



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



MONA MAGHRABY



Role of Multiplex PCR in Early Diagnosis of Infective Endocarditis

Thesis

*Submitted for Fulfillment of the Master Degree in
Medical Microbiology and Immunology*

Presented by

Omnia Abdelaziz Ibrahim El Tantawy

M.B.B.Ch., Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig University, 2014

Under supervision of

Prof. Dr. Amany Mostafa Kamal

*Professor of Medical Microbiology and Immunology
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University*

Dr. Nadia Mohammed El Sheshtawy

*Assistant Professor of Medical Microbiology and Immunology
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University*

Dr. Lamyaa Elsayed Allam

*Assistant Professor of Cardiology
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University*

*Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University*

2021

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قالوا

سبحانك لا علم لنا
إلا ما علمتنا إنك أنت
العليم العظيم

صدقة الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

Acknowledgment

*First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **ALLAH**,
the Most Kind and Most Merciful.*

*I'd like to express my respectful thanks and profound gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Amany Mostafa Kamal**, Professor of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University for her keen guidance, kind supervision, valuable advice and continuous encouragement, which made possible the completion of this work.*

*I am also delighted to express my deepest gratitude and thanks to **Dr. Nadia Mohammed El Sheshtawy**, Assistant Professor of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for her kind care, continuous supervision, valuable instructions, constant help and great assistance throughout this work.*

*I am deeply thankful to **Dr. Lamyaa Elsayed Allam**, Assistant Professor of Cardiology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for her great help, active participation and guidance.*

Omnia Abdelaziz

List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Abbreviations	i
List of Tables	iii
List of Figures.....	iv
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work	6
Review of Literature	
Infective Endocarditis.....	7
Pathophysiology	9
Predisposing Factors Responsible for Infective Endocarditis	16
Classification of infective endocarditis	19
General Clinical Presentation	22
Complications of IE	24
Diagnosis	32
Treatment of endocarditis.....	49
Follow up for Infective Endocarditis	61
Recurrence of IE	62
Prophylaxis Guidelines for IE	62
Microbiological Profile of Infective Endocarditis	65
<i>Staphylococcus</i>	65
Coagulase-Negative Staphylococci	75
Streptococci	78
Enterococci	80
HACEK.....	84
Non-HACEK Gram-Negative Bacilli.....	88
Fungal Infections.....	90
Patients and Methods	94
Results.....	112
Discussion.....	129
Summary	135
Conclusion	137
Recommendations.....	138
References	139
Arabic Summary.....	—

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
18F FDG-PET/CT	18F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomographic computed tomographic
AE	Elusion buffer
AL	Tissue lysis buffer
AMA.....	American Heart Assosiation
AMB.....	Amphotericin B
ASD.....	Atrial septal defect
AV	Aortic valve
AW	Wash buffer
BCNE	Blood culture negative endocarditis
CDC	The Center for Disease Control and Prevention
CI	Confidence interval
CIED.....	Cardiac implantable electronic device
CoNS.....	Coagulase negative staphylococci
ECG	Electrocardiogram
EDTA.....	Ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid.
ESC.....	European Society of Cardiology
FDA	Food and drug administration
FE	Fungal endocarditis
GNB.....	Gram negative bacilli
HACEK.....	Haemophilus, Aggregatibacter, Cardiobacterium spp., Eikenella and Kingella spp
HAI	Hospital acquired infection
IE	Infective endocarditis
IVDU	Intravenous drug users

List of Abbreviations Cont...

Abb.	Full term
MDR	Multidrug resistant
MIC.....	Minimal inhibitory concentration
MRI.....	Magnetic resonance imaging
MRSA	Methicillin resistant <i>staphylococcus aureus</i>
MSCRAMMS.....	Microbial surface components recognizing adhesive matrix molecules
MSCT.....	Multislice computed tomography
MSSA.....	Methicillin sensitive <i>staphylococcus aureus</i>
MV	Mitral valve
NBTE.....	Non bacterial thrombotic endocarditis
NPV	Negative predictive value
NVE	Native valve endocarditis
PCR.....	Polymerase chain reaction
PPV.....	Positive predictive value
PVE.....	Prosthetic valve endocarditis
ROC	Receiver operating characteristic curve
SD	Standard deviation
TAE.....	tris acetate EDTA buffer
TEE.....	Transeosophageal echocardiography
TTE.....	Transthoracic echocardiography
TV	Tricuspid valve
VGS.....	Viridans group streptococci
VRE	Vancomycin resistant enterococci
VSD.....	Ventricular septal defect
VSE.....	Vancomycin susceptible enterococci
WHO	World health organization

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (1):	The von Reyn Criteria for Diagnosis of Infective Endocarditis	34
Table (2):	Definition of terms used in the proposed modified Duke criteria for the diagnosis of infective endocarditis (IE), with modifications shown in boldface	36
Table (3):	Definition of infective endocarditis according to the proposed modified Duke criteria, with modifications shown in boldface.....	37
Table (4):	List of primers sequences used for 1 st multiplex PCR reaction	104
Table (5):	List of primers sequences used in 2 nd multiplex PCR reaction	105
Table (6):	Age and gender distribution among the studied patients.....	113
Table (7):	Blood culture results and organisms detected among the studied patients.....	114
Table (8):	Multiplex PCR results and organisms detected among the studied patients.....	116
Table (9):	Comparison between results of blood culture and PCR.	120
Table (10):	Correlation between blood culture results and patient characteristics.	122
Table (11):	Correlation between pcr results and patient characteristics.	124
Table (12):	Relation between results of blood culture and results of Multiplex PCR.....	126
Table (13):	Multiplex PCR evaluation against blood culture as a gold standard for IE diagnosis.	127

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (1):	Pathogenesis of infective endocarditis	10
Figure (2):	Early steps in bacterial valve colonization	14
Figure (3):	Pathology of subacute bacterial endocarditis of the mitral valve.....	16
Figure (4):	Osler's nodes on a finger and foot	29
Figure (5):	Colored fundus photograph of the right eye showing central macular Roth spot	30
Figure (6):	Sex distribution among the studied patients.	113
Figure (7):	Frequency of pathogens detected by blood culture	115
Figure (8):	Frequency of pathogens detected by PCR.....	117
Figure (9):	Agarose gel electrophoresis of PCR amplicons of 1 st multiplex PCR reaction.	118
Figure (10):	Agarose gel electrophoresis of PCR amplicons of 2 nd multiplex PCR reaction.	119
Figure (11):	Comparison between results of blood culture and PCR.....	121
Figure (12):	Comparison between types of organisms detected by blood culture and PCR.....	121
Figure (13):	Relation between results of blood culture and results of PCR.....	126
Figure (14):	ROC curve	128

INTRODUCTION

Infective endocarditis (IE) is an infection of the endocardial surface of the heart, most commonly the valves, but also may occur on mural endocardium, on cardiac septal defects, on arteriovenous or arterioarterial shunts and on intravascular devices (*Cuervo et al., 2021*).

Infective endocarditis (IE) encompasses native, prosthetic valves or any intracardiac devices within the heart. It is caused by the sowing of any of these structures by bacterial or, less frequently, fungal organisms (*Shmueli et al., 2020*).

The clinical presentation of IE is highly changeable and may represent as an acute, subacute or chronic condition that mirrors the variable causative microorganisms, underlying cardiac conditions and pre-existing comorbidities (*Rajani & Klein, 2020*).

Among cardiovascular disorders, infective endocarditis (IE) is considered an uncommon cardiovascular condition - its overall incidence is estimated at 3-10 episodes per 100 000 person-years. Nonetheless, this number is almost certainly underestimated as the diagnosis of endocarditis is difficult and some patients remain undiagnosed (*de Sousa et al., 2021*).

The overall complication rate is high in Egypt (39.4%). The main complications are potentially life-threatening,

including heart failure, severe sepsis, and renal failure (**Rizk et al., 2019**).

Despite therapeutic advances, the mortality rate remains high with 14–22% in-hospital mortality rates and up to 51% mortality at 10 years. It is also associated with significant morbidity including prolonged hospital stay, reduced quality of life and a high risk of re-infection (**Williams et al., 2021**).

The number of cases of IE per annum is probably growing due to the increasing use of prosthetic valves and cardiac implantable electronic devices also due to an increase in the number of immunocompromised patients, and extended survival of patients with congenital heart disease (**Cahill & Prendergast, 2016**).

It is noteworthy to state that neither the incidence nor the mortality of IE has declined in the previous 30 years. In addition, IE represents in different clinical presentation and its management varies according to physician experience which render IE a peculiar disease (**Sadaka et al., 2013**).

Blood-culture is commonly known as the gold standard for the detection of microbial pathogens in the bloodstream. This methodology, though, possesses some intrinsic restrictions, for example it is difficult and can only identify microbes that grow under optimal cultural conditions. In contrast, PCR based techniques are sensitive to small amounts

of pathogen's DNA and are able to directly detect it in blood samples within 3–6 hours, thus supporting the treatment subsequently (*Trung et al., 2019*).

A predisposing condition, such as rheumatic heart disease, is nowadays less common except in low-income countries. Intravenous drug use have decreased globally, but a dramatic increase of this habit has continued to alter the epidemiology of endocarditis in North America and in some Eastern European countries. Other risk factors are being increasingly detected in high-income countries, such as degenerative valve disease, intracardiac devices, indwelling catheters and immunosuppressive conditions (*Cuervo et al., 2021*).

Nonetheless most microorganisms linked with IE are diagnosed by blood cultures and occasionally with serology, diagnosis in the forthcoming decade will expected to rely further on molecular biology methods in attempt to perform detection and identification of bacteria. That is owing to their noticeable competence in the etiological diagnosis with accuracy, efficiency, and anticipated wide accessibility. This is significantly crucial and feasible in the case of culture-negative endocarditis caused by preceding antibiotic intake or incapability of fastidious microorganisms to cultivate (*Brouqui & Raoult, 2006*).

PCR-based diagnostics have been effectively developed for a wide range of microbes. Due to its incredible sensitivity, specificity, and speed of amplification, PCR has been championed by infectious disease experts for identifying organisms that cannot be grown *in vitro*, or in instances where existing culture techniques are insensitive and/or need prolonged incubation times (**Bajinka & Secka, 2017**).

Multiplex PCR is a novel methodology that permits simultaneous detection of several organisms through introduction of different primers to amplify DNA region coding for particular genes of each bacterial strain targeted (**Rajapaksha et al., 2019**).

The multiplex PCR assay is sensitive, specific, rapid and less costly. This methodology could be used in clinical laboratories for rapid identification and induction of specific and effective treatment, decreasing patient mortality and morbidity. Additionally, it may help decreasing abuse of antimicrobials that are more expensive and toxic (**Ali et al., 2018**).

There are several multiplex assays for the fast recognition of microorganisms in clinical samples within about 8h (**Bloos et al., 2012; Tsalik et al., 2010**). *Staphylococcus aureus* has overpassed streptococci as the most common causative pathogen throughout the world and particularly in the developed world given its common association with health-care contact and invasive procedures (**Khalid et al., 2020**).