

شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلو

# بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم





MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلو



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكترونى والميكروفيلم

# جامعة عين شمس التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



MONA MAGHRABY

Ain Shams University
Faculty of Women for
Arts, Science and Education
English Language and Literature Department



## The Role of Output in Selected Writings of Egyptian EFL Learners

A Thesis Submitted to the Department of English Language and Literature, Faculty of Women for Arts, Science and Education

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for the MA Degree in Linguistics

By

Heba Awny Abd El-Hamid

## Under the supervision of

Dr. Nagwa Ibrahim Younis Professor of Linguistics Department of English Faculty of Education Ain Shams University Dr. Marwa Adel Nasser Assistant Professor of Linguistics Department of English Faculty of Women Ain Shams University

### Acknowledgements

Foremost, I want to express my whole-hearted gratitude to Allah Almighty for giving me the strength and ability to finish this research.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude and appreciation to my two supervisors: Prof. Nagwa Younis and Dr. Marwa Adel for giving me their competent guidance, motivation and invaluable remarks throughout this research. It would never have been possible for me to bring this task to completion without their incredible support.

I am highly indebted to my family for the encouragement which helped me complete this paper. I would like to thank my beloved mother Manal El Essawy for her permanent love, prayers, caring and confidence in me. I really owe her more than I can pay, and I am grateful to her more than I can say. Very special thanks go to my sister and brother: Ms. Omnia Awny and Eng. Mohamed Awny who always provided eternal care, support and understanding of my goals. I am thankful for helping me in whatever way they could during this challenging period.

I owe my deepest gratitude towards my beloved and supportive husband, Ismail Hassan, who is always by my side when times I needed him most. His infallible love and support have always been my strength.

I am also extending my thanks to my friends and colleagues for their support and encouragement. My special regards are due to my teachers because of whose teaching at different stages of education has made it possible for me to see this day.

Finally, to my father, to whom I wished to dedicate this dissertation before he leaves this world, I would like to thank you for always believing in my ability to be successful. Your soul was a source of aspiration during this challenging time. I am totally grateful to all the things you have sacrificed and endured to see me standing here today.

#### **Abstract**

This study aims to investigate how using a modified output (MO) as an input can affect the second language acquisition process. It looks into the role of the modified output in enhancing the metacognitive awareness of students and facilitating the language acquisition process. The study examines whether this role of MO can improve or worsen the linguistic competence of students. This investigation goes in the light of the Comprehensible Output theory developed by Merrill Swain in 1980s. The participants of the study are 16 EFL college students at the intermediate level. A series of assignments and reflection entries are used to analyze the progression or regression in students' linguistic performance in writing. Results of the study indicate that raising students' metacognitive awareness of their output abilities does have a good impact on developing the process of second language acquisition. It is also concluded that output is seen to be an essential part in the learning process and that it helps improve learners' language proficiency. Hence, this thesis focuses on how the process of the students modifying their own outputs can contribute to the language acquisition process. *Keywords* modified output, metacognitive awareness, EFL learners, writing, language acquisition

## **Table of Contents**

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	i
ABSTRACT	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xi
Chapter One: INTRODUCTION	1
1.0 Introduction.	1
1.1 Objectives of the Study	3
1.2 Significance of the Study	3
1.3 Hypothesis of the study	4
1.4 Key Research Questions.	4
1.5 Limitations	4
1.6. Chapterization.	5
Chapter Two: REVIEW OF THR LITERATURE AND THEORETICAL FRAM	IEWORK 6
2.0 Introduction	6
2.1 Definition of Key Terms	6
2.2 Output and SLA	7
2.3 Theoretical Framework	7
2.3.1 General background and definition of Output Hypothesis	. 7
2.3.2 The three functions of the Output Hypothesis	. 9

2.3.2.1 The Noticing function	)
2.3.2.2 The Hypothesis-testing function	0
2.3.2.3 The Metalinguistic function	11
2.4 Related Hypotheses	2
2.4.1 The Noticing Hypothesis (Schmidt, 1986 – 1994)	12
2.4.2 The Output-Driven Hypothesis (Wen Qiu-fang, 2008)	13
2.4.3 The Output-Driven, Input-Enabled Hypothesis (Wen Qiu-fang, 2014) 14	
2.5 Writing and EFL learners	5
2.5.1 Definition of Writing	
2.5.2 Arab EFL learners and writing teaching	, )
2.5.3 Writing and the Output Hypothesis	
2.6 Previous Studies	
2.7 Contribution of the present research	
2.8 Conclusion	
Chapter Three: METHODOLOGY AND DATA COLLECTION	
3.0 Introduction	
3.1 Design of the Study	
3.2 Participants	
3.3 Instruments	
3.3.1 Writing tasks	
3.3.2 Reflection Entries	
3.4 Experimental Sequence	
3.5 Language Features in-focus	
3.5.1 Lexical Errors	

3.5.2 Grammatical Errors	
3.6 Rubric	
3.7 Conclusion	
Chapter Four: DATA ANALYSIS	
4.0 Introduction	
4.1 Quantitative Analysis	
4.1.1 level 1: Data Analysis (classified by participants)	
4.1.1.1 Lexical Analysis	
4.1.1.2 Grammatical Analysis	
4.1.1.3 Lexical and Grammatical Error Reduction Percentage 122	
4.1.2 level 2: Error type Analysis (classified by error types)	
4.1.2.1 Lexical Errors	
4.1.2.2 Grammatical Errors	
4.2 Qualitative Analysis	
4.2.1 Reflection Sheet 1	
4.2.2 Reflection Sheet 2	
4.3 Conclusion	
Chapter Five: FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION	
5.0 Introduction	
5.1 Findings	
5.2 Conclusion and Further Recommendation	2
REFRENCES	
APPENDICES	

# **List of Tables**

Гаbl 1.	Page number Sequence of the experiment
2.	Rubric for opinion essay
3.	Frequency of lexical errors in assignment number 1 of participant 1 P.39
4.	Frequency of lexical errors in assignment number 2 of participant 1
5.	Frequency of lexical errors in assignment number 3 of participant 1
6.	Frequency of lexical errors in assignment number 4 of participant 1
7.	The overall mood results of the lexical analysis of participant number one's dataP.42
8.	Frequency of lexical errors in the four assignments of participant 2P.43
9.	The overall mood results of the lexical analysis of participants two's data P.44
10.	Frequency of lexical errors in the four assignments of participant 3 P.45
11.	The overall mood results of the lexical analysis of participant three's dataP.45
12.	Frequency of lexical errors in the four assignments of participant 4
13.	The overall mood results of the lexical analysis of participant four's dataP. 48
14.	Frequency of lexical errors in the four assignments of participant 5
15.	the overall mood results of the lexical analysis of participant number five's data P.50
16.	Frequency of lexical errors in the four assignments of participant 6 P.51
17.	The overall mood results of the lexical analysis of participant number six's data P.52
18.	Frequency of lexical errors in the four assignments of participant 7 P.53
19.	The overall mood results of the lexical analysis of participant seven's data P.54
20.	Frequency of lexical errors in the four assignments of participant 8
21.	The overall mood results of the lexical analysis of participant eight's data P.56
22.	Frequency of lexical errors in the four assignments of participant 9 P.57
23.	The overall mood results of the lexical analysis of participant nine's data P.58
24.	Frequency of lexical errors in the four assignments of participant .10 P.59
25.	The overall mood results of the lexical analysis of participant ten's data P.60
26.	Frequency of lexical errors in the four assignments of participant 11
27.	The overall mood results of the lexical analysis of participant eleven's data P.62
28.	Frequency of lexical errors in the four assignments of participant 12
29.	The overall mood results of the lexical analysis of participant twelve's data P.64
30.	Frequency of lexical errors in the four assignments of participant 13 P.65
31.	the overall mood results of the lexical analysis of participant thirteen's dataP.66

32. Frequency of lexical errors in the four assignments of participant 14 P. 67
33. The overall mood results of the lexical analysis of participant fourteen's data P.68
34. Frequency of lexical errors in the four assignments of participant 15 P.69
35. the overall mood results of the lexical analysis of participant fifteen's data P.70
36. Frequency of lexical errors in the four assignments of participant 16 P.71
37. The overall mood results of the lexical analysis of participant sixteen's data P.72
38. Frequency of grammatical errors in assignment number 1 of participant 1 P.74
39. Frequency of grammatical errors in assignment number 2 of participant 1P.76
40. Frequency of grammatical errors in assignment number 3 of participant 1 P.78
41. Frequency of grammatical errors in assignment number 4 of participant 1 P.80
42. The overall mood results of the grammatical analysis of participant one's data P.80
43. Frequency of grammatical errors in the four assignments of participant 2 P.82
44. The overall mood results of the grammatical analysis of participant two's data P.83
45. Frequency of grammatical errors in the four assignments of participant 3 P.84
46. The overall mood results of the grammatical analysis of participant three's data $P.85$
47. Frequency of grammatical errors in the four assignments of participant 4 P.87
48. The overall mood results of the grammatical analysis of participant four's data P. 88
49. Frequency of grammatical errors in the four assignments of participant 5 P. 90
50. The overall mood results of the grammatical analysis of participant five's data P.91
51. Frequency of grammatical errors in the four assignments of participant 6P.93
52. The overall mood results of the grammatical analysis of participant six's dataP.93
53. Frequency of grammatical errors in the four assignments of participant 7 P. 95
54. The overall mood results of the grammatical analysis of participant seven's data P.96
55. Frequency of grammatical errors in the four assignments of participant 8 P.98
56. The overall mood results of the grammatical analysis of participant eight's dataP99
57. Frequency of grammatical errors in the four assignments of participant 9 P.101
58. The overall mood results of the grammatical analysis of participant nine's data $P.102$
59. Frequency of grammatical errors in the four assignments of participant 10 P.104
60. The overall mood results of the grammatical analysis of participant ten's data $P.105$
61. Frequency of grammatical errors in the four assignments of participant 11 P.107
62. The overall mood results of grammatical analysis of participant eleven's data $\dots P.108$
63. Frequency of grammatical errors in the four assignments of participant 12 P.110
64. The overall mood results of grammatical analysis of participant twelve's data P.111
65. Frequency of grammatical errors in the four assignments of participant 13P.113

66. The overall mood results of grammatical analysis of participant thirteen's data	P.114
67. Frequency of grammatical errors in the four assignments of participant 14	P.116
68. The overall mood results of grammatical analysis of participant fourteen's data.	P.116
69. Frequency of grammatical errors in the four assignments of participant	P.118
70. The overall mood results of grammatical analysis of participant fifteen's data	P.119
71. Frequency of grammatical errors in the four assignments of participant 16	P.121
72. The overall mood results of grammatical analysis of participant sixteen's data	P.122
73. The overall mood results of the reduction percentages in the lexical errors	P.125
74. The overall mood results of the reduction percentages in the grammatical errors	P.126
75. Reduction percentage in collocation errors	P.128
76. Reduction percentage in word formation errors	P.129
77. Reduction percentage in word order errors	P.130
78. Reduction percentage in spelling errors	P.131
79. Reduction percentage in word choice errors	P.132
80. Reduction percentage in agreement errors.	P.133
81. Reduction percentage in prepositional errors	P.134
82. Reduction percentage in articles errors.	P.135
83. Reduction percentage in conjunctions errors	P.137
84. Reduction percentage in fragment errors.	P.138
85. Reduction percentage in tense errors	P.138
86. Reduction percentage in number errors	P.139
87. Reduction percentage in comparative and superlative forms errors	P.140
88. The total percentage of reduction in all the participants' errors	P.147
89. Percentages of participants achieved decrease in errors' types	P.150

# List of figures

1.	Swain and Lapkin's (1995) language learning process
2.	The overall mood results of lexical analysis of participant one's data P.42
3.	The overall mood results of lexical analysis of participants number two's data $P.44$
4.	The overall mood results of lexical analysis of participant number three's data P.46
5.	The overall mood results of lexical analysis of participant number four's data P.48
6.	The overall mood results of lexical analysis of participant number five's data $P.50$
7.	The overall mood results of lexical analysis of participant number six's data $\dots$ .P.52
8.	The overall mood results of lexical analysis of participant number seven's data P.54
9.	The overall mood results of lexical analysis of participant number eight's data P.56
10.	The overall mood results of lexical analysis of participant number nine's data P.58
11.	The overall mood results of lexical analysis of participant number ten's data P.60
12.	The overall mood results of lexical analysis of participant number eleven's data P.62
13.	The overall mood results of lexical analysis of participant number twelve's data P.64
14.	the overall mood results of lexical analysis of participant number thirteen's data P.66
15.	The overall mood results of lexical analysis of participant fourteen's data P.68
16.	the overall mood results of the lexical analysis of participant fifteen's data P.70
17.	the overall mood results of the lexical analysis of participant sixteen's data P.72
18.	The overall mood results of grammatical analysis of participant one's data P.80
19.	The overall mood results of grammatical analysis of participant two's data P.83
20.	the overall mood results of grammatical analysis of participant three's data P.86
21.	the overall mood results of grammatical analysis of participant four's data P. 88
22.	The overall mood results of grammatical analysis of participant five's data P.91
23.	The overall mood results of grammatical analysis of participant six's data P.94
24.	the overall mood results of grammatical analysis of participant seven's data P.97
25.	The overall mood results of the grammatical analysis of participant eight's data. P.100
26.	the overall mood results of grammatical analysis of participant nine's data P.103
27.	The overall mood results of grammatical analysis of participant ten's data P.105
28.	The overall mood results of the grammatical analysis of participant eleven's
	data P.108
29.	The overall mood results of the grammatical analysis of participant twelve's
	data P.111

30.	The overall mood results of the grammatical analysis of participant thirteen's
	data P.114
31.	The overall mood results of the grammatical analysis of participant fourteen's
	data P.117
32.	The overall mood results of the grammatical analysis of participant fifteen's
	data P. 120
33.	The overall mood results of the grammatical analysis of participant sixteen's
	data P.123

## **List of Abbreviations**

MO: Modified Output

EFL: English as Foreign Language

SLA: Second Language Acquisition

CO: Comprehensible Output

L1: First Language

L2: Second Language

IL: Interlanguage

ESL: English as Second Language

NSs: Native Speakers

NNSs: Non-Native Speakers