Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University Intensive care Department



Evaluation of Cardiac Troponin I as a Predictor of Outcome of Sepsis in Critically III Patients

Thesis Submitted For Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree
In Intensive Care Medicine

By

Mahmoud Mohamed Mahmoud Senna M.B.B.Ch.

Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Under Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Sidky Mahmoud Zaki

Professor of Anaesthesia, Intensive Care and Pain Management Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University

Assist. Prof. Ashraf Elsayed Abdel Rahman Elagamy

Assistant Professor of Anaesthesia, Intensive Care and Pain Management.
Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University

Dr. Wael Abdelmoneim Mohamed Abdel Wahab

Lecturer of Anaesthesia, Intensive Care and Pain Management. Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University
2020

Acknowledgement

First of all, thanks GOD, the merciful, the beneficent for helping me during this work.

I would like to express my indebtedness and deepest gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Mohamed Sidky Mahmoud Zaki**, Professor of Anaesthesia, Intensive Care and Pain Management, and Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University for his valuable advice, guidance and constructive criticism, also for the invaluable assistance and efforts he devoted in the supervision of this study.

I'll never forget, how co-operative was **Dr. Ashraf Elsayed Abdel Rahman Elagamy**, Assistant Professor of Anaesthesia, Intensive Care and Pain Management., Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University, also he was encouraging all the time. It is honorable to be supervised by his.

I would like also, to express my great thanks to **Dr.** Wael Abdelmoneim Mohamed Abdel Wahab, Lecturer of Anaesthesia, Intensive Care and Pain Management., and Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University. His valuable advises and continuous support facilitated completing this work.

I would like to thank all the staff members of the Anesthesia, Intensive Care and Pain Management department.

Finally, I would like to express my appreciation and gratitude to all my family, especially my caring and loving parents who enlighten my life.

Mahmoud Mohamed Mahmoud Senna

LIST OF CONTENTS

Title	Page
List of Tables	
List of Figures	III
List of Abbreviations	
Introduction	
Aim of the Work	
Review of Literature	
• Chapter (1): Sepsis	5
• Chapter (2): Cardiac troponins: Current status	
Methods	
Results	
Discussion	
Summary	
Conclusion	
Recommendations	
References	90
Arabic Summary	

List of Tables

Table	Table of Review	Page
(1)	Sequential [sepsis-related] organ failure assessment score (SOFA)	14
(2)	Sepsis-3 criteria for sepsis/septic shock	15
(3)	APACHE II score	18
(4)	Bundle elements with strength of recommendations and under-pinning quality of evidence	21
	Table of Results	
(1)	Comparison between the two studied groups according to demographic data.	44
(2)	Comparison between the two studied groups according to medications	46
(3)	Comparison between the two studied groups according to risk factors	48
(4)	Comparison between the two studied groups according to vital signs	50
(5)	Comparison between the two studied groups according to GCS	52
(6)	Comparison between the two studied groups according to radiological investigations	53
(7)	Comparison between the two studied groups according to CBC	56
(8)	Comparison between the two studied groups according to electrolytes	58
(9)	Comparison between the two studied groups according to INR	60

Table	Table of Review	Page
(10)	Comparison between the two studied groups according to liver function	61
(11)	Comparison between the two studied groups according to serum ceatinine and serum lactate	63
(12)	Comparison between the two studied groups according to ABG	65
(13)	Comparison between the two studied groups according to cTnI	67
(14)	Comparison between the different studied samples according to cTnI in each group	69
(15)	Comparison between the two studied groups according to SOFA score	71
(16)	Comparison between the two studied groups according to APACHE II	73
(17)	Relation between outcome and 1st sample cTnI in each group	74

List of Figures

Figure	Fig. of Review	Page
(1)	Pathophysiology of sepsis	9
(2)	Hour-1 Surviving Sepsis Campaign Bundle of Care	
(3)	Schematic Figure	30
	Fig. of Results	
(1)	Bar charts showing distribution of participants as regards to sex.	45
(2)	Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to age.	45
(3)	Bar charts showing distribution of participants as regards to medications.	47
(4)	Bar charts showing distribution of participants as regards to risk factors.	49
(5)	Bar charts show distribution of studied groups as regards to vital signs	51
(6)	Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to GCS	52
(7)	Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to CXR	54
(8)	Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to ECG	54
(9)	Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to EF	55
(10)	Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to valves	55
(11)	Bar charts show distribution of studied groups as regards to CBC	57

(12) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to Na (13) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to K (14) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to INR (15) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to SGPT (16) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to SGOT (17) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to Serum Creatinine (18) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to Serum Lactate (19) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to PH (20) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to PaCO ₂ (21) Bar charts show distribution of studied groups	59 59 60 62
(13) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to K (14) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to INR (15) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to SGPT (16) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to SGOT (17) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to Serum Creatinine (18) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to Serum Lactate (19) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to PH (20) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to PaCO ₂	59 60 62
studied groups according to K (14) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to INR (15) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to SGPT (16) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to SGOT (17) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to Serum Creatinine (18) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to Serum Lactate (19) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to PH (20) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to PaCO ₂	60
 (14) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to INR (15) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to SGPT (16) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to SGOT (17) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to Serum Creatinine (18) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to Serum Lactate (19) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to PH (20) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to PaCO₂ 	60
studied groups according to INR (15) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to SGPT (16) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to SGOT (17) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to Serum Creatinine (18) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to Serum Lactate (19) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to PH (20) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to PaCO ₂	62
(15) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to SGPT (16) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to SGOT (17) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to Serum Creatinine (18) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to Serum Lactate (19) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to PH (20) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to PaCO ₂	62
studied groups according to SGPT (16) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to SGOT (17) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to Serum Creatinine (18) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to Serum Lactate (19) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to PH (20) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to PaCO ₂	
 (16) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to SGOT (17) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to Serum Creatinine (18) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to Serum Lactate (19) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to PH (20) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to PaCO₂ 	
studied groups according to SGOT (17) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to Serum Creatinine (18) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to Serum Lactate (19) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to PH (20) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to PaCO ₂	62
(17) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to Serum Creatinine (18) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to Serum Lactate (19) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to PH (20) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to PaCO ₂	04
studied groups according to Serum Creatinine (18) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to Serum Lactate (19) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to PH (20) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to PaCO ₂	
(18) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to Serum Lactate (19) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to PH (20) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to PaCO ₂	64
studied groups according to Serum Lactate (19) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to PH (20) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to PaCO ₂	0.
(19) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to PH (20) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to PaCO ₂	64
studied groups according to PH (20) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to PaCO ₂	
(20) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to PaCO ₂	66
(31) Rar charte show distribution of studied groups	66
` /	60
as regards to cTnI	68
(22) Bar charts show distribution of studied groups	
as regards to cTnI in each group	70
(23) Bar chart shows comparison between the	
studied groups according to on admission	72
(24) Bar chart shows comparison between the	70
studied groups according to day 2	72
(25) Bar chart shows comparison between the	
studied groups according to APACHE II (26) Bar chart shows comparison between the	74
(26) Bar chart shows comparison between the studied groups according to APACHE II	73

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full Term
ACS	Acute coronary syndromes
AKI	Acute kidney injury
APACHE	Acute Physiology and Chronic Health
	Evaluation
ARDS	Acute respiratory distress syndrome
ATP	Adenosine triphosphate
cTnI	Cardiac troponin I
ECG	Electrocardiogram
ESICM	European Society of Intensive Care
	Medicine
FiO ₂	Fraction of inspired oxygen
GCS	Glasco-coma-scale
ICU	Intensive care unit
MAP	Mean arterial pressure
MEDS	The Mortality in Emergency Department
	Sepsis
MI	Myocardial infarction
PAMPs	Pathogen-associated molecular patterns
PRRs	Pattern recognition receptors
qSOFA	Quick Sequential Organ Failure Assessment
RR	Respiratory rate
SBP	Systolic blood pressure
SCCM	Society of Critical Care Medicine
SIMD	Sepsis-induced myocardial dysfunction
SIRS	Systemic inflammatory response syndrome
SOFA	Sequential organ failure assessment
TH1	T helper cell 1
TH2	T helper cell 2
TTE	Transthoracic echocardiography
WHO	World Health Organization

Evaluation of Cardiac Troponin I as a Predictor of Outcome of Sepsis in Critically III Patients

ABSTRACT

Background: Sepsis and septic shock are the most common causes of morbidity and mortality in intensive care units in the United States. The cardiovascular abnormalities associated with septic shock, in large part, account for the life-threatening nature of the syndrome. Cardiac troponin I (cTnI) has been shown to be an indicator of myocardial injury and is an accepted prognostic factor of myocardial infarction (MI).

Aim of Study: To study the prognostic value of cTnI on mortality and adverse complications in patients with sepsis and septic shock.and to study the relation of cTnI with ICU scoring system (SOFA).

Patients and Methods: This is a comparative cross sectional study, was conducted at Intensive care unit (ICU) at Ain Shams University Hospitals and El Matria Teaching Hospital, on 70 patients with suspected infection who were aged between 18 and 70 years old, over the period of six months from November 2019 to April 2020.

Results: Finally, as regard relation between outcome and 1st sample cTnI in each group; the study on the hand revealed that there was no statistical significant difference between outcome and 1st sample cTnI in Group with positive cTnI, Group II with non-elevated cardiac troponin I.

Conclusion: Based on our results we recommend for further studies in larger patients and longer period of follow up to emphasize our conclusion. Sepsis patients with high cTnI levels are usually more critically ill while had the same chance to adverse outcome and less mortality and cTnI level is not a predicator of mortality, further studies in larger patient populations must establish whether elevated troponin may be used as an independent mortality risk factor for intensive care patients without ACS.

Keywords: Intensive care unit, myocardial infarction, Cardiac troponin I.

Introduction

Sepsis is a life-threatening condition that arises when the body's response to infection causes injury to its own tissues and organs (Singer et al., 2016).

"septicemia", also spelled "septicaemia", and "blood poisoning" referred to the microorganisms or their toxins in the blood and are no longer commonly used. The modern term for this is bacteremia (Angus and van der Poll, 2013).

Systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) (criteria had been used to define sepsis. If the SIRS criteria are negative, it is very unlikely the person has sepsis; if it is positive, there is just a moderate probability that the person has sepsis. According to SIRS, there were different levels of sepsis: sepsis, severe sepsis, and septic shock (Kaukonen et al., 2015).

SIRS is the presence of two or more of the following: abnormal body temperature, heart rate, respiratory rate, or blood gas, and white blood cell count.

Sepsis is defined as SIRS in response to an infectious process (Soong & Soni, 2012).

In 2016 a new consensus was reached to replace screening by systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) with the sequential organ failure assessment score (SOFA score). The sequential organ failure assessment score (SOFA score), previously known as the sepsis-related organ failure assessment score, is used to track a person's status during the stay in an intensive care unit (ICU) to determine the extent of a person's organ function or rate of failure. The score is based on six different scores, one each for the respiratory, cardiovascular, hepatic, coagulation, renal and neurological systems (Singer et al., 2016).

Sepsis is the leading cause of death in the non-coronary intensive care unit (ICU) and the 10th leading cause of death overall (Sands et al., 2007).

Cardiac troponin I (cTnI) has been shown to be an indicator of myocardial injury and is an accepted prognostic factor of myocardial infarction (MI) (Fromm, 2007).

Although cTnI is cardiac-specific, its release seems not to be limited to cardiac-related events, but is also detectable in other critical clinical conditions, such as trauma, pulmonary embolism, and septic shock (**Mehta et al., 2004**).

Introduction

Troponin release in this population occurs in the absence of flow-limiting coronary artery disease, suggesting the presence of mechanisms other than thrombotic coronary artery occlusion, probably a transient loss in membrane integrity with subsequent troponin release or microvascular thrombotic injury (Minino et al., 2007).

Aim of the Work

To study the prognostic value of cTnI on mortality and adverse complications in patients with sepsis and septic shock.and to study the relation of cTnI with ICU scoring system (SOFA).

Chapter: One

Sepsis

Definition

An international task force with 19 participants was convened by the Society of Critical Care Medicine (SCCM) and the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine (ESICM) to revise the current sepsis and septic shock definitions. Using an expert Delphi consensus process, this group developed the new Sepsis-3 definitions (Singer et al., 2016).

Sepsis is defined as life-threatening organ dysfunction caused by a dysregulated host response to infection. The clinical criteria for sepsis include suspected or documented infection and an acute increase of two or more Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) points as a proxy for organ dysfunction. Septic shock is defined as a subset of sepsis in which underlying circulatory and cellular/metabolic abnormalities are profound enough to increase mortality substantially. Septic shock is defined by the clinical criteria of sepsis and vasopressor therapy needed to elevate mean arterial pressure ≥65 mm Hg and lactate >2 mmol/L (18 mg/dL) despite adequate fluid resuscitation (Napolitano, 2018).

* Epidemiology

Sepsis causes millions of deaths globally each year and is the most common cause of death in people who have been hospitalized (**Deutschman & Tracey, 2014**).

The worldwide incidence of sepsis is estimated to be 18 million cases per year. In the United States sepsis affects approximately 3 in 1,000 people, and severe sepsis contributes to more than 200,000 deaths per year. [Sepsis occurs in 1–2% of all hospitalizations and accounts for as much as 25% of ICU bed utilization. Due to it rarely being reported as a primary diagnosis (often being a complication of cancer or other illness), the incidence, mortality, and morbidity rates of sepsis are likely underestimated (**Lyle et al., 2014**).

The incidence rate is particularly high among infants, with the incidence of 500 cases per 100,000 populations. Mortality related to sepsis increases with age, from less than 10% in the age group of 3 to 5 years to 60% by sixth decade of life. The increase in average age of the population, alongside the presence of more people with chronic diseases or on immunosuppressive medications, and also the increase in the number of invasive procedures