

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم





شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكرو فيلم



جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار





بعض الوثائق الأصلية تالفة





بالرسالة صفحات
لم ترد بالأصل





Ain Shams University
Faculty of Business
Business Administration

A Proposed Model to Examine the Effect of Unsystematic risk on Company's Value: An Empirical Study

نموذج مقترح لاختبار تأثير المخاطر غير المنتظمة على قيمة الشركة:
دراسة تطبيقية

A Dissertation

Submitted in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the degree

Doctor of Philosophy in **Business Administration**

Prepared by

Hanan Amin Barakat Mohamed Zaki Barakat

Under Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Hayam Hassan Wahba

Professor of Finance

Vice Dean for Postgraduates Studies and Research

Faculty of Business - Ain Shams University

Dr. Mahmoud Hamed

Lecturer of Business Administration, Ph.D

Faculty of Business - Ain Shams University

2020



APPROVAL SHEET

Name : Hanan Amin Barakat Mohamed Zaki Barakat

Title : A Proposed Model to Examine the Effect of Unsystematic risk on
Company's Value: An Empirical Study

Degree: Doctor of Philosophy

Supervisors Committee

Prof. Dr. Hayam Hassan Wahba

Professor of Finance

Vice Dean for Postgraduates Studies and Research

Faculty of Business - Ain Shams University

Dr. Mahmoud Hamed

Lecturer of Business, Ph.D

Faculty of Business - Ain Shams University

Examining Committee

Signature

1- Prof. Dr. Hayam Hassan Wahba

Professor of Finance

Vice Dean for Postgraduates Studies and Research

Faculty of Business - Ain Shams University

2- Prof. Dr. Tarek El Domiaty

Professor of Finance

Faculty of Business Administration & International Trade

Misr international University

3- Assistant Prof. Dr. Sahar Mohamed Ramadan Mahran

Associate Professor of Business Administration, Ph.D

Faculty of Business - Ain Shams University

Postgraduate Studies

The Research was approved on:

Approval Stamp

/ /

Faculty Council Approval

University Council Approval

/ /

/ /



بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

وَكَا نَ فَضْلَ عَ لَيْكَ عَظِيمًا

صَدَقَ اللَّهُ الْعَظِيمُ



سورة النساء - الآية (١١٣)

SUMMARY

The Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM), developed by Sharpe (1964), and Lintner, (1965), signed the beginning of asset pricing theory and points out that the systematic risk which is also called market risk, beta or non-specific risk, and refers to the risk that affect the entire market or segment-is the only risk that should be rewarded through higher return rates.

On the other hand, unsystematic risk which also refers to (company specific risk, idiosyncratic volatility, and investment-specific risk), and is defined as a function of characteristics of the individual company, the industry and the type of investment interest, must be ignored since investors are expected to hold proportions of the well diversified market portfolio.

However, CAPM failed in its practical application in real world due to its oversimplified assumptions. In fact, investors do not hold fully diversified portfolios, especially in the emerging markets. This is mainly because of the limited knowledge of investors, market inefficiency, restricted diversification opportunities, and liquidity problems. This has actually encouraged further research to look beyond the conventional model of the classical Capital asset pricing model and led to the development of various models to capture other explanatory factors about expected stock return than the single market factor that the CAPM is basically based on.

Due to the fact that the results of the studies executed by authors such as Campbell, Lettau, Malkiel and Xu (2001), Malkiel and Xu, (2003) and Wei and Zhang, (2004) assumed that idiosyncratic volatility increases over time, estimating the role of idiosyncratic volatility in the market has become a necessity. The important implication is that for investors to maintain the same level of diversification they need, they have to increase the number of stocks held in their portfolios and this is mainly due to the increased

idiosyncratic volatility. Nevertheless, it is still unclear what factors drive idiosyncratic volatility over time. The research goal of the dissertation is to find the factors of unsystematic risk for valuation of the companies in the Egyptian market, which is not a theme traditionally focused inside corporate finance literature especially in Egypt.

Analyst are usually perplexed with regards to the specific factors they should consider in evaluating unsystematic risk and which factors they should exclude. In practice, it depends merely on analyst's opinion and judgment where they basically do it randomly or through using rating system to indicate the company factor that will be included in the assessment.

This research was devoted to analyze the factors that affect the Egyptian company's value in the Egyptian market. The analysis was mainly based on financial factors only. After reviewing lots of existing literature, and identifying the factors that most of previous authors agreed on of having an effect on firm's value. I have decided to choose some of them which are: Revenue Growth (RG), Operational Performance (OP), Liquidity (LIQ), Financial Leverage (FL), Profitability (PROF), Size (SIZE), Board size (BS), Board composition (BC) and Duality (DUAL).

After testing the hypothesis of whether there is an effect of unsystematic risk on firms value, and testing the significance effect of ratios that represent different side of companies financial performance, it was figured out that the Operational Performance (Asset turnover ratio), Liquidity (Current ratio) and Duality (Dummy variable) are the most powerful variables in explaining the value of the firm. While the other factors that include Size, Revenue Growth, Financial Leverage, Profitability, Board size and Board composition do not have any significance effect on the Economic Value Added of the firm. Thus, it can be argued that managers should not consider these factors while valuating any business.

Name : Hanan Amin Barakat Mohamed Zaki Barakat

Title : A Proposed Model to Examine the Effect of Unsystematic risk on
Company's Value: An Empirical Study

Abstract:

Finance theory implies that there is a direct relationship between risk and return because when investors bear high risk they require a higher rate of return to compensate them. Previous literature suggests that CAPM is based on unrealistic assumptions. In fact not every investor holds fully diversified portfolios, instead Individual investors are likely to hold undiversified portfolios due to a number of reasons, and some of them are transaction costs, information costs and choice of investment style. As such, many authors have recently proclaimed that investors must require higher rates of return for holding under-diversified portfolios in order to compensate the existing of idiosyncratic volatility in their portfolios. In other words, many authors have recently demanded the necessity to price unsystematic risk. Therefore, this has triggered the researcher to investigate the effect of unsystematic risk on firm's value. The data consist of 28 non-financial publicly listed companies in Egyptian Stock Exchange (EGX100) during the period of 2010-2016. By using Panel Data regressions model, the Effect of Unsystematic risk is determined on the company's value by identifying the effect of company specific factors on EVA. The EVA serving as a proxy for firm's value has been used as the dependent value. On the other hand, the independent variables used to present the Company specific risk factors in the study include: Revenue Growth, Financial leverage, Operational Performance, Profitability, Liquidity, Size, and Corporate Governance (board size, board composition and duality). The results reveal that there is a relationship between each of the Operational

Performance (OP), Liquidity (LIQ), and Duality (DUAL) with the EVA, and all the variables are holding a positive sign, which indicates that they all have a positive effect in determining the value of EVA.

Key words: Unsystematic risk, Value of the firm, Company specific risk, idiosyncratic volatility, Company specific risk premium, Valuation, Corporate Governance.

Acknowledgment

I would like to express my deep gratitude to all those who gave me the opportunity to complete my Ph.D. study.

I am deeply thankful to my **Professor Hayam Wahba**, for her advices, stimulating suggestions and motivation which helped me a lot to achieve a significant progress in this study starting from data preparation until writing and finishing up this dissertation. Besides, every discussion with her enlightens me with new inspirations for further exploring thoughts and enriches me with lots of information. I'm so grateful for such huge support which made a huge difference in accomplishing this study and is really appreciated.

Also, I want to thank **Dr. Mahmoud Hamed**, who offered me a lot of useful suggestions for further improvement and provided me with helpful advices.

Eventually, I want to thank my family who supported me immensely and were happy to see this dissertation finally accomplished as without their continuous support and patience I wouldn't have completed this work.

LIST OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
Cover page	i
Approval sheet.....	ii
Quran Verse	iii
Summary	iv
Abstract.....	vi
Keywords.....	vii
Acknowledgement.....	viii
List of Contents.....	ix
List of Tables and Figures	xi
List of Abbreviations	xii
CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION	
1.1. Overview	1
1.2. Research Problem and Concept	3
1.3. Goal and Objectives.....	4
1.4. Hypotheses of the Study.....	4
1.5. Research Methodology	5
1.6. Contribution of the Study	5
1.7. Research Outline.....	6
CHAPTER II: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1. Overview of Business Valuation	7
2.1.1. Methods to value business	7
2.1.2. Methods to determine discount rate	16
2.2. Overview of Specific risk and Premium for Specific Risk	20
2.2.1. Company Specific Risk Premium.....	20
2.2.2. Motivation for studying company risk and its importance	22
2.3. Methods to Quantify the Company Specific Risk	31
2.3.1. Factors Approach.....	32
2.3.2. The Butler-Pinkerton Model	43
2.4. Generally Accepted Cost of Capital Measurement Models that take CSRP into account.....	46
2.4.1. Modified Capital Asset Pricing Model.....	46
2.4.2. Fama and French Model (Three Factor Model).....	48

	Page No.
2.4.3. Build-up Model	49
2.4.4. Dividend yield plus capital gain yield model	50
2.4.5. The Duff & Phelps Corporate Valuation Consulting risk Premium Model	51
2.4.6. Arbitrage Pricing Theory Model	53
2.5. Review of Total Beta and the Butler Pinkerton Model	55
2.6. Criticism of Methods used for Quantifying Company Specific Risk Premium	57
2.7. Procedures Used to explain the Selected Company Specific Risk Premium	61
2.8. The Relationship between Idiosyncratic risk and Average Return:Early Empirical Studies.....	63
CHAPTER III: METHODOLOGY AND RESEARCH DESIGN	
3.1. Introduction	74
3.2. Sample Selection	77
3.3. Hypothesis	77
3.4. Definitions of Variables.....	77
3.4.1. Dependent Variable	77
3.4.2. Independent Variables.....	78
3.5. Statistical Tools used in the Study	84
3.5.1. Statistal tool used before developing the model.....	86
3.5.2. Tests conducted after developing the statistical models	86
3.6. Model Specification.....	87
CHAPTER IV: EMPIRICAL RESULTS	89
CHAPTER V: DISCUSSION.....	93
CHAPTER VI: CONCLUSION, LIMITATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	98
REFERENCES	103
APPENDIX.....	134