

شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلو

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم





MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلو



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكترونى والميكروفيلم

جامعة عين شمس التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



MONA MAGHRABY



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

Architecture Engineering

A Framework for School Design Based on Participatory Approaches

A Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Architectural Engineering

(Architecture Engineering)

by

Ibrahim Samy Sayed Saleh

Master of Science in Architectural Engineering
(Architecture Engineering)
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University, 2015

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Mostafa Refat Ahmed Ismail

Professor of Architectural Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Atef Eldesouky Faggal

Professor of Architectural Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

Cairo - (2021)



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

Architecture Engineering

Name: Ibrahim Samy Sayed Saleh

 $\label{thm:condition} \textbf{Title: A Framework for School Design Based on Participatory}$

Approaches

Degree: Doctor of Philosophy in Architecture Engineering

The Jury Committee

Prof. Dr. Ayman Ahmed Ezzat	
Architecture, British University in E	gypt
Prof. Dr. Samir Sadek Hosny	
Architecture, Ain Shams University	
D. C.D. M. A. C. D. C. A. A. L. L. I. I.	9
Prof. Dr. Mostafa Refat Ahmed Isr	nail
Architecture, Ain Shams University	
Prof. Dr. Ahmed Atef Eldesouky F	aooal
Architecture, Ain Shams University	
Architecture, Am Shams Onversity	
Date: 16 June 2021	
Post Graduate Studies	
Approval Stamp	The Research was approved on
Approvai Stamp	The Research was approved on
//	//
Faculty Council Approval	University Council Approval
	, 11
//	/

Statement

This thesis is submitted as a partial fulfilment of Doctor of Philosophy in

Architectural Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain shams University.

The author carried out the work included in this thesis, and no part of it has

been submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other scientific entity.

Student name

Ibrahim Samy Sayed Saleh

Signature

Date: 16 June 2021

Researcher Data

Name : Ibrahim Samy Sayed Saleh

Date of birth : 04 April 1988

Place of birth : Cairo - Egypt

Last academic degree : Master of Science

Field of specialization : Architectural Engineering

University issued the degree : Cairo University

Date of issued degree : 2015

Current job : Instructor at the German University in Cairo

Thesis Summary

The aim of this research is to curate an operationalized participatory framework – based on participatory design theories - for architects, educators, teachers and community to use with students when engaging in an architectural co-design process of their school.

On an institutional level; this research aims at defining the regulatory path to governments' definition of participatory school design for new buildings and school's upgrade projects as well, and developing a common understanding of a harmonized national framework for participatory design regulations.

There is a lack of a comprehensive and precise common system/regulation for implementing, analyzing, surveying, assessing, monitoring, evaluation, and application of any co-design participatory strategy which addresses several key factors like: purpose, cost and benefits, privacy and confidentiality, selection, inclusion, exclusion, funding, review, revision, methodological proposal, informing, consent, dissemination, and impact of the participatory process on the involved parties and on the built educational environment within an urban jurisdiction and applicable on all educational buildings and structures; taking students/children as the main contributor to a building's architectural design process and overall space performance.

Educational facilities now serve a diversity of students from different backgrounds and different experiences due to the rapid inhabitants'- forced or chosen - movement between communities and countries trying to adapt to the rapid change in political, economic, war, and crises circumstances that they face. Motivated by the progress towards a highly efficient educational building performance; the idea of this PhD research proposal was inspired to propose a participatory design system.

PARTICIPATORY TAG™ is a system that will assure the control of the implementation participatory process in the architectural design of school buildings and after design phases (a complete school building cycle).

The researcher participated-in and curated participatory design upgrade workshops in different four primary schools in Cairo - Egypt over a fifteen day period for each school where students, architects and educators joined in a participatory framework of co-designing their school playground space.

This research adds to scholarly research by extending the architectural participatory design theoretical framework to the student level in a governmental school.

This research is also testing possible alternatives for the school architectural design top-down management approach and the achieved performance qualities of the bottom-up co-design participatory design approaches in the school buildings.

Keywords:

Participatory Design, Collective Co-design Framework, School Architecture, Meta-Analysis.

Acknowledgment

I would like to acknowledge the role and support of my supervisors Prof. Dr. Ahmed Atef and Prof. Dr. Mostafa Refat as their scientific input and contribution were vital to the finalization of this research. They are role models on an academic and personal level. Special thanks for examiners Prof. Dr. Samir Sadek and Prof. Dr. Ayman Othman as their contributions were critical to finish this thesis. I also would like to extend my gratitude to Prof. Dr. Jose Madrigal – Director of the Architecture and Urban Design Program in the German University in Cairo and Dr. Hussam Salama – Vice Director of the Architecture and Urban Design Program in the German University in Cairo for their support to help me finalize the thesis during the last stages.

M.Sc. Amr Fathy, M.Sc. Mostafa Atwa, M.Sc. Lamiaa Abdeulziz, Dr. Aya Alkholy, Dr. Husam Husain, M.Sc. Menna Said, Dr. Mohamed Ezzat, and B.Sc. Salma Belal all supported me during the whole journey of this thesis.

A special acknowledgment for Dr. Lina Fayed for the support during all stages of this thesis and for Dr. Maha El Gewely for her support in the computational and parametric software tools used in this thesis.

I would like to thank my family; my wife: Alaa Al-Sharif, my mother: Fatema Abdelzim, my father: Eng. Samy Saleh (may his soul rest in peace), my sister: Nourhan Samy, my brother: Mohamed Samy, and my son: Yassin Ibrahim. It was impossible without them to finish this thesis.

June 2021

Table of Contents

Thesi	s Summary	6
Ackno	owledgment	8
Table	of Contents	9
List o	f Figures	14
List o	f Tables	19
Chapt	ter 1 : Introduction	21
1.1.	Problem Statement	23
1.2.	Research Question	24
1.3.	Aim of the Research	24
1.4.	Methodology	25
1.4.1.	Qualitative Research	25
1.4.2.	Quantitative Research.	25
1.4.3.	International Case Studies	25
1.4.4.	Local Case Studies	25
1.5.	Research Stages	25
1.6.	Definition of Terms	29
1.7.	Limitations	29
1.8.	Research Significance	30
	ter 2 : Literature Review on Students' Co-Design Participatory	31
2.1.	Co-Design Framework/Participatory Model Main Factors	31
2.1.1.	Co-Design Participatory Model Purpose and Criteria	32
2.1.2.		
2.2.	Meta-analysis Approach	34

2.2.1.	Meta-analysis Procedure	35
2.2.1.1.		
2.2.1.2.	Clarification of Measurements	
	Effect Sizes Calculation	
2.2.2.	Participatory Design Measurements Matrix	
2.3. C	hallenges in participatory design strategies	49
Chapter Studies	3 : Participatory School Design Framework International Case 51	
3.1. S	elected Case Study Projects	51
3.1.1.	Participatory Learning Environment Design: Reggio Emilia	
	es that Support Student Wellbeing	52
	Main Concept: Physical learning environment acting as a 'third	
		53
	Measurements for Each Co-Design Participatory Component	
	S	
	Key findings	58
3.1.2.	Participatory Principles in Practice - Designing Learning Spaces in	
Junior S	econdary Precinct at Cannon Hill Anglican College in Brisbane –	
Australia	a, 2019	59
3.1.2.1.	Participatory design definition:	59
3.1.2.2.	Participatory design strategy	59
3.1.2.3.	Participatory Architectural Design	60
3.1.2.4.	Measurements for Each Co-Design Participatory Component	
Element	S	64
3.1.2.5.	Key findings	69
3.1.3.	Rural Participatory School Design in Rajasthan	71
3.1.3.1.	Project team and context	71
3.1.3.2.	Participatory design	72
3.1.3.3.	Measurements for each Co-design participatory component	
elements		
3.1.3.4.	Key findings	81
3.1.4.	Die Baupiloten-Method(s)	
	Heinrich Nordhoff School Open Learning Space	
3.1.4.1.1	<u> </u>	
Element		
3.1.4.1.2		94
	Galilei Primary School	
3.1.4.2.1	•	

3.1.4.2.2.	Design elements	96
3.1.4.2.3.	Measurements for Each Co-Design Participatory Component	
Elements	99	
3.1.4.2.4.	Key findings	103
3.1.4.3. AS	B Kinder- and Jugendhilfe conversion and façade renovation	103
3.1.4.3.1.	Participatory design	
3.1.4.3.2.	Final design results	104
3.1.4.3.3.	Measurements for Each Co-Design Participatory Component	
Elements	106	
3.1.4.3.4.	Key findings	110
3.1.5. Pri	nary school in Bertoua, Cameroon	
	ject phases	
3.1.5.1.1.	Research phase	
3.1.5.1.2.	Participation phase	112
3.1.5.1.3.	Design proposals phase	112
3.1.5.1.4.	Construction phase	114
3.1.5.2. Me	asurements for Each Co-Design Participatory Component	
		115
3.1.5.3. Key	/ findings	121
	en Campus Interface - Taipei Primary and Elementary School	
	ticipatory strategy phases	
3.1.6.1.1.	design and orientation workshops	
3.1.6.1.2.	Surveys	
3.1.6.1.3.	Participatory design	
3.1.6.1.3.1.	Users' context preliminary input	
3.1.6.1.3.2.	Teacher's previous case studies workshop	
3.1.6.1.3.3.	Students dreams workshop	
3.1.6.1.3.4.	Participatory design workshop	
3.1.6.2. Par	ticipatory construction	
	ticipatory maintenance	
	asurements for Each Co-Design Participatory Component	
		126
3.1.6.5. key		
•	e studies measurements	
	ected case studies combined measurements for each co-design	
	components	131
	nmary	
	<u> </u>	
3.2. Conc.	usion	143
Chapter 4 : <i>I</i>	Participatory TAG - a tool for Participatory School Co-Design	

4.1. Co-design participatory strategy component elements breakdown of	
values in PSPP and Grasshopper	154
4.1.1. Purpose	154
4.1.2. Participatory Process Model	
4.1.3. Duration (Days)	
4.1.4. Number of Participants	
4.1.5. Participants Average Age Groups	
4.1.6. Project Location	
4.1.7. Project Context / Setting	
4.2. Summary of participatory strategy components	179
Chapter 5 : Co-design Participatory Strategy Architectural Guidelines and	
Local Case Studies	181
5.1. Participatory framework architectural components	181
5.1.1. Co-interventions	182
5.1.2. Benefits	182
5.1.3. Techniques and Data Collection Methods	183
5.1.3.1. Local case study as an example for techniques and data collection	
methods (El Kods Primary school in Ard El-Lewa)	185
5.1.3.1.1. Context and School introduction	185
5.1.3.1.2. Working with school's students	186
5.1.3.1.3. Children Workshop	187
5.1.3.1.4. Transferring into Designs	
5.1.3.1.5. Design within the School Setting	189
5.1.3.1.6. Finalizing Designs/ Building	191
5.1.3.1.7. Final Results	192
5.1.3.1.8. Participatory School Design Stages Summary	193
5.1.3.1.9. Recommended Participatory Techniques based on local case	
study 194	
5.1.4. Density and Land use Ratios	196
5.2. Architectural Characteristics and Design Guidelines	196
5.2.1. Assembly Hall Architectural Characteristics	196
5.2.2. Corridors and Circulation Elements Architectural Characteristics	201
5.2.3. Classrooms Architectural Characteristics	206
5.2.4. School building overall Architectural Characteristics	210
5.2.4.1. School Design Optimization Tool	214

5.3.	Conclusion	217
Chap	ter 6 : Conclusion	. 220
6.1.	Participatory TAG Framework and Tool Components	. 221
6.2.	Benefits of Participatory Design	229
6.3.	Further Research	. 229
Refer	ences	. 232