شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

HANAA ALY

شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم

HANAA ALY

شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات

يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار

HANAA ALY

GENETIC MODEL AND BIOSPECTRAL ANALYSIS FOR MONITORING MUTATIONS IN THE CELL CYCLE FOR APPLICATION IN WASTEWATER TREATMENT

THESIS

Submitted to the Faculty of Science-Ain Shams University-In Partial fulfillment for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.) in Science in Biophysics

By

Nancy Alaa El-Din Yasien El-Naker
Assistant Lecturer, Biophysics group, Physics Department

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Abdelsattar M. Sallam
Professor of Biophysics
Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. El-Sayed Mahmoud El-Sayed
Professor of Biophysics
Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Hatem H. El-Ghandoor
Professor of Physics
Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Mona S. H. Talaat
Professor of Biophysics
Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University

Dr. Ahmed F. Yousef
Assistant Professor
Department of Chemistry
Khalifa University - Abu Dhabi – UAE

Department of Physics
Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University
(2021)

Acknowledgment

In the name of ***GOD*** "the Merciful". ***Thanks GOD*** for everything, ***Thanks GOD*** for all the blessings.

Foremost, I would like to express my sincere gratitude and appreciation to my supervisor ***Prof. Dr. Abdelsattar Mohamed Sallam,*** Professor of Biophysics, Physics Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University for his continuous support, motivation, patience and enthusiasm during the whole time of research and writing.

I am also grateful and honored to be supervised by ***Prof. Dr. El-Sayed Mahmoud El-Sayed***, Professor of Biophysics, Physics Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University for his insistence to encourage and advise me all the time. His immense knowledge helped in every aspect since I started the research till the end.

Special thanks to my respectful supervisor ***Prof. Dr. Hatem Mahmoud Hamdy El-Ghandoor***, Professor of Physics, Physics Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University. It is always a privilege to be advised and guided by Prof. Dr. Hatem.

I would like to express my special gratitude and thanks to my supervisor ***Prof. Dr. Mona Salah El-Din Hassan Taalat***, Professor of Biophysics, Physics Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University for motivating me and imparting he knowledge and expertise in the research and thesis work.

With profoundest gratitude and great humility, I extend my gratefulness to my advisor and mentor, ***Dr. Ahmed Fayez Yousef***, Assistant Professor, Department of Chemistry, Center for Membranes and Advanced Water Technology (CMAT), Khalifa University of Science and Technology, Abu Dhabi, UAE. I would like to thank him for his incredible contribution in shaping the foundation of the PhD research approach. His unflinching support, constructive criticism and his truly scientific intuition inspired me

and enriched my growth as a PhD student. He has triggeredand nourished my intellectual maturity that I will benefit for whole of my life.

I owe a deep sense of gratitude to ***Khalifa University of Science and Technology*** "Masdar Campus" for their distinguished and inspiring environment to conduct my PhD research work. I would like to thank them for their continuous supply of guidance, information, resources, and materials. Everyone was collaborating to help and complete this endeavor.

I convey my grateful acknowledgment and thanks to ***Dr. Shadi Wagih Hasan,*** Associate Professor, Department of Chemical Engineering, Center for Membranes and Advanced Water Technology (CMAT), Khalifa University of Science and Technology, Abu Dhabi, UAE. He has been there all the time encouraging, motivating and inspiring me. His friendly nature, gentle and a wonderful advisor who made the whole environment full of energy and enthusiasm.

My deepest gratitude and thanks to my parents, my special dad ***Prof. Dr. Alaaeldin Yassin Elnaker*** and wonderful mum ***Prof. Dr. Hala Ismail Elsawy*** for their love and supporting me spiritually throughout my life. Also, I would like to thank my best sisters ever ***Nesreen*** and ***Nesma*** for their warmth and attachment towards me.

Most of all, my beloved, fabulous and supportive husband ***Mostafa Hamza,*** best person ever who is always by my side anytime and everywhere. He is always my strength and may GOD protect and bless him.

Contents

Contents

Acknowledgment..... i

List of Figures..... vii

List of Tables x

List of Abbreviations..... xi

Abstracts..... xiii

Chapter one

Introduction and Literature Review 1

1.1 Introduction 1

1.2 Literature Review 5

1.2.1 Modelling in wastewater treatment plants 5

1.2.2 Spectral analysis in WWTPs 7

1.2.2.1 Ultraviolet-Visible (UV-Vis) Spectrophotometer 7

1.2.2.2 NanoDrop Spectrophotometer 8

1.2.3 Microbial community structure and function in biological wastewater treatment plants 8

1.2.4 Microbial community structure in electrobioreactors 11

Chapter Two

Theoretical aspects 14

2.1 Typical characteristics of wastewater treatment plants 14

2.1.1 Influent Characteristics 14

2.1.2 Main Processes Involved 15

2.2 Biological wastewater treatment 16

2.2.1 Overview of biochemical operations 17

2.2.2 Major types of microorganisms and their roles 19

2.3 Membrane bioreactors (MBRs) and electrically enhanced membrane bioreactors (eMBRs) 21

2.4 The integration of biological wastewater and electrochemical treatment (Bioreactors and Electrobioreactors) 23

2.5 Operating parameters 24

2.5.1 Current density (CD) 24
2.5.2 Hydraulic retention time (HRT) 25
2.6 Bio-spectral analysis 25
2.6.1 Ultraviolet-Visible Spectrophotometer 25
2.6.2 NanoDrop spectrophotometer 26
2.7 Monitoring mutations (changes) of microbial communities in wastewater electrobioreactors 26
2.7.1 Overview of identification of microbial community structure 27
2.7.1.1 viable cell count 27
2.7.1.2 Identification by enzyme activities 28
2.7.1.3 Monitoring microbial growth namely cell cycle 28
2.7.1.4 Metagenomics 30
2.7.1.5 the 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) genes 31
2.7.1.6 High-throughput sequencing and bioinformatic analysis 33
2.8 Serial Passaging 35
2.8.1 Fundamentals of Serial Passaging 35
2.8.2 Application of serial passaging technique in biological wastewater treatment electrobioreactors 36
2.9 Modeling of wastewater treatment plants 37
2.9.1 Conceptual models in wastewater treatment systems and wastewater electrobioreactors 39

Chapter Three

Materials and Methods 40

3.0 Establishment of the electrobioreactors 40
3.1 Studying the impact of electric field on microbial community structure and electrobioreactor performance 44
3.1.1 Electro-bioreactor design 44
3.1.2 Synthetic wastewater and sludge characteristics 45
3.1.3 Microbial community analysis 46
3.2 Studying the impact of hydraulic retention time on the microbial community structure and electrobioreactor performance 46
3.2.1 Electro-bioreactor experimental design 46
3.2.2 Synthetic wastewater and sludge characteristics 48

3.2.3 Sampling and bacterial counts 48
3.2.4 Microbial community analysis 49
3.2.5 Operational parameters 50
3.3 Serial passaging 51
3.3.1 Electro-bioreactor experimental design 51
3.3.2 Synthetic wastewater and sludge characteristics 52
3.3.3 Microbial community analysis 53
3.3.4 Operational parameters 54
3.3.5 QIIME pipeline 54
3.4 Conceptual genetic model 58
3.4.1 Electro-bioreactor design, microbial community analysis and wastewater characterization 58
3.4.2 Conceptual model establishment 60

Chapter four

Results and Discussion 62

4.1 Studying the impact of current density on microbial community structure and electrobioreactor performance 62
4.1.1 Electro-bioreactor biological organic and nutrient removal efficiency 62
4.1.2 Temporal variations in the bacterial community structure 64
4.1.3 Physiochemical influence on bacterial diversity 66
4.1.4 Phylogeny of microbial community 67
4.1.5 Functional bacteria in electro-bioreactors 71
4.2 Studying the impact of hydraulic retention time (HRT) on the microbial community structure and electrobioreactor performance 76
4.2.1 Reactor performance and physiochemical parameters under different HRT 76
4.2.2 Effect of HRT on total bacterial counts (TBC in CFU/mL) 79
4.2.3 Overall bacterial diversity in CBRs and EBRs 80
4.2.4 Phylogeny and abundance of overall bacterial communities 84
4.2.5 Comparison of functional bacterial genera response to short and long HRTs in control bioreactors and electro-bioreactors 88
4.2.6 Functional bacteria in control and electro-bioreactors in linkage to biological nutrient removal 97

4.3 Serial Passaging 99
4.3.1 sCOD and phosphorus removal in serially passaged wastewater reactors. 99
4.3.2 pH variations in serially passaged wastewater reactors 100
4.3.3 Sludge volume index (SVI) and settling velocity (Vo) variations in serially passaged wastewater reactors 102
4.3.4 Effect of serial passaging on microbial diversity in wastewater bioreactors 103
4.3.5 Clustering and phylogenetic analysis of microbial community structure 106
4.3.6 Linking functional microbial community function to sludge settling in serially passaged reactors 111
4.4 Conceptual genetic model 116
4.4.1 Conceptual framework modeling of electro-bioreactors and control bioreactors 116
4.4.2 Conceptual model of microbial communities presents in electro-bioreactors (EBRs) and their correlation with bioreactor function 117
4.4.3 Conceptual model of microbial communities present in control bioreactors (CBRs) and their correlation with bioreactor function 122
4.4.4 Hypothesis of the assumed mechanisms of nutrient removal in electro- bioreactors (EBRs) 124

Chapter Five

Conclusion 128

References 134

List of publications 154

الملخص العربى

شكر وتقدير

List of Figures

Fig. (2-1) Typical variations of the influent flowrate..... 15
Fig. (2-2) Primary and secondary treatment of sewage, using the activated sludge process..... 16
Fig. (2-3) Electrochemical mechanisms occurring in the operation of the electro-MBR (eMBR) 22
Fig. (2-4) DR6000™ UV-Vis spectrophotometer 26
Fig. (2-5) NanoDrop™ 2000/2000c Spectrophotometers..... 26
Fig. (2-6) Methods to determine microbial growth 29
Fig. (2-7) (a) V4 was amplified from each sample using primers 515F and 806R tailed with P5 and P7 sequences, respectively (b) Raw intensities (matrix and phasing corrected) for an example perfect 254 bp paired-end read from the V4 library..... 35
Fig. (3-1) Photograph of setting-up the batches of the reactors. (a) Aluminum and stainless-steel electrodes separated by a piece of wood (5 cm) (b) plastic containers (c) Sludge collected (d) electric wires and metal connecting clips….40 Fig. (3-2) Photograph after assemblance and connecting the power supplies to the reactors (a) EBRs (electrodes inserted) (b) CBRs (no electrodes) (c) Power supplies adjusted at selected current densities. (d) HACH HQ40d single-input multimeter probe 40
Fig. (3-3) Live photo from Water Research Center laboratory – Masdar Institute while setting and plugging the electrobioreactors to start the experiment 41
Fig. (3-4) An example for the batch of reactors while running for 24 hours (a) EBRs (b) CBRs (c) power..... 41
Fig. (3-5) Live photo from Water Research Center laboratory – Masdar Institute experiment while disconnecting the reactors to carry out the measurements..... 42
Fig. (3-6) Monitoring and measuring the operating conditions (electrical conductivity, pH, temperature) using the multimeter probe..... 42
Fig. (3-7) Photograph of settled sludge after 24 hours of treatment in the EBRs and CBRs..... 43
Fig. (3-8) An example of sample measurement for Luria Broth petri dish plate of the bacteria grown in the EBR operated at 7 A/m2. This is to determine the total bacterial counts in the treated sludge after exposure to different current densities 43
Fig. (3-9) Experimental set-up of control bioreactor and electrobioreactor..... 45
Fig. (3-10) (a) Photograph and (b) schematic diagram of the experimental setup of control bioreactor and electro-bioreactors operated at CD of 3 A/m2 under different HRTs: Short HRTs (6, 10, and 16 h) long HRTs (24, 50 and 75 h) 47
Fig. (3-11) (a) Photograph and (b) schematic diagram of the experimental setup of serially passaged control bioreactor and electro-bioreactors operated at current densities 3 and 7 A/m2 52
Fig. (3-12) Methodology flowchart of EBRs and CBRs collectively from the experimental data incorporated in the model..... 59
Fig. (3-13) Overview of conceptual model establishment, development, factors and assumptions. 61

Fig. (4-1) Three-dimensional principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) plot showing the bacterial community difference of (a) Sludge samples taken from the MBR plant at different months fed with SWW and electro-bioreactor samples (i.e. treated samples from the same sludge sampling day) (b) Electro- bioreactor and bioreactor samples, CD=3, 5 and 7 A/m2 and control samples, respectively. The analysis was performed using the abundance matrix from all detected 16S rRNA gene (0.90 similarity) OTUs in different samples, and pairwise community distances were determined using the weighted UniFrac algorithm 64-65
Fig. (4-2a). Alpha diversity calculations using Chao1 and PD whole tree methods. Sludge samples at different months fed with SWW. 66
Fig. (4-2b). Alpha diversity calculations using Chao1 and PD whole tree methods. Control bioreactor and electro-bioreactors at CD=3, 5 and 7 A/m2 (all samples are from sludge sampled on the same day fed with SWW) 67
Fig.(4-3a) Beta-diversity using UPGMA clustering analysis using weighted UniFrac 68
Fig.(4-3b) Phylogeny of the bacterial communities in the control bioreactor and electro-bioreactors at phylum level 69
Fig.(4-3c) Phylogeny of the bacterial communities in the control bioreactor and electro-bioreactors at family level 70
Fig. (4-4a) Heat map of functional bacterial families in control bioreactor and electro-bioreactors 73
Fig. (4-4b)- (4-4c) Graph indicating differences in the relative abundance of functional bacterial families between control bioreactor and electro- bioreactors for high abundance bacterial families and (c) Low abundance bacterial families. An average observed OTU counts is indicated with the standard deviation represented by an error bar 74
Fig. (4-5) Removal efficiencies of (a) sCOD, (b) PO43 - P and (c) NH4+-N, (d) effluent NO3--N concentrations, and (e) total bacterial count (TBC) in control bioreactors and electro-bioreactors under different HRTs 77-78
Fig. (4-6) Alpha (α) diversity richness calculations using (a) Chao1 and (b) PD whole tree methods 81
Fig. (4-6) Three-dimensional principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) plot showing the bacterial community variations present in (c) control bioreactors (C- "HRT hours"), (d) Electro-bioreactors (E-"HRT hours"), and (e) both control and electro-bioreactors under different HRTs 83
Fig. (4-7) (a) Beta (β)-diversity using UPGMA clustering analysis using weighted UniFrac. Phylogeny of the bacterial communities in the control bioreactor and electro-bioreactors at (b) phylum level, and (c) family level. 78 Fig. (4-7b) Phylogeny of the bacterial communities in the control bioreactor and electro-bioreactor at phylum level 86-88
Fig. (4-7c) Phylogeny of the bacterial communities in the control bioreactor and electro-bioreactor at family level 88
Fig. (4-8) Heat map of functional genera in (a) control bioreactors under different HRTs 89
Fig. (4-8) Heat map of functional genera in (b) electro-bioreactors under different HRTs 90
Fig. (4-9) Graph indicating differences in the relative abundance represented in OTU counts of functional bacterial genera between control bioreactors and electro-bioreactors: (a) high abundant bacterial genera at short HRTs, (b) low abundant bacterial g genera at short HRTs, (c) high abundant bacterial genera at long HRTs, and (d) low abundant bacterial genera at long HRTs 96

Fig. (4-10) Removal efficiencies of (a) COD, (b) PO43--P in serially passaged control bioreactor and electro-bioreactors E3 and E7 operated at current densities 3 and 7 A/m2, respectively. (c) pH variations in serially passaged control bioreactor and electro-bioreactors E3 and E7 operated at current densities 3 and 7 A/m2, respectively.....101
Fig. (4-11) (a) Alpha diversity richness calculations using Chao1 and PD whole tree methods.....104
Fig. (4-11) (b) three-dimensional principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) plot showing the bacterial community variations present in serially passaged control bioreactors (red data points) and electro-bioreactors E3 (blue data points) and E7 (orange data points). It is noted that bacterial communities in electro-bioreactors clustered together when compared to control bioreactor. (S-D1: Fresh sludge sample without feeding with synthetic wastewater; C-Di: Control sample in day i; E-Dj: Electro-bioreactor sample in day j).....105
Fig. (4-12a) Beta-diversity using UPGMA clustering analysis using weighted UniFrac 110
Fig. (4-12b) Phylogeny of the bacterial communities in the control bioreactor and electro-bioreactors at phylum level.....110
Fig. (4-12c) Phylogeny of the bacterial communities in the control bioreactor and electro-bioreactors at family level.....111
Fig. (4-13) Heat map of functional bacterial families in serially passaged C-bioreactor, E3 and E7. It was observed that microbial subpopulations associated with sludge bulking and foaming are different in electro- bioreactors when compared to control bioreactor. (C-Di: Control sample in day i; E-Dj: Electro-bioreactor sample in day j).....115
Fig. (4-14). Conceptual model of how different microbial species associated with the degradation of pollutants in wastewater are affected by varying CD and HRT in electro-bioreactors (EBRs): linkage between substrate removal, EBRs operated at CD of 3, 5 and 7 A/m2 (EBR3, 5, 7) and HRT (short 6, 10 and 16 h and long 24, 50 and 75 h) and involved bacterial groups or species are shown. To obtain the highest removal efficiency of a given substrate, EBRs should be operated as illustrated in the model and consequently bacterial groups listed are either enriched (i.e. high abundance) or depleted (i.e. low abundance). For example, an EBR operated at a short HRT at 3 A/m2 enriches the population of Flavobacterium, Lactococcus and Vogesella, which are all associated with efficient COD removal.....119-120
Fig. (4-15). Conceptual model of how different microbial species associated with the degradation of pollutants in wastewater are affected by varying HRT in control bioreactors (CBRs). The model can be read the same way as the model for EBR's illustrated and explained in Fig. (4-14).....123
Fig. (4-16). Hypothetical illustration of the mechanisms of nutrients efficient removals when integrating electrochemical process with biological treatment.....127
Fig. (5-1) Schematic drawing indicating mechanisms working together in order to transform wastewater into cleaner effluent water in the electro- bioreactor.....129
Fig. (5-2) Schematic diagram showing manipulation of the operating conditions that favor functional bacteria relevant to specific types of wastewater.....133

List of Tables

Table (3-1) Obtained measurements of the Initial characteristics of synthetic wastewater and sludge samples 49

Table (3-2) Measurement of the operational parameters of CBR samples (C-6, C-10, C-16, C-24, C-50 and C-75) and EBR samples (E-6, E- 10, E-16, E-24, E-50 and E-75) 50

Table (3-3): Data monitored and measured for the operational parameters of serially passaged control bioreactor samples and electro-bioreactors samples E3 and E7. 54

Table (4-1): Effluent characteristics, concentrations, and operational parameters of 7 samples 63

Table (4-2): OTU counts of various functional bacteria in control bioreactor and different electro-bioreactors and their corresponding role in nutrient and pollutant removal 75

Table (4-3) OTU counts of various functional bacterial genera in control bioreactor (C) and electro-bioreactors (E) operated at short HRTs (6, 10 and 16 h) and their corresponding role in nutrient and pollutant removal 92-93

Table (4-4) OTU counts of various functional bacterial genera in control bioreactor (C) and electro-bioreactors (E) operated at long HRTs (24, 50 and 75 h) and their corresponding role in nutrient and pollutant removal 95

Table (4-5) OTU counts of bacterial families in serially passaged control bioreactor 113

Table (4-6) OTU counts of bacterial families in serially passaged electro-bioreactor operated at CD of 3 A/m^2 114

Table (4-7) OTU counts of bacterial families in serially passaged electro-bioreactor operated at CD of 7 A/m^2 114

Table (4-8) Functional bacterial genera in EBRs versus CBRs 121