sections, Dimensions and Reinforcement.	21
Table 3.14: Shear Walls' Sections, Thickness and Reinforcement.	21
Table 3.15: Building Cost.....	26
Table 4.1: Fragility Groups Selected Directions for the Beam/ Column Joints	34
Table 4.2: Quantities of Performance Groups for the Reinforced Concrete Elements ..	36
Table 4.3: Roof Drifts' (ft.) Vs. the Base Reactions (kips) in Direction 1.	44
Table 4.4: Collapse Modes and Collapse Floor Area Ratio for the Case Study.....	48
Table 5.1: Lumped Weight Distribution.....	55
Table 5.2: Displacement Resulted from Linear Analysis.....	56
Table 5.3: Median Story Drift Ratio Values.	57
Table 5.4: Median Peak Floor Acceleration Values.....	59
Table 5.5: Listing of Performance Groups in Figure 5.15 from Left to Right.	67
Table 5.5: Listing of Performance Groups in Figure 5.15 from Left to Right.	68
Table 5.6: Listing of Performance Groups in Figures 5.16 and 5.17 from Left to Right.	69
Table 5.6: Listing of Performance Groups in Figures 5.16 and 5.17 from Left to Right.	70
Table 3.2: Another example wide table for demonstration	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table A.1: Structural Elements Fragility Components.	79
Table A.2: Non-Structural Elements Fragility Components.	79
Table A.2: Non-Structural Elements Fragility Components.	80
Table A.2: Non-Structural Elements Fragility Components.	81
Table A.3: Non- Structural Elements Fragility Components, the Assumed Quantities and Quantity Dispersions.	82
Table A.3: Non- Structural Elements Fragility Components, the Assumed Quantities and Quantity Dispersions.	83
Table A.3: Non- Structural Elements Fragility Components, the Assumed Quantities and Quantity Dispersions.	84

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Schematic illustration of seismic resilience concept [4].	1
Figure 2.1: System and community performance measures [2].	6
Figure 2.2: Schematic of disaster recovery [37].	6
Figure 2.3: Present-generation discrete performance levels [18].	7
Figure 3.1: Typical floor plan of the hospital building.	10
Figure 3.2: Typical floor plans of zones (A) and (B).	11
Figure 3.3: 3D view of zones' (A) and (B) elastic model respectively.	13
Figure 3.4: Seismic elastic response spectrum (1) and case study design response spectrum (2).	14
Figure 3.5: Maximum floors displacements' plot in X-direction for zone (A).	17
Figure 3.6: Maximum floors displacements' plot in Y-direction for zone (A).	17
Figure 3.7: Maximum floors displacements' plot in X-direction for zone (B).	18
Figure 3.8: Maximum floors displacements' plot in Y-direction for zone (B).	18
Figure 3.9: Maximum story drifts' plot in X-direction for zone (A).	19
Figure 3.10: Maximum story drifts' plot in Y-direction for zone (A).	19
Figure 3.11: Maximum story drifts' plot in X-direction for zone (B).	20
Figure 3.12: Maximum story drifts' plot in Y-direction for zone (B).	20
Figure 3.13: Columns' dimensions in mm, arrangement and longitudinal reinforcement.	22
Figure 3.14: Shear walls' dimensions in meters, thickness and reinforcement.	23
Figure 3.15: 3D view of the case study.	24
Figure 3.16: Typical hinge properties.	25
Figure 3.17: Hospital's capacity curve.	26
Figure 4.1: FEMA P-58 assessment process procedure [19].	27
Figure 4.2: PACT tabs used in this study.	27
Figure 4.3: PACT input directions in accordance with case study plan view.	28
Figure 4.4: "Project Info" tab in PACT software.	30
Figure 4.5: "Building Info" tab in PACT software.	31
Figure 4.6: "Population" tab in PACT software.	31
Figure 4.7: PACT "Component Fragilities" tab.	32
Figure 4.8: Building definition table of the normative quantity estimation tool.	35
Figure 4.9: "Component Summary Matrix" table for the normative quantity estimation tool.	35
Figure 4.10: PACT data input for direction 1 for 1 st floor structural performance groups.	36
Figure 4.11: PACT data input for direction 2 for 1 st floor structural performance groups.	37
Figure 4.12: PACT data input for non-directional 1 st floor structural performance groups.	37
Figure 4.13: PACT entries for 1 st floor non-structural elements performance groups, direction 1.	39
Figure 4.14: PACT entries for 1 st floor non-structural elements performance groups, direction 2.	39
Figure 4.15: PACT entries for 1 st floor non-structural elements performance groups, non-directional.	40