

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



-Caron-





شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم





جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها على هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغيار



APPLICATION OF THE ENVIRONMNETAL HEALTH STANDARDS AS A PRE-REQUISTE FOR THE ACCREDITATION OF THE HEALTH CARE INSTITUTIONS

Submitted By

Nemat-Allah Gaber Ibrahim El-Said

M.B.B.Ch., Faculty of Medicine, Al-Azhar University, 1989 Diploma in Environmental Sciences, Institute of Environmental Studies & Research,

Ain Shams University, 2003 Master in in Environmental Sciences, Institute of Environmental Studies & Research,

Ain Shams University, 2010

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment
Of
The Requirement for the Doctor of Philosophy Degree
In
Environmental Sciences

Department of Environmental Medical Sciences Institute of Environmental Studies and Research Ain Shams University

APPROVAL SHEET

APPLICATION OF THE ENVIRONMNETAL HEALTH STANDARDS AS A PRE-REQUISTE FOR THE ACCREDITATION OF THE HEALTH CARE INSTITUTIONS

Submitted By

Nemat-Allah Gaber Ibrahim El-Said

M.B.B.Ch., Faculty of Medicine, Al-Azhar University, 1989

Diploma in Environmental Sciences, Institute of Environmental Studies & Research,
Ain Shams University, 2003

Master in in Environmental Sciences, Institute of Environmental Studies & Research,

Ain Shams University, 2010

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment

 O^{\dagger}

The Requirement for the Doctor of Philosophy Degree

In

Environmental Sciences

Department of Environmental Medical Sciences

This thesis was discussed and approved by:

The Committee Signature

1-Prof. Dr. Mahmoud Serry El Bokhary

Prof. of Chest Diseases, Head of Department of Environmental Medical Sciences - Institute of Environmental Studies & Research Ain Shams University

2-Prof. Dr. Nader Alber Fanous

Prof. of Business Administration

Faculty of Commerce

Ain Shams University

3-Prof. Dr. Yaser Ahmed Medany

Prof. of Business Administration &

Ex-Dean of Faculty of Management & Technology

Arab Academy for Science, Technology & Marine Transport South Wadi (Aswan)

4-Prof. Dr. Amany Mokhtar Abd El-Hafez

Prof. of Environmental Medicine & Public Health

Faculty of Medicine

Ain Shams University

APPLICATION OF THE ENVIRONMNETAL HEALTH STANDARDS AS A PRE-REQUISTE FOR THE ACCREDITATION OF THE HEALTH CARE INSTITUTIONS

Submitted By Nemat-Allah Gaber Ibrahim El-Said

M.B.B.Ch., Faculty of Medicine, Al-Azhar University, 1989 Diploma in Environmental Sciences, Institute of Environmental Studies & Research,

Ain Shams University, 2003

Master in in Environmental Sciences, Institute of Environmental Studies & Research,

Ain Shams University, 2010

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment Of

The Requirement for the Doctor of Philosophy Degree In

Environmental Sciences
Department of Environmental Medical Sciences

Under The Supervision of:

1-Prof. Dr. Mahmoud Serry El Bokhary

Prof. of Chest Diseases, Head of Department of Environmental Medical Sciences - Institute of Environmental Studies & Research Ain Shams University

2-Prof. Dr. Nader Alber Fanous

Prof. of Business Administration Faculty of Commerce Ain Shams University

2021

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Thanks to God for mercy and virtues that enabled me to complete this work

I would like to express my sincerest gratitude and grateful appreciation to

Prof. Dr. Mahmoud Serry El Bokhary,

Head of Environmental Medical Sciences Department; Institute of Environmental /Studies & Research Ain Shams University for his precious time, and valuable advice. I would never have completed this project without whose patience and I would never have realized the completion of this degree.

I am grateful also to

Prof. Dr. Nader Alber Fanoos.

Prof. of Business Administration

Finance Faculty of Commerce/Ain Shams University whose expertise was so helpful, especially in the initial stages of the study. He offered encouragement, willingness to listen, and much- needed critique and knowledge.

To my spiritual father,

Prof. Dr. Mohamad Sharaf.

Former Chairman of the Cairo curative organization and Consultant of Obesity and Physiotherapy, who is always been my sense of inspiration to further pursue more and more my education, thanks so much, for his science cooperation, he provides me with the guidance that was necessary to complete this task.

To Cairo Curative Organization Chairman,

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Zaki El-Sudany

Special thanks and recognition help and dedication that made this work possible

I would also like to thank for his exceptional interest, flexibility, and kind assistance.

I need to acknowledge, and thank the host of participated patients who took time out of their busy schedules to help me.

To all my colleagues, especially the participated ones, for their advice, help, and understanding during my hard journey. I can't begin to thank them enough, please accept my heartfelt love and respect for each one of you.

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Performance measurement (KPIS) of hospitals offers policymakers a major opportunity to understand hospital outcomes, quality of health services, and accountability of the institution. The specific objective of the current case study were: to measure the hospital performance of one of the governmental hospitals in Cairo based on the opinion of a group of patients and a group of health care workers (HCWs) and also their satisfaction, then a re-evaluation of quality correction interventions. **Methodology:** The study targeted 422 patient and 204 HCWs. Two structured Arabic questionnaires were used; one covering 13 performance domains and indicators and the other was used to measure the groups' satisfaction. After analysis of the pre-intervention data, a correction team was performed and assumed 18 correction interventions; among them, only 9 were implemented during the post-intervention phase. Result: Regarding the patients' group opinion before the intervention, the mean % of the total performance was around 25% which significantly improved to 52.4% after the corrective interventions. As regards the HCWs' group opinion before the interventions, the total performance mean percent was 30.6% which was improved to 48.9% after the interventions. Both patients and HCWs' satisfaction scores were significantly improved after the interventions. There was a significant correlation between the total performance score and the satisfaction score in both groups. Conclusion: In the pre-intervention stage, the hospital performance and satisfaction were poorly perceived by both the patients and the HCWs which improved after the corrective interventions. Recommendation: The researcher recommended the application of the other proposed corrective interventions in order to improve the hospital performance more and to design a performance measurement system.

Keywords: Performance domains, satisfaction, physical environment, hospital management

LIST OF CONTENTS

Topics	Sub-Topics	Page
Abstract		I
List of contents		II
List of figures		IV
List of tables		V
Abbreviations		VII
Introduction		1
Rational		14
Research questions		16
and Hypotheses		
Aim and objectives		18
Literature review		20
	Significance of the problem	21
	Definition of terms	23
	Importance of healthcare performance	25
	measurements	
	Performance dimensions	26
	Methods of measuring Hospital	36
	Performance	
	Models for measuring hospital	40
	performance	
	Example of case studies from	43
	the literature	
	Importance of Staff Opinions in	56
	Hospital Performance	
	Importance of Patients Opinions in	57
	Hospital Performance	
	Satisfaction and hospital performance	58
	Organization management in hospital	61
	performance	
	Information management in hospital	63
	performance	

Topics	Sub-Topics	Page
	Continuous quality improvement	65
	programs and methods	
	Hospital environment	67
Subjects &		70
Methodology		
Results		81
Discussion		128
Conclusions and		144
recommendations		
Summery		147
References		153
Appendices		182
Arabic Summary		1-6

LIST OF FIGURES

Figures	Pages
Fig. 1: Total performance Levels from patients'	87
opinion before the intervention	
Fig 2: Patients satisfaction levels before the quality	97
improvement intervention	
Fig. 3: Total performance Levels from the patients'	104
opinion after intervention	
Fig. 4: Comparison between patients' opinion levels	106
of the total performance before and after the quality	
improvement intervention	
Fig 5 : Patients satisfaction levels after the quality	107
improvement intervention	
Fig 6: Comparison between Satisfaction Levels	108
among patients before and after the quality	
improvement intervention	
Fig. 7: Total performance Levels from the health	112
care workers' opinion before the intervention	
Fig 8 : Health care workers satisfaction levels before	118
the quality improvement intervention	
Fig. 9: Total performance Levels from the	121
perspective of the health care workers after the	
intervention	
Fig. 10: Comparison between total performance	123
Levels from the health care workers perspective	
before and after the quality improvement	
intervention	
Fig 11: Health care workers satisfaction levels after	124
the quality improvement intervention	
Fig 12: Total hospital performance mean scores	126
percent between the health care workers and	
patients	
Fig 13: Satisfaction means percent between the	127
health care workers and patients	

LIST OF TABLES

Tables	Page
Table 1: Patients' personal and demographic	84
characteristics at pre-intervention phase (n=422)	
Table 2: Description of hospital performance dimensions	85
from the perspective of the patients before intervention	
(n=422)	
Table 3: The association between the patients' opinion	89
scores in the hospital performance dimensions and the	
patients' sex, causes of admission, and repeated	
admission for the same cause before the intervention	
Table 4: The association between the patients' opinion	91
scores in the hospital performance dimensions and the	
patients' age groups before the intervention	
Table 5: The association between the patients opinion	93
scores in hospital performance dimensions and the	
patients' educational levels before intervention	
Table 6: The association between the patients' opinion	95
scores in the hospital performance dimensions and the	
type of money protectors before the intervention	
Table 7: Patients satisfaction before the quality	97
improvement intervention (n=422)	
Table 8: Correlation between the patients' opinion score	98
in total performance and their satisfaction score before	
the quality improvement intervention	
Table 9: Association between the patients' satisfaction	99
pre the intervention and their personal characteristics	
Table 10: Patients' personal and demographic	101
characteristics at post-intervention phase and	
comparison with the group of patients studied at of the	
pre-phase	
Table 11: Description of hospital performance from the	103
perspective of the patients after the quality improvement	
intervention (n=422)	

Tables	Page
Table 12: Comparison between hospital performance	105
dimensions before and after intervention from the	
opinions of the patients	
Table 13: Patients satisfaction after the quality	107
improvement intervention (n=422)	
Table 14: Correlation between the total performance	108
score from the perspective of the patients and their	
satisfaction score after the quality improvement	
intervention	
Table 15: Health care workers' personal and	110
demographic characteristics at pre-intervention phase	
(n=204)	
Table 16: Description of hospital performance from the	111
perspective of the health care workers before	
intervention (n=204)	
Table 17: The association between the HCWs' opinion	113
scores in hospital performance dimensions and the health	
care workers' sex, and age groups before the intervention	
Table 18: The association between the HCWs' opinion	115
scores in hospital performance dimensions and the health	
care workers' educational levels before the intervention	
Table 19: The association between the HCWs' opinion in	116
hospital performance dimensions and their specialties	
Table 20: Health care workers satisfaction before the	118
quality improvement intervention (n=204)	
Table 21: Correlation between the health care workers	119
total performance score and their satisfaction score	
before the quality improvement intervention	
Table 22: Description of hospital performance from the	120
perspective of the health care workers after the	
intervention	
Table 23: Comparison between the median of hospital	122
performance dimensions scores from the health care	

Tables	Page	
workers perspective before and after the intervention		
Table 24: Health care workers satisfaction after the	124	
quality improvement intervention		
Table 25: Correlation between the health care workers	125	
total performance scores and their satisfaction scores		
after the quality improvement intervention		
Table 26: Comparison of total hospital performance	126	
mean scores percent between the health care workers and		
patients		
Table 27: Comparison between the health care workers	127	
and patients as regards the mean satisfaction percent		

ABBREVIATIONS

AHP: Analytic Hierarchy Process ALOS: Average Length of Stay APP: advanced practice provider

BOR: Bed Occupancy Rate BSC: Balanced Scorecard BTR: Bed Turnover Rate

CQI: Continuous Quality Improvement

DEA: Data Envelopment Analysis

HCWs: Health Care Workers EHRs: Electronic health records

HIT: Health Information Technology

IPHN: Improving the Performance of Hospital Nurses ISO: International Organization for Standardization ISQua: International Society of quality in health care

IT: Information Technology

JCI: Joint Commission International

LMICs: Low-and-middle-income countries

MHA QI Project: Maryland Hospital Quality Indicator

Project

MOH: Ministry of Health

NHS: National Health Services

OECD: Organization for Economic Co-operation and

Development

PATH: Performance Assessment Tool for quality

improvement in Hospital

PER: Patient and Family Rights PIs: Performance Indicators

PMP: Performance Management Process

SLH: Saint Luke's Hospital

SPP: Strategic Planning Process WHO: World Health Organization