



METHODOLOGY FOR OPTIMIZATION OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY USING VEGETATED FAÇADES IN MULTI-STORY RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS WITH REFERENCE TO COLD SEMI-ARID AND HOT ARID CLIMATES

By

Reem Ali Talib Alothman

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
in
Architectural Engineering

METHODOLOGY FOR OPTIMIZATION OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY USING VEGETATED FAÇADES IN MULTI-STORY RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS WITH REFERENCE TO COLD SEMI-ARID AND HOT ARID CLIMATES

By Reem Ali Talib Alothman

A Thesis Submitted to the Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of **DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**

in **Architectural Engineering**

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Reda Abdin

Prof. Dr. Ayman Hassaan Ahmed

Professor of Architecture and
Environmental Control
Architecture Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Ayman Hassaan Ahmed

Professor of Landscape Architecture and
Environmental Planning
Architecture Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

METHODOLOGY FOR OPTIMIZATION OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY USING VEGETATED FAÇADES IN MULTI-STORY RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS WITH REFERENCE TO COLD SEMI-ARID AND HOT ARID CLIMATES

By **Reem Ali Talib Alothman**

A Thesis Submitted to the Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

in

Architectural Engineering

Approved by the Examining Committee

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Reda Abdin, Thesis Main Advisor

(Professor of Architecture and Environmental Control, Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University)

Prof. Dr. Ayman Hassaan Ahmed, Advisor

(Professor of Landscape Architecture and Environmental Planning, Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University)

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Ahmed Fikry, Internal Examiner

(Professor of Environmental Architecture, Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University)

Prof. Dr. Morad Abdelkader Abdelmohsen, External Examiner

(Professor of Architecture and Environmental Control, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University)

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY GIZA, EGYPT 2020 **Engineer's Name:** Reem Ali Talib Alothman

Date of Birth: 13/06/1978

Nationality: Iraqi

E-mail: reemalitalib@yahoo.com

reemalothman@uomosul.edu.iq

 Phone:
 009647717881532

 Address:
 Al Rehab, Cairo

 Pagistration Data:
 01/03/2017

Registration Date: 01/03/2017 **Awarding Date:** / /2020

Degree: Doctor of Philosophy **Department:** Architectural Engineering

Supervisors:

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Reda Abdin Prof. Dr. Ayman Hassaan Ahmed

Examiners:

Prof. Ahmed Reda Abdin (Thesis main advisor)

Prof. Ayman Hassaan Ahmed (advisor)

Prof. Ahmed Ahmed Fikry (Internal examiner)
Prof. Morad Abdelkader Abdelmohsen (External

examiner)

(Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University)

Title of Thesis:

METHODOLOGY FOR OPTIMIZATION OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY USING VEGETATED FAÇADES IN MULTI-STORY RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS WITH REFERENCE TO COLD SEMI-ARID AND HOT ARID CLIMATES

Key Words:

Energy consumption; Green vegetated façade; Multi-story residential; CO₂ emissions.

Summary:

The issue of energy consumption, especially in the building sector and, reducing energy are considered a major challenge faced by construction today. The research aims is optimization of energy efficiency by using vegetated façades in multi-story residential buildings in cold semi-arid and hot arid climate. It investigates the parametrical design for using vegetated façades. For this purpose, the energy consumptions are evaluated by using DesignBuilder tool, a vegetated layer considered as an additional layer for building, also as shading device therefore, the comparison will be between non-vegetated, direct and indirect green vegetated, insulated and shading façade. The study concluded that indirect green vegetated façades reduce the energy from 16.6% to 70.0% for cooling, and from 3.5% to 100% for heating period except increase in energy or not effective in some orientation, and reduce the annual energy consumption and CO₂ emissions was from 18% to 63.3% according to location and orientation.



Disclaimer

I hereby declare that this thesis is my own original work and that no part of it has been submitted for a degree qualification at any other university or institute.

I further declare that I have appropriately acknowledged all sources used and have cited them in the references section.

Name: Reem Ali Talib Alothman Date: 03/11/2020

Signature:

Dedication

| To the reason for my existence and my role model in this life, who always encourage |
|---|
| and support me to walk the path of knowledge and took care of my children always and |
| especially at the beginning of my studies which helped me to get started, my Father and |
| my Mother, may God preserve them and keep them as a candle that illuminates my path |
| in life |

I dedicate my humble work to all my **Family**......

To my dear **Husband**, who without his support, encouragement and his standing beside me in the most difficult situations, I would not have reached what I am now.....

To my **Children**, my loved ones, the joy of my heart, who carried a lot during my studies because of my being busy and far from them.......

To my dear **Brother** and my dear **Sisters** and their families......

Finally, I dedicate the outcome of my humble effort to my beloved country, Iraq

Acknowledgments

I extend my deep respect, thanks and appreciation to my supervisors:

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Abdin & Prof. Dr. Ayman Hassaan

For their supervision and support through my PhD study and related research

For their patience and motivation

And also for immense knowledge in pre- PhD subjects

Their guidance helped me in all the time of research and writing this thesis

Table of Contents

| LIS | ST OF TA | BLE | ES | •••••• | ••••• | ••••• | •••••• | VIII |
|------|------------|--------|----------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|--------|
| LIS | ST OF FIG | GUR | ES | ••••• | ••••• | ••••• | ••••• | XI |
| NO | MENCL | ATU | RE | ••••• | ••••• | ••••• | •••••• | XVI |
| AB | STRACT | ••••• | •••••• | ••••• | ••••• | ••••• | •••••• | XVII |
| СН | APTER | 1: | INTRODU | JCTION TO | O ENER | GY OPTI | MIZATION | USING |
| VE | GETATE | D F | AÇADES | •••••• | ••••• | ••••• | •••••• | 1 |
| 1.1. | . INCEPTIO | N | | | | | | 1 |
| 1.2. | RESEARC | CH PR | OBLEM | | | | | 3 |
| 1.3. | RESEARC | CH AII | M AND OBJEC | TIVES | | | | 3 |
| 1.4. | RESEARC | TH OU | ESTIONS | | | | | 4 |
| | | _ | | | | | | |
| 1.6. | RESEARC | CH SC | OPE | | | | | 4 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | ENTAL DE | | | | |
| BU. | ILDINGS | S | •••••• | ••••• | ••••• | ••••• | •••••• | 7 |
| 2.1. | INTRODU | CTIO | N | | | | | 7 |
| 2.2. | GREEN B | UILD | INGS RATING | SYSTEM (GBI | RS) | | | 7 |
| | 2.2.1. | | | system | | | | |
| | 2.2.2. | LE | EED RATING S | SYSTEM | | | | 9 |
| | 2.2.3. | GS | S RATING SYS | TEM | | | | 11 |
| | 2.2.4. | AS | SGB RATING | SYSTEM | | | | 12 |
| | 2.2.5. | GI | PRS RATING | SYSTEM | | | | 12 |
| 2.3. | PREVIOU | S STU | DIES | | | | | 13 |
| | 2.3.1. | Th | ne study of Co | oma et al | | | | 13 |
| | 2.3.2. | Th | ne study of W | ong et al | | | | 14 |
| | 2.3.3. | | • | ivieri et al | | | | |
| | 2.3.4. | | • | ox et al | | | | |
| | 2.3.5. | | • | al | | | | |
| | 2.3.6. | | • | al | | | | |
| | 2.3.7. | | • | e et al | | | | |
| | 2.3.8. | | • | n et al | | | | |
| 2.4 | 2.3.9. | | • | 1 | | | | |
| 2.4. | . CONCLUS | SION. | ••••• | | ••••• | ••••• | ••••• | 15 |
| CH | APTER | 3: | GREEN | VEGETAT | ED FA | ÇADES | IN RESID | ENTIAL |
| | | | | ••••• | | = | | |
| 3.1 | . A | DVA | NTAGES AND | DISADVANTA | GES OF GR | EEN VEGET | ATED FACADE | es17 |

| 3.1.1. | Advantages of green vegetated façades on the urban scale | 17 |
|----------------------|--|-------|
| 3.1.1.1. | Energy consumption reduction | |
| 3.1.1.2. | Reduce urban heat island effect | |
| 3.1.1.3. | Air pollution mitigation | |
| 3.1.1.4. 3.1.1.5. | Sound absorption | |
| 3.1.1.5. 3.1.1.6. | Improve health and well-being and to preserve urban biodiversity | |
| 3.1.2. | Advantages of green vegetated façades on building scale | |
| 3.1.2.1. | Energy saving | |
| 3.1.2.2. | Thermal performance improvement of the building envelope | |
| 3.1.2.3. | Reduce the wind effect on the building | 21 |
| 3.1.2.4. | Sound insulation | |
| 3.1.3. | Disadvantages of green vegetated façades | 21 |
| 3.2 PARAME | ETERS OF USING GREEN VEGETATED FAÇADES IN MULTI-STORY RESIDE | NTIAL |
| BUILDINGS | | 22 |
| 3.2.1. | Climate | 22 |
| 3.2.2. | Seasons | 22 |
| 3.2.3. | Plant selection | 23 |
| 3.2.4. | Façade orientation | |
| 3.2.5. | Structural parameters | |
| 3.2.6. | Leaf area index | |
| 3.2.7. | Irrigation systems | |
| 3.2.8. | Maintenance | |
| 3.2.9. | Supporting elements | |
| | | |
| 3.2.10. | Air gap thickness between the plant layer and the building façade wall | |
| 3.2.11. | Cost | |
| | GETATED FAÇADES SYSTEMS | |
| 3.3.1. | Green façades system | |
| 3.3.2. | Green living walls system | |
| 3.3.2.1. | Continous type | |
| 3.3.2.2. | Modular green wall | |
| 3.3.3.3. | Linear green walls | |
| | CS OF USING GREEN VEGETATED FAÇADES | |
| | S OF GREEN VEGETATED FAÇADES | |
| 3.5.1. | International green vegetated façade projects | |
| 3.5.1.1. | Newton Suites | |
| 3.5.1.2. 3.5.1.3. | The Met Trio Apartments | |
| 3.5.1.4. | Helios Residences. | |
| 3.5.1.5. | Gramercy Residences | |
| 3.5.1.6. | IDEO Morph 38 Tower | |
| 3.5.2. | National green vegetated façade projects | 38 |
| 3.6. Conclus | ION | 44 |
| CHAPTER 4 | : LOCATION AND CLIMATE FOR TYPICAL CASE STUDY | 45 |
| A 1 INTRODUC | CTION | 15 |
| | | |
| | CATION AND CLIMATE | |
| | STANDARDS RESIDENTIAL BUILDING | |
| 4.4. DIMENSIC | N ANALYSIS FOR TYPICAL SPACES | 56 |
| 4.5 CONCLUS | ION | 61 |

| | | 5: PRACTICAL PART "THE IMPACT OF USING GRED FAÇADES ON THE EFFICIENCY OF ENERGY" | |
|-------------------|--------------------------|--|-------|
| | | TION | |
| | | NTAL MODELING | |
| J.Z. | 5.2.1. | Methodology | |
| | 5.2.2. | Typical residential spaces | |
| 5 2 | | UIPMENT AND PROCEDURES | |
| | | SIMULATION FOR VARIOUS CATEGORIES | |
| 5.4. | | | |
| | 5.4.1. 5.4.1.1. | Space with different depths Annual energy consumption for space with different depths | |
| | 5.4.1.2. | Annual CO ₂ emission for space with different depths | |
| | 5.4.2. | Space with different occupancy | |
| | 5.4.1.1. | Annual energy consumption for space with different occupancy | |
| | 5.4.1.2. | Annual CO ₂ emission for space with different occupancy | |
| | 5.4.3. | Space with different WWR | |
| | 5.4.3.1. 5.4.3.2. | Annual energy consumption for space with WWR | |
| | 5.4.3. <i>z</i> . 5.4.4. | Shape comparison | |
| | 5.4.4.1. | Annual energy consumption for shape with one and two exposure façade | |
| | 5.4.4.2. | Annual CO ₂ emission for shape with one and two exposure façade | |
| 5.5. | STUDY VA | RIABLE AND CONSTANT | 78 |
| 5.6. | ENERGY E | FFICIENCY AND CO ₂ EMISSIONS EXPERIMENTAL MODELING | 78 |
| | 5.6.1. | First phase situation simulation for bare wall with different orientation | |
| | 5.6.2. | Second phase situation simulation for different shading device depth | |
| | 5.6.3. | Third phase situation simulation for insulated façade | |
| | 5.6.4. | Fourth phase situation simulation for different LAI | |
| | 5.6.5. | Fifth phase situation simulation for different green vegetation systems | 91 |
| | 5.6.6. | Sixth phase situation simulation for indirect vegetation with different gap | 94 |
| 5.7. | Conclusi | ON | 97 |
| СН | APTER 6 | RESULTS & DISCUSSION | 98 |
| 6 1 | INTRODUC | TION | 98 |
| | | FOR TYPICAL BEDROOM IN CASE 1 DUHOK | |
| 0.2. | 6.2.1. | Annual energy consumption for typical bedroom/ Duhok | |
| | 6.2.2. | Annual CO ₂ emission for typical bedroom/ Duhok | |
| | 6.2.3. | Energy consumption in the cooling period for typical bedroom/ Duhok | |
| | 6.2.4. | Energy consumption in the econing period for typical bedroom/ Duhok | |
| 63 | | FOR TYPICAL BEDROOM IN CASE 2 CAIRO | |
| 0.5. | 6.3.1. | Annual energy consumption for typical bedroom/ Cairo | |
| | 6.3.2. | Annual CO ₂ emission for typical bedroom/ Cairo | |
| | 6.3.3. | Energy consumption in the cooling period for typical bedroom/ Cairo | |
| | 6.3.4. | Energy consumption in the cooling period for typical bedroom/ Cairo | |
| 6 1 | | OR TYPICAL LIVING ROOM IN CASE 1 DUHOK | |
| U. 4 . | 6.4.1. | | |
| | 6.4.2. | Annual energy consumption for typical living room/ Duhok | |
| | 6.4.3. | Energy consumption in the cooling period for typical living room/ Duhok | |
| | 6.4.4. | Energy consumption in the cooling period for typical living room/ Duhok Energy consumption in the heating period for typical living room/ Duhok | |
| | U.T.T. | Linery, combampaton in the neuting period for typical fixing fould. Duffor. | . 140 |

| 6.5. | RESULTS FO | OR TYPICAL LIVING ROOM IN CASE 2 CAIRO | 129 |
|------|------------|---|-----|
| | 6.5.1. | Annual energy consumption for typical living room/ Cairo | 129 |
| | 6.5.2. | Annual CO ₂ emission for typical living room/ Cairo | 129 |
| | 6.5.3. | Energy consumption in the cooling period for typical living room/ Cairo | 129 |
| | 6.5.4. | Energy consumption in the heating period for typical living room/ Cairo | 130 |
| 6.6. | RESULTS D | ISCUSSION | 139 |
| | 6.6.1. | Annual energy consumption | 139 |
| | 6.6.2. | Annual CO ₂ emission | 141 |
| | 6.6.3. | Energy consumption in the cooling period | 144 |
| | 6.5.4. | Energy consumption in the heating period | 146 |
| 6.7. | ENERGY CO | ONSUMPTION AND PAYBACK PERIOD | 149 |
| 6.8. | Conclusio | ON | 154 |
| CHA | APTER 7: | CONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATIONS | 156 |
| 7.1. | INTRODUCT | ΓΙΟΝ | 156 |
| 7.2. | ACCOMPLIS | SHED OBJECTIVES | 156 |
| 7.3. | ACCOMPLIS | SHED HYPOTHESIES | 157 |
| 7.4. | RESEARCH | FINDING | 157 |
| 7.5. | RECOMMEN | NDATIONS | 158 |
| 7.6. | FUTURE RE | SEARCHES | 158 |
| 7.7. | SCIENTIFIC | CONTRIBUTION | 159 |
| 7.8. | ENDING ST. | ATEMENT | 159 |
| REF | ERENCE | S | 161 |

List of Tables

| Table 2.1: The most mention GBRs names in the world and its shortcuts | 8 |
|---|------------|
| Table 2.2: Criteria evaluation of the selected GBRs | 13 |
| Table 2.3: Criteria evaluation weightings of the selected GBRs | 13 |
| Table 4.1: Summarized the climate of the two case studies locations | 47 |
| Table 4.2: : Precipitation (mm) in Duhok and Cairo (all year round according to | seasons |
| and months) | |
| Table 4.3: Analysis of the dimensions of living and bedrooms in modern re | |
| communities | |
| Table 4.4: Features of window | |
| Table 4.5: Construction and thermal features of envelope, floor, and ceiling | 60 |
| Table 5.1: Example table for demonstration | 63 |
| Table 5.2: Occupation schedule of the bedroom. | |
| Table 5.3: Occupation schedule of the living room | |
| Table 5.4: Example table for demonstration | |
| Table 5.5: The percentage of increase in energy consumption in the case of an | increase |
| in the depth of space | |
| Table 5.6: The percentage of increase in energy consumption in the case of an | increase |
| in occupancy | |
| Table 5.7: The percentage of increase in energy consumption in the case of an | increase |
| in the WWR for the bedroom | |
| Table 5.8: The percentage of increase in energy consumption in the case of an | increase |
| in the WWR for the living room | |
| Table 5.9: Explain orientation for two exposure façade | |
| Table 5.10: The percentage of increase in energy consumption in the case of an | increase |
| in the WWR for the bedroom with two exposed façade | |
| Table 5.11: The percentage of increase in energy consumption in the case of an | |
| in the WWR for the living room with two exposed façade | 78 |
| Table 5.12: A comparison of energy consumption and CO ₂ emission for a | |
| bedroom with area 16m² for different orientations | 79 |
| Table 5.13: A comparison of energy consumption and CO ₂ emission for typic | |
| room with area 24m² for different orientation. | 81 |
| Table 5.14: The most efficient saving shading device depth for each orientat | tion with |
| saving for the bedroom | 83 |
| Table 5.15: The most efficient saving shading device depth for each orientat | tion with |
| saving for the living room. | 84 |
| Table 5.16: Construction of external insulation walls | 85 |
| Table 5.17: : The most efficient saving insulation thickness layer for each orient | tation for |
| the bedroom. | 86 |
| Table 5.18: The most efficient saving insulation thickness layer for each orient | ation for |
| the living room | 87 |
| Table 5.19: Characteristics of Hedera helix. | 88 |
| Table 5.20: The irrigation for green vegetated façade | 88 |
| Table 5.21: Construction of external vegetation walls | |
| Table 5.22: The most efficient saving I AI for each orientation for the bedroom | 90 |

| Table 5.23: The most efficient saving LAI for each orientation for the living room90 |
|---|
| Table 5.24: Construction of external direct and indirect vegetation walls91 |
| Table 5.25: The most efficient green vegetation system for each orientation for the |
| bedroom92 |
| Table 5.26: The most efficient green vegetation system for each orientation for the living |
| room93 |
| Table 5.27: Construction of external insulation and vegetation walls94 |
| Table 5.28: The most efficient saving gap for each orientation for the bedroom95 |
| Table 5.29: The most efficient saving gap for each orientation for the living room96 |
| |
| |
| Table 6.1: Efficiency of using different phases in term of annual energy in the typical |
| bedroom |
| Table 6.2: Annual energy consumption saving % when using green vegetation in the |
| typical bedroom |
| Table 6.3: Efficiency of using different phases in term of annual energy in the typical |
| living room140 |
| Table 6.4: Annual energy consumption saving % when using green vegetation in the |
| typical living room |
| Table 6.5: Efficiency of using different phases in term of annual energy in the typical |
| bedroom142 |
| Table 6.6: Annual CO ₂ emission saving % when using green vegetation in the typical |
| bedroom142 |
| Table 6.7: : Efficiency of using different phases in term of annual CO ₂ emission in the |
| typical living room |
| Table 6.8: Annual CO ₂ emission saving % when using green vegetation in the typical |
| living room |
| Table 6.9: Efficiency of using different phases in term of energy consumption in the |
| cooling period in the typical bedroom |
| Table 6.10: Energy consumption saving % in the cooling period when using green |
| vegetation in the typical bedroom145 |
| Table 6.11: Efficiency of using different phases in term of energy consumption in the |
| cooling period in the typical living room |
| Table 6.12: Energy consumption saving % in the cooling period when using green |
| vegetation in the typical living room |
| Table 6.13: Efficiency of using different phases in term of energy consumption in the |
| heating period in the typical bedroom |
| Table 6.14: Energy consumption saving % in the heating period when using green |
| vegetation in the typical bedroom |
| Table 6.15: Efficiency of using different phases in term of energy consumption in the |
| heating period in the typical living room |
| Table 6.16: Energy consumption saving % in the heating period when using green |
| vegetation in the typical living room |
| Table 6.17: Annual energy consumption for typical bedroom for average 8.75 hours daily |
| operation for split unit |
| Table 6.18: Annual energy consumption for typical bedroom for average 8.75 hours daily |
| operation for split unit after saving by using indirect vegetated |
| Table 6.19: Monthly energy consumption with cost for typical bedroom for average 8.75 |
| hours daily operation for split unit |

| Table 6.20: Monthly energy consumption with cost for typical bedroom for ave | rage 8.75 |
|--|------------|
| hours daily operation for split unit after saving by using indirect vegetated | 151 |
| Table 6.21: Annual energy consumption for typical living room for average 8 he | ours daily |
| operation for split unit | 151 |
| Table 6.22: Annual energy consumption for typical living room for average 8 ho | ours daily |
| operation for split unit after saving by using indirect vegetated | 151 |
| Table 6.23: Monthly energy consumption with cost for typical living room for | average 8 |
| hours daily operation for split unit | 152 |
| Table 6.24: Monthly energy consumption with cost for typical living room for | average 8 |
| hours daily operation for split unit after saving by using indirect vegetated | 152 |
| Table 6.25: Payback period for typical bedroom in two different locations | 153 |
| Table 6.26: Payback period for typical living room in two different locations | 153 |
| | |

List of Figures

| Figure 1.1: CO ₂ emission (kt) in two locations in 2014 | 1 |
|--|------|
| Figure 1.2: Changing in CO ₂ emission (kt) in two locations from 1960 to 2014 | 2 |
| Figure 1.3: Energy demand by each sector in two locations Iraq and Cairo | |
| Figure 1.4: Methodology | |
| Figure 1.5: Research structure | |
| | |
| Figure 2.1: Explain time line for GBRs | 8 |
| Figure 2.2: Explain the weight of environmental, social and economic pillars | s in |
| BREEAM international 2016. | |
| Figure 2.3: Explain the credit weighting of environmental, social and economic pillar | |
| LEED NCV4 | |
| | |
| Figure 3.1: Explain the diagram of urban heat island | 18 |
| Figure 3.2: The way of using green vegetated as an evaporative cooling unit for | |
| conditioning | |
| Figure 3.3: Explain solar radiation through the green living wall | |
| Figure 3.4: The way of radiation transfer balane energy through green vegetation | |
| Figure 3.5: Different LAI value | |
| Figure 3.6: Irrigation network and location of drip irrigation lines for living wall | |
| Figure 3.7: Vertical greenery system | |
| Figure 3.8: Types of vertical green vegetated with different names for each type | |
| Figure 3.9: Types of green façades. | |
| Figure 3.10: Types of indirect green façades | |
| Figure 3.11: Kinds of plants that are using in green façades | |
| Figure 3.12: Kinds of plants that are using in green wall | |
| Figure 3.13: Living walls (wall-based systems) | |
| Figure 3.14: Newton Suites residential project | |
| Figure 3.15: The Met project | |
| Figure 3.16: Trio apartment's project | |
| Figure 3.17: Helios residences | 36 |
| Figure 3.18: Gramercy Residences. | |
| Figure 3.19: IDEO Morph 38 Tower | 38 |
| Figure 3.20: Examples of using simple vegetation in Duhok/ Iraq | 39 |
| Figure 3.21: Examples of using simple vegetation in Mosul/ Iraq | |
| Figure 3.22: Examples of using simple vegetation in Cairo/ Egypt | |
| Figure 3.23: Using green vegetated wall in Egypt | |
| Figure 3.24: Using green vegetated wall in Tag Sultan project in Cairo/ Egypt | |
| Figure 3.25: Using green vegetated wall in internal space of restaurant in Citystars in | |
| in Cairo/ Egypt | |
| Figure 3.26: Using green vegetated wall in internal spaces in Duhok/ Iraq | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| Figure 4.1: Climate regions in two different countries Iraq and Egypt | 45 |
| Figure 4.2: Explain the location for two case studies | 46 |
| Figure 4.3: Direct normal irradiation for two locations | 46 |
| Figure 4.4: Global horizontal irradiation for two locations | |
| Figure 4.5: Explain the buildings distribution in modern residential communities | |