

# بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



-Caron-





شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم





## جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

## قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها على هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغيار







# OPTIMIZATION OF SYNCHRONIZATION PARAMETERS FOR HYDROFORMING T-TUBE PROCESS

By

#### Moataz AbdelGawad Mohammed AbdelGawad

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

in

MECHANICAL DESIGN AND PRODUCTION ENGINEERING

# OPTIMIZATION OF SYNCHRONIZATION PARAMETERS FOR HYDROFORMING T-TUBE PROCESS

By

#### Moataz AbdelGawad Mohammed AbdelGawad

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
in
MECHANICAL DESIGN AND PRODUCTION ENGINEERING

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Tarek AbdelSadek Osman

Professor of Machine Design
Mechanical Design and Prod. Dept.
Faculty of Engineering,
Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Mostafa Shazly

Professor of Solid Mechanics
Mechanical Engineering Dept.
Faculty of Engineering,
British University in Cairo

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIROUNIVERSITY GIZA, EGYPT 2021

# OPTIMIZATION OF SYNCHRONIZATION PARAMETERS FOR HYDROFORMING T-TUBE PROCESS

By

#### Moataz AbdelGawad Mohammed AbdelGawad

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

MECHANICAL DESIGN AND PRODUCTION ENGINEERING

#### in

| Approved by the Examining Committee   |                     |  |  |
|---|---------------------|--|--|
| Prof. Dr. Tarek AbdelSadek Osman,   | Thesis Main Advisor |  |  |
| Prof. Dr. Mostafa Shazly, Professor of Solid Mechanics, Mechanical I Faculty of Engineering, British University i |                     |  |  |
| Prof. Dr. Hesham Hegazi,  | Internal Examiner   |  |  |
| Prof. Dr. Mohamed Ibrahim Mohamed I Professor and Head of the Mechanical Engi                                     | •                   |  |  |

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY GIZA, EGYPT 2021

National Research Center.

**Engineer:** Moataz AbdelGawad Mohammed AbdelGawad

**Date of Birth:** 19 / 7 / 1983

**Nationality:** Egyptian

**E-mail:** moatazmech@gmail.com **Phone.:** 01271915198 / 01064575722

**Address:** 5th Aadam street-Altaawon Station-Giza

**Registration Date:** 1 / 10 / 2012 **Awarding Date:** / / 2021 **Degree:** Doctor of Philosophy

**Department:** Mechanical Design and Production Engineering

Supervisors: Prof. Dr. Tarek AbdelSadek Osman

Prof. Dr. Mostafa Hassan Yousef Shazly

Professor of Solid Mechanics, Mechanical Engineering Department

Faculty of Engineering, British University in Cairo

#### **Examiners:**

Prof. Dr. Tarek AbdelSadek Osman (Thesis main advisor)

Prof. Dr. Mostafa Hassan Yousef Shazly (advisor)

Professor of Solid Mechanics, Mechanical Engineering Department

Faculty of Engineering, British University in Cairo Prof. Dr. Hesham Ahmed Hegazi (Internal examiner)

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Ibrahim Mohamed Elanwar (External examiner)

Professor and Head of the Mechanical Engineering

Department at the National Research Center

#### **Title of Thesis:**

## OPTIMIZATION OF SYNCHRONIZATION PARAMETERS FOR HYDROFORMING T-TUBE PROCESS

**Key Words:** Tube hydroforming; Machine learning; Multiple ridge regression;

Loading path; Wrinkling

#### **Summary:**

An adaptive, heuristic, nonlinear mathematical model (AHNM) was proposed to optimize the loading path of a hydroforming process as a result of adaptive minimization of the internal pressure and axial load of the process. FEA was used to analyse the process, also this research examined several Machine Learning algorithms such as; Multiple Ridge Regression and Random Forest to learn the relations between the features. The linearity between the features was assumed to create simple AHNM model, where the Multiple Ridge Regression was found to give the highest accuracy. AHNM model was implemented, solved, and optimized using several steps of tee protrusion height. A new Test Rig was developed to experiment the validity of the obtained loading paths for different thicknesses of tube. This research applied the machine learning in this process for the first time, and confirmed that creation of the (AHNM) modelling was successful application.



#### Disclaimer

I hereby declare that this thesis is my own original work and that no part of it has been submitted for a degree qualification at any other university or institute.

I further declare that I have appropriately acknowledged all sources used and have cited them in the references section.

Name: Moataz AbdelGawad Mohammed AbdelGawad Date: ..../ 2021

Signature:

### Acknowledgments

In the name of Allah, the most gracious, the most merciful All praise to Allah, the almighty who made me accomplished this research

My deep appreciation goes to my thesis advisor **Prof. Dr. Tarek Abd El-Sadek** for his guidance and useful comments on the thesis and review of it to be performed in satisfactory manner.

I am especially thankful and grateful to my thesis member **Prof. Dr. Mostafa Shazly**, for his constant help, guidance and the countless hours of attention he devoted throughout my study and through achieving this work. His priceless suggestions made this work interesting and learning for me and others.

I would like to express my gratitude to my colleague **Dr. Mohmmad Mahmoud Abdu Hanafy** who provided me with full support while doing this work.

I wish to express my heartfelt gratitude to my parents and my brothers for their encouragement, constant prayers and continuing support. Especially I owe a lot of thanks to my dear wife for her extra patience and motivation and for her helping in preparing the manuscript.

Acknowledgment is to the Mechanical Design and Production department of Faculty of Engineering, CAIRO UNIVERSITY for extending facilities for support this thesis work.

### **Table of Contents**

| L  | ST OF | TABLES   | .V |
|----|-------|--|----|
| Ll | ST OF | FIGURES  | VI |
| N  | OMEN  | CLATURE V  | Ш  |
| A] | BSTRA | CT   | IX |
| 1  | CHA   | APTER ONE – INTRODUCTION   | 1  |
|    | 1.1   | Introduction of Tube Hydroforming Process                              | 1  |
|    |       | Advantages of Tube Hydroforming Process                                |    |
|    |       | Types of Tube Hydroforming Process                                     |    |
|    | 1.3.1 | Traditional hydroforming   | 2  |
|    | 1.3.2 | Low-pressure hydroforming  | 2  |
|    | 1.3.3 | High-pressure hydroforming   | 4  |
|    |       | Applications of Tube Hydroforming Process                              |    |
|    |       | Historical Research Background of Tube Hydroforming Process            | 5  |
|    | 1.5.1 | J C 1  |    |
|    | 1.5.2 |  |    |
|    |       | Motivations  |    |
|    |       | Machine Learning   |    |
|    |       | Problem statement  |    |
|    |       | Objectives   |    |
|    |       | Research Methodology   |    |
| 2  | CHA   | APTER TWO – LITERATURE SURVEY  | 11 |
|    | 2.1   | The Founder and the State of the Art                                   | 11 |
|    | 2.2   | Mathematical Modelling and Experiments to Study the Process Parameters | 11 |
|    |       | Finite Element Modelling to Study and Optimize the Process             |    |
|    |       | Forming Limit Diagram to Identify the Failure Initiation               |    |
|    |       | The Combination of FEA and other Mathematical Techniques               |    |
|    |       | Statistical Analysis for Tube Hydroforming Process                     |    |
|    |       | Friction in Tube Hydroforming Process                                  |    |
|    | 2.8   | Discussion on the Adaptive Techniques and Failure Indicators           | 15 |
| 3  |       | APTER THREE – ADAPTIVE HEURISTIC NONLINEAR                             |    |
| M  | ATHE  | MATICAL (AHNM) MODEL   | 17 |
|    | 3.1   | Adaptive Heuristic Framework   | 17 |
|    |       | FEA Model for Hydroforming Process                                     |    |
|    |       | Development of AHNM Model  |    |
|    | 3.3.1 | Model's Indicators   | 24 |
|    | 3.3.2 | Model Development  | 25 |
|    | 3.3.3 | Model Explanation:   | 26 |
|    | 3.3.4 | 1  |    |
|    |       | Machine Learning Algorithms Results                                    |    |
|    | 3.5   | Implementation of AHNM Model by Multiple Ridge Regression              | 27 |

| 4<br>Tl      | CHAPTER FOUR – RESULTS AND VERIFICATION OF THE MACHI   |                      |
|--------------|--|----------------------|
|              | 1 Results of the Machine-Trained AHNM Model  |                      |
|              | 2 FEA Verification of the Machine-Trained AHNM Model   | 31                   |
| 5<br>Pl      | CHAPTER FIVE – EXPERIMENTAL TEST RIG SETUP AND DCEDURE   | 39                   |
|              | 1 Test Rig Unit  | 42<br>45             |
|              | 5.1.3 The Pressure Control unit  | 46<br>46             |
|              | <ul> <li>5.2.2 The effect of friction on the T-tube hydroforming experiments</li> <li>5.2.3 Copper tube work hardening</li> <li>5.2.4 Results of the modified AHNM models</li> </ul>   | 48                   |
|              | 5.2.5 Experimental Results   |                      |
| 6            | CHAPTER SIX – DISCUSSION, CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WOR   |                      |
|              | 1 Achievements 2 Conclusions 3 Future Work.  | 59                   |
| R            | FERENCES   | 61                   |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | PENDICES   | 65                   |
|              | PPENDIX (A) Python Script to Interact with ABAQUS  PPENDIX (B) Parameters Obtained from ABAQUS  PPENDIX (C) Python Script for RF & DT  PPENDIX (D) Python Script for Multi Linear regression  PPENDIX (E) Pyomo Python Script for Array No.1  PPENDIX (F) Pyomo Python Script for Array No.2  PPENDIX (G) Pyomo Python Script for Array No.3  PPENDIX (H) Pyomo Python Script for Array No.4 | 70<br>74<br>76<br>78 |
|              | PPENDIX (I) Pyomo Python Script for Array No.5   | 84<br>86<br>88       |
|              | PPENDIX (M) Modified AHNM model in Pyomo for Tube Thickness 0.33mm PPENDIX (N) Modified AHNM model in Pyomo for Tube Thickness 0.80mm PPENDIX (O) Drawings of Test Rig   | 96                   |

### **List of Tables**

| Table 3.1: Copper tube material properties                                       | 19 |
|--|----|
| Table 3.2: Results of Mesh sensitivity analysis                                  | 23 |
| Table 3.3: Arrange of Accuracy scores of the trained machine learning algorithms | 27 |
| Table 4.1: Arrays of various steps and values for the vertical protrusion        | 31 |
| Table 4.2: Arrays No.1 to No.7 protrusion heights for AHNM and FEM               | 33 |
| Table 4.3: Results summary of Arrays No.1 to 7 for AHNM and FEM                  | 34 |
| Table 5.1: The allowable thicknesses for different tube thickness                | 47 |
| Table 5.2: Results summary of modified AHNM for different tube thicknesses       | 51 |

### **List of Figures**

| Figure 1.1: Main defects of THF process [1]  |
|--|
| Figure 1.2: Types of Hydroforming [2]  |
| Figure 1.3: Difference between High and Low-pressure tube hydroforming [3]3  |
| Figure 1.4: High-pressure tube hydroforming [4]4   |
| Figure 1.5: Hydro-formed junction [5]5   |
| Figure 1.6: Research Plan  |
| Figure 3.1: The adaptive heuristic framework proposed  |
| Figure 3.2: The Dimensions of the final product  |
| Figure 3.3: FEA model (a) the applied boundary conditions on the model, (b) the applied internal pressure on the tube surface, (c) the applied axial load on tube edge21 |
| Figure 3.4: Flow chart of the iterative process proposed to produce the initial FEM $\dots$ 22   |
| Figure 3.5: Thickness distribution of the simulated model  |
| Figure 4.1: Optimized AHNM progress of (a) Internal pressure and (b) Axial load through non-circular tube hydroforming   |
| Figure 4.2: Results of the AHNM and the FEM loading paths for Arrays No.1 to No.7, (a) Internal pressure and (b) Axial load  |
| Figure 4.3: Results of Arrays 1 to 3, thickness distribution and maximum protrusion36  |
| Figure 4.4: Results of Array 4, thickness distribution and maximum protrusion37  |
| Figure 4.5: Results of Array 5, thickness distribution and maximum protrusion37  |
| Figure 4.6: Results of Array 6, thickness distribution and maximum protrusion38  |
| Figure 4.7: Results of Array 7, thickness distribution and maximum protrusion38  |
| Figure 5.1: The Main Units of the Test Rig   |
| Figure 5.2: Layout of Hydraulic Circuit of the Test Rig Set-Up40   |
| Figure 5.3: Components of the Hydroforming Unit  |
| Figure 5.4: The Hydroforming Die   |
| Figure 5.5: Assembly of the Lateral Presses  |
| Figure 5.6: Power Unit   |
| Figure 5.7: Components of the Pressure Control Unit  |
| Figure 5.8: The burst of the as-received tube (a) Bursting at the beginning of process $IP = 6 MPa$ and (b) Bursting during Process without Annealing $IP = 8 MPa$ 49    |
| Figure 5.9: Wrinkled tubes (a) Wrinkling at the middle of the tube and (b) Wrinkling at the end of the tube  |
| Figure 5.10: The Annealing Process of the Tube (a) Before the Process (b) During the Process   |

| Figure 5.11: The Annealed sample of Tube after reaching the Forming   | 51  |
|---|-----|
| Figure 5.12: Results of the modified AHNM Loading Paths for Different Thicknes (a) Internal pressure and (b) Axial load |     |
| Figure 5.13: Deformed Tube of Thickness 0.8 mm  | 54  |
| Figure 5.14: Results of the modified AHNM Loading Path for 0.8 mm Thickness Internal pressure and (b) Axial load        | ` ′ |
| Figure 5.15: Deformed Tube of Thickness 0.33 mm   | 56  |
| Figure 5.16: Results of the modified AHNM Loading Path for 0.33mm Thickness Internal pressure and (b) Axial load        |     |
| Figure 5.17: Failure of 0.2 mm thickness tube   | 58  |
| Figure 5.18: Machining to Produce Tube Thickness 0.2 mm from 0.8 mm   | 58  |
|   |     |

### Nomenclature

| Symbol                   | Description  |
|--------------------------|--|
| $AL_t$                   | Axial load at time t   |
| $AS_t$                   | Maximum axial stress within the area of the non-circular protrusion at time <i>t</i> |
| DT                       | Decision Tree algorithm  |
| FEA                      | Finite Element Analysis  |
| FEM                      | Finite Element Modeling  |
| $HS_t$                   | Maximum hoop stress within the area of the non-circular protrusion at time <i>t</i>  |
| $IE_t$                   | Average internal energy of the whole deformed part at time <i>t</i>                  |
| $IP_t$                   | Internal pressure inside the tube at time <i>t</i>                                   |
| $KE_t$                   | Average kinetic energy of the whole deformed part at time <i>t</i>                   |
| MAS                      | The maximum allowable deformation stress   |
| MAT                      | The maximum allowable thickness  |
| $MaxT_t$                 | Maximum thickness at the area of the non-circular protrusion at time t               |
| $MD_t$                   | Maximum vertical displacement within the area of the non-circular                    |
|                          | protrusion at time t   |
| MIAS                     | The minimum allowable deformation stress, and the                                    |
| MIAT                     | The minimum allowable thickness  |
| ML                       | Machine Learining  |
| $MinT_t$                 | Minimum thickness at the area of the non-circular protrusion at time t               |
| $MS_t$                   | Maximum principal strain within the area of the non-circular                         |
|                          | protrusion at time t   |
| $MT_t$                   | Minimum thickness at the area of the non-circular protrusion at time $t$             |
| N                        | Total number of periods  |
| n                        | Material hardening exponent  |
| $PP_t$                   | Punch pressure at time <i>t</i>  |
| RF                       | Random Forest algorithm  |
| T-Tube                   | Tube with lateral protrusion   |
| T- protrusion            | Lateral protrusion of Tube   |
| t                        | Time period  |
| $\varepsilon_{critical}$ | The critical strain at which instability occurs                                      |
| $\sigma_{axial}$         | The axial stress within the tube   |
| $\sigma_{hoop}$          | The circumferential stress within the tube   |