



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرو فيلم



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرو فيلم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



MONA MAGHRABY



Success and complication rates of different techniques and materials used for nasal dorsal augmentation in rhinoplasty

A Systematic Review, Meta-Analysis

*Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree in
Otorhinolaryngology*

Submitted by
Eman Soliman Fatallah

M.B.B.Ch., Ain Shams University

Under supervision of

Prof. Dr. Amr Gouda Shafik

*Professor of Otorhinolaryngology
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University*

Dr. Mohamed Naguib Mohamed

*Lecturer of Otorhinolaryngology
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University*

Dr. Ossama Mustafa Mady

*Lecturer of Otorhinolaryngology
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University*

***Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University***

2021

إن الله جميل
يحب الجمال





Acknowledgement

*First of all, all gratitude is due to **Allah** almighty for blessing this work, until it has reached its end, as a part of his generous help, throughout my life.*

*Really I can hardly find the words to express my gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Amr Gouda Sheik**, Professor of Otorhinolaryngology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his supervision, continuous help, encouragement throughout this work and tremendous effort he has done in the meticulous revision of the whole work. It is a great honor to work under his guidance and supervision.*

*I would like also to express my sincere appreciation and gratitude to **Dr. Mohamed Naguib Mohamed**, Lecturer of Otorhinolaryngology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his continuous directions and support throughout the whole work.*

*I cannot forget the great help of **Dr. Ossama Mustafa Mady**, Lecturer of Otorhinolaryngology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University for his invaluable efforts, tireless guidance and for his patience and support to get this work into light.*

*Words fail to express my love, respect and appreciation to **my husband** for his unlimited help and support.*

*Last but not least, I dedicate this work to **my family**, whom without their sincere emotional support, pushing me forward this work would not have ever been completed.*

Eman Soliman Fatallah



List of Contents

	Page
Acknowledgment	--
List of Abbreviations	i
List of Figures	ii
List of Tables	vi
Abstract.....	vii
Introduction	1
Aim of The Work	3
Review of Literature	4
Chapter 1 : Nasal Analysis	4
Chapter 2 : Rhinoplasty Procedures	18
Chapter 3 : Common Materials for Dorsal Augmentation	20
Chapter 4 : Common Complications of Rhinoplasty	31
Patients and Methods	39
Results	49
Discussion	90
Limitations.....	97
Conclusion	98
Summary	99
Recommendations	101
References	102
Arabic Summary	-

List of Abbreviations

AC	: Alar fold
AL	: Alar lobule
ATC	: Autologous tissue glue
CC	: Costal cartilage
CT	: Computed Tomography
DC	: Diced cartilage
ePTFE	: Expanded polytetrafluoroethylene
FB	: Foreign body
FDA	: Food drug administration
IAW	: Inter-Alar Width
IHCC	: Irradiated Homologous Costal Cartilage
INV	: Internal nasal valve
LFH	: Lower Facial Height
MA	: Meta analysis
MFH	: Middle Facial Height
N	: Nasion
NFA	: Nasofrontal Angle
NL	: Nasal Length
NLA	: Nasolabial Angle
NMA	: Nasomental Angle
PCS	: Preoperative Computer Simulation
PPP	: Plasma poor protein
PRP	: Platelet rich protein
PRN	: Pronasale
SMR	: Sub mucosal resection
SN	: Subnasale
SRP	: Septorhinoplasty
TA	: Tip Angle
TDA	: Tip Deviation Angle
TP	: Tip Projection
UDC	: Unwrapped diced cartilage
UFH	: Upper Facial Height
ULC	: Upper lateral cartilage

List of Figures

Fig.	Title	Page
1	Radix is an imaginary line between two upper palpebral fissure.	8
2	Nasal height (nasion to subnasale), nasal bridge length (nasion to pronasale), nasal tip protrusion (subnasale to pronasale).	8
3	Distance from soft tissue nasion to pronasale ideally equal to distance from stomion to menton.	8
4	The balance between the nasal dorsum and the tip defining points is scrutinized to control supratip break.	9
5	Frankfort horizontal line: a line drawn between superior edge of tragus and the infraorbital rim should parallel the floor.	12
6	Frontal view, Horizontal third, Vertical fifth, symmetry, dorsal lines, bony width, cartilaginous width and tip defining point.	13
7	Basal view shows columella length and width, Alar base width, alar check junction, alar symmetry and Nostril symmetry.	13
8	Lateral view shows nasofrontal angle, nasal length, dorsum, supratip, nasolabial angle, ala columella relationship.	14
9	(A) Oblique cuts of costal cartilage. (B) Preparation of grafts in the form of toothpicks in various lengths. (C) Schematic view of placement of cartilage grafts.	23
10	Centrifuged whole blood.	24

Fig.	Title	Page
11	Three distinct layers are seen within test tubes.	24
12	Diced cartilage graft.	25
13	Autologous tissue glue (ATG) is added to diced cartilage.	25
14	Desired shape of diced cartilage Stabilized by ATG.	26
15	Show the details of Chimeric autologous cc.	35
16	PRISMA flow diagram of study selection.	49
17	Meta-analysis for secondary deformity prevalence after dorsal augmentation in SRP.	64
18	Meta-analysis for aesthetic function outcome prevalence after dorsal augmentation in SRP.	65
19	Meta-analysis for resorption prevalence after dorsal augmentation in SRP.	65
20	Meta-analysis for revision rhinoplasty after dorsal augmentation in SRP.	66
21	Meta-analysis for satisfaction prevalence after dorsal augmentation in SRP.	66
22	Meta-analysis for the prevalence of displacement after dorsal augmentation in SRP.	67
23	Meta-analysis for prevalence of infection after dorsal augmentation in SRP.	67
24	Meta-analysis for the prevalence of warping after dorsal augmentation in SRP.	68
25	Meta-analysis for the prevalence of success rate after dorsal augmentation in SRP.	68

Fig.	Title	Page
26	Meta-analysis for prevalence of extrusion after dorsal augmentation in SRP.	69
27	Meta-analysis for prevalence of scarring after dorsal augmentation in SRP.	69
28	Meta-analysis for prevalence of seroma after dorsal augmentation in SRP.	70
29	Meta-analysis for prevalence of nasal obstruction after dorsal augmentation in SRP.	70
30	Meta-analysis for prevalence of necrosis after dorsal augmentation in SRP.	71
31	Meta-analysis for prevalence of graft deviation after dorsal augmentation in SRP.	71
32	Meta-analysis for prevalence of edema after dorsal augmentation in SRP.	72
33	Meta-analysis for prevalence of swelling after dorsal augmentation in SRP.	72
34	Meta-analysis for prevalence of bruising after dorsal augmentation in SRP.	73
35	Meta-analysis for prevalence of foreign body reaction after dorsal augmentation in SRP.	73
36	Meta-analysis for prevalence of perforation after dorsal augmentation in SRP.	74
37	Meta-analysis for prevalence of hematoma after dorsal augmentation in SRP.	74
38	Meta-analysis for prevalence of donor site complications after dorsal augmentation in SRP.	75

Fig.	Title	Page
39	Meta-analysis for prevalence of palpable graft after dorsal augmentation in SRP.	75
40	Meta-analysis for prevalence of graft exposure after dorsal augmentation in SRP.	76
41	Publication bias for aesthetic function outcomes rate.	78
42	Publication bias for patients' satisfaction rate.	77
43	Publication bias for success rate.	77
44	Publication bias for hematoma rate.	78

List of Tables

Table	Title	Page
1	The anthropometric measurements and their descriptions.	15
2	Detailed search strategy for each database search.	41
3	Characteristic sheet	51
4	Summary for the highest and lowest score graft in all 24 outcomes.	80
5	Qualitative analysis table	83

ABSTRACT:

Background: Autologous materials, homograft and alloplastic implants are commonly used in nasal dorsal augmentation. So far, there is no satisfactory evidence to ascertain the safest, efficient, and superior in aesthetic outcomes among different materials. Thus, we aimed to conduct a systematic review and meta-analysis (SR, MA) of published literature to review rates of outcomes and complications of different materials used for nasal dorsal augmentation, in trial for better future surgical results.

Methods: Thirteen electronic databases were searched from inception through December 2018 and a manual search in October 2019.

Results: A total of 280 eligible studies were included, of which 165 articles were included in the meta-analysis. Compared to others, alloplastic expanded polytetrafluoroethylene (alloplastic e-PTFE) was considered first place, for having the highest graft scores in most of its outcomes; secondary deformity, resorption, patients' satisfaction, displacement, extrusion, seroma, graft deviation, swelling, and hematoma outcomes with rates of (2%, 0.6%, 96.5%, 1.3%, 1.2%, 1%, 1%, 0.8%, 0.8%) respectively. Alloplastic silicone came after e-PTFE, for its superior results in revision rhinoplasty, success rate, aesthetic function, and scarring outcomes (4.1%, 99.9%, 98.8%, 2.7%) respectively. Autologous bone graft came at the last place as it had the least graft scores in patients' satisfaction, displacement, perforation, and hematoma outcomes (50.7%, 9.3%, 8.3%, 5.4%) respectively. Autologous diced cartilage was superior in those outcomes; edema, bruising, and donor site complications (7.1%, 7.4%, 4.4%) respectively. Autologous costal cartilage had the highest graft scores in necrosis and graft exposure outcomes (4.3% and 1.9%), while it had the lowest graft scores in warping and success rate (7.1% and 87.3%). Autologous auricular cartilage had the highest graft scores in warping and foreign body reaction outcomes (1.4% and 6.3%), while it had the lowest graft scores in nasal obstruction and donor site complications rate (72.7% and 33.3%). Infection was the most common reported complication in MA, where autologous alar cartilage had the lowest infection rate of 0.2%, unlike alloplastic Medpor recorded the highest risk of 6.6%.

Conclusions: Regarding our results, we concluded that alloplastic e-PTFE yielded superior position in comparison to other materials, that would succeed as an alternative to conventional autologous grafts, as had superior results in most of nasal dorsal augmentation outcomes. On the other hand, autologous bone graft was in the last place in all its outcomes. Future studies are needed to improve reporting of races variety, follow-up times, and diverse participants, as to resolve uncertainty regarding the ideal material for dorsal augmentation in rhinoplasty, with concentrating on proper assessment of races variety, which will improve selecting the proper graft implant.

Keywords: Augmentation, rhinoplasty, complication, nasal dorsum, meta-analysis, systematic review.

Introduction

The nose plays a significant role in facial beauty and self esteem. It is also a prominent structure of the face with projection making it unusually vulnerable to trauma and deformity in road traffic accidents and modern sports like boxing and karate. Aggressive nasal surgeries like sub mucosal resection (SMR) for nasal obstruction, untreated septal pathologies like septal hematoma, abscess and chronic granulomatous diseases are further sources of insult to nose. In all these cases, ignoring the problem from the onset or delayed consultation may lead to structural deformities of the nose(Ali et al., 2018).

Rhinoplasty is a plastic surgery that aims to ultimately, change the shape of the nose either for repairing a functional defect or cosmetically claim (Jang and Yu, 2010). The scientific reports consider rhinoplasty as one of the most challenging and rewarding operations in plastic surgery (Tasman, 2017). This outpatient surgery can be a mere reduction in the nose's hump or augmentation through architectural complex art (Rohrich et al., 2002). Besides, consuming over one billion dollars in 2016 just in the united states, the nose job ranked the third most frequent cosmetic surgery. In Asia, the need for rhinoplasty is augmented since Asians are notorious for their lowered dorsal height; which resulted in granting the rhinoplasty high importance (Wang et al., 2009).

For the surgery, it depends on implementing the proper techniques to increase the volume of the dorsal by adding specific materials (Park et al., 2009). To insert ample volume to the nose, surgery schools had swarms of techniques in the usage of grafts