

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



-Caron-





شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم





جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها على هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغيار





Role of Diffusion weighted MRI in characterization of musculoskeletal soft tissue tumors

AThesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfilment of Master Degree in Radio-diagnosis

 $\mathcal{B}y$

Maha Abd Ulkareem Qassim

M.B.B.Ch, Al Mustansiyria University College of Medicine

Under Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Hana Hamdy Nassef

Professor of Radiology
Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Bassiouny

Lecturer of Radiology
Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University
2021





First and foremost, I feel always indebted to Allah, the Most Beneficent and Merciful, Who gave me the strength to accomplish this work.

I would like to thank with all appreciation **Prof. Hana Hamdy Nassef** Professor of Radiology- Faculty of Medicine –

Ain Shams University, who provided valuable advice and critical discussions during the whole research period supervised this work. She also encouraged me in during all preparation stages of this thesis. I am most grateful to her for her help understanding, support and assistance. She was of great help to me even before this thesis.

All my sincere thanks and deep gratitude is due to **Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Bassiouny**, Lecturer of Radiology-Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University, for his collaborative efforts, for providing the best example and for his understanding, advice and broad support during all stages of development of this work.

I wish to thank my **Parents**, my **Husband**, and my **daughter** for their patience, understanding, and extreme support throughout period of conducting this research and preparation of this thesis.

List of Contents

Subject	Page No.
List of Abbreviations	i
List of Tables	ii
List of Figures	iii
List of Cases	v
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work	5
Review of Literature	
Chapter (1): Musculoskeletal Soft Tissue Tu	ımors 6
Chapter (2): DW- MRI in Characterization of Musculoskeletal Soft Tissue Tu	
Patients and methods	31
Results	35
Illustrative Cases	46
Discussion	55
Conclusion and Recommendations	63
Summary	64
References	66
Arabic Summary	

List of Abbreviations

Abbr. Full-term

ADC : Apparent diffusion co-efficient

AJCC : American journal cancer commission

CT : Computed tomography

DWI: Diffusion weighted imaging

FNAB: Fine-needle aspiration biopsy

GIST : Gastrointestinal stromal tumors

MRI : Magnetic resonance image

PET : Positron emission tomography

SEER : Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results

STSs : Soft tissue sarcomas

US : Ultrasound

WHO: World health organization

List of Tables

Table No	. Title	Page No.
Table (1):	Most common types of STT a	
Table (2):	Age and sex of enrolled patients	35
Table (3):	Presentation among enrolled patien	ts36
Table (4):	Characteristics of the lesions in mresonance image	C
Table (5):	Histopathological diagnosis enrolled patients	•
Table (6):	ADC value among enrolled patier musculoskeletal soft tissue mass	
Table (7):	Accuracy of MRI in detection na musculoskeletal soft tissue mass	
Table (8):	Cross-tabulation between final di and MRI's diagnosis	0

List of Figures

Figure N	o. Title	Page No.
Figure (1):	Plain radiograph shows; a. Enchone b. Osteochondroma. c. Simple bone d. Fibrous dysplasia	cyst.
Figure (2):	Ultrasonography (US). a. Color D sonography for evaluation of tumor v b. US guided core needle biopsy	essels.
Figure (3):	CT images of bone tumors	20
Figure (4):	Nuclear scintigraphy; a. scintigraphy, b. ²⁰¹ Thallium scintigraphy, b. Osteosarcoma of distal femur, c. Mometastases of angiosarcoma, d. PE Metastatic tumor of rib	ultiple T-CT.
Figure (5):	MR images. a. Osteosarcoma. T2 we image (T2WI). b. Chondrosar Gadolinium-enhanced T1 weighted (T1WI). c. Giant cell tumor. Gadolinium-enhanced T1WI. d. Hemang Gadolinium-enhanced T1WI. Schwannoma. Fat-suppressed T2WI	rcoma. image inium- gioma. e.
Figure (6):	A case of Angiomatoid F Histiocytoma in a 17 year old male par	
Figure (7):	Sex distribution among enrolled patie	ents 35
Figure (8):	Site of swelling among enrolled patie	ents 36
Figure (9):	Characteristics of the lesions a enrolled patients	nmong 38
Figure (10):	Diffusion among enrolled patients	

Figure (11):	Nature of the mass based on MRI among enrolled patients	39	
Figure (12):	Nature of the mass based on histopathogical evaluation among enrolled patients	41	
Figure (13):	Mean ADC value among enrolled patients based nature of the mass		
Figure (14):	Accuracy of MRI in diagnosis nature of musculoskeletal soft tissue mass		

List of Cases

Case No.	Title	Page No.
Case (1)		46
Case (2)		47
Case (3)		48
Case (4)		47
Case (5)		50
Case (6)		51
Case (7)		52
Case (8)		53
Case (9)		54
Case (10)		55

Role of Diffusion weighted MRI in characterization of musculoskeletal soft tissue tumors

Abstract

Background: Magnetic Resonance Imaging is the method of choice for the diagnostic work-up of soft tissue tumors. It is the modality of choice to evaluate such masses, because of its excellent soft tissue contrast. Aim of the study: to assess the efficacy of Diffusion weighted MRI in characterization of musculoskeletal soft tissue tumors. Patients and Methods: The current study enrolled 20 patients (11 female and 9 male) with musculoskeletal STTs with mean age was 41.08 ± 11.54 year. The most frequent affected site with the swelling was the thigh (48%) followed by the arm (20%). Four (16%) patients suffered from leg swelling while three (12%) patients had shoulder swelling. Our results revealed that malignant musculoskeletal soft tissue masses had significantly lower ADC value in comparison to those with benign masses $(0.70 \pm 0.09 \text{ vs. } 1.58 \pm 0.52 \text{ x } 10^{-3} \text{m}^2/\text{s; P} <$ 0.001). **Results:** Patients with malignant lesions had significantly lower ADC value in comparison to those with benign lesions (0.70 \pm 0.09 vs. 1.58 ± 0.52 (10^3 mm²/s). Myxoma had the highest ADC value that was $2.44 (10^3 \text{mm}^2/\text{s})$ while sarcoma had lowest value that was 0.54 ± 0.03 (10³mm²/s). It was noticed that MRI had 100% sensitivity and 81.8% specificity for diagnosis of malignant musculoskeletal soft tissue masses with overall accuracy was 92% and area under curve was 0.91 at cut off value was < 1.14 (10³mm²/s). Based on final diagnosis; MRI was successful in diagnosis of all cases with malignant musculoskeletal soft tissue masses but as the same time, MRI falsely diagnosed two cases with benign nature to be malignant. Those two cases were finally diagnosed to be lipoma. Conclusion: Based on the current study, DWI-MRI considered the method of choice for the characterization of musculoskeletal STTs; however, DW-MRI with ADC mapping is a valuable, non-invasive, non-contrast tool for reliably differentiating between benign and malignant STTs. It's recommended to perform such study in large sample of patients and in more centers to confirm the great values of DWI-MRI in evaluation of such lesions.

Key words: Diffusion weighted MRI, musculoskeletal soft tissue tumors

Introduction

agnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) is the method of choice for characterization of soft tissue. The imaging characteristics of common benign lesions, such as lipoma and hemangioma, are often specific enough to allow a conclusive diagnosis. However, the imaging characteristics of a large number of soft tissue tumors are not diagnostic. Diffusion-weighted MRI have been mostly used for the diagnosis of early stroke, but applications in other fields such as oncological and musculoskeletal imaging are being explored (Einarsdóttir et al., 2004).

MRI is the modality of choice to evaluate such masses, because of its excellent soft tissue contrast. Although there are some findings on MRI which are indicative for malignancy, such as infiltration of adjacent tissues, destruction of bones and tendons, and the size of the mass, there are no criteria available to clearly distinguish benign masses from malignancies (Andreas et al., 2007).

On the contrary some very aggressive tumors present as an encapsulated mass without surrounding edema and only minimal contrast enhancement findings, which are in general indicative for benign processes. Thus, histopathologic work up is required for reliable characterization of soft tissue masses. As described DWI may reveal the microstructure of such masses and may therefore be helpful to distinguish (Andreas et al., 2007).

The primary aim in soft tissue tumor imaging should be to reach a specific diagnosis or to narrow the differential diagnosis. This is to help decide whether biopsy, surgical intervention, or simple observation is required for further management, which leads to a cost effective method where we can reassure the patient and follow-up on the clearly benign lesions (Afonso and Mascarenhas, 2015).

Functional and metabolic imaging techniques such as diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) are available for clinical use and can potentially improve soft tissue tumor characterization. Visual analysis of DWI can detect lesions but is generally not used to characterize them. Quantitative DWI with apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) analysis differentiates well between solid and cystic masses and ADC values have been reported to be significantly higher in benign musculoskeletal tumors than in malignant ones (**Teixeira et al., 2016**).

The tissue contrast attained using diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) is different from that attained using conventional MR techniques. The DWI technique involves the diffusion motion of water protons in tissue, which produces different contrasts in different kinds of tissue, because of this; the procedure provides different information about diseased