

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم





HOSSAM MAGHRABY





شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



HOSSAM MAGHRABY



جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغيار



HOSSAM MAGHRABY



Comparative Evaluation of Buccal Fat Pad and Subepithelial Connective Tissue Graft in the Treatment of Localized Recession (Randomized Clinical Trial)

Thesis

Submitted for partial fulfillment of the requirements for Master degree in Periodontology

<u>Presented by</u>

Shehad Wael Saad Zaghloul

BDS 2014, Ain Shams University MfD RCSI 2016, Royal College of Surgeon Ireland Supervisors

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Youssef Gamal

Vice Dean of Postgraduate studies 6th October University

Professor of Oral Medicine, Peridontology and Diagnosis

Faculty of Dentistry Ain Shams University

Assoc. Prof. Eman Khalil Abdelhady

Assoc.Professor of Oral Medicine, Peridontology and Diagnosis, Faculty of Dentistry British University of Egypt

Dr. Doaa Adel Khattab

Lecturer of Oral Medicine, Peridontology and Diagnosis
Faculty of Dentistry Ain Shams University

Faculty of Dentistry
Ain-Shams University
2021

Dedication

To my precious **Mother** who always believes in me, without her I would never achieve anything.

To my **sister**, she is my supporter system for encouragement and care.

To my dearest **husband** who always push me to the best without his continous help, support and encouragement this work wouldn't have seen the light.

Acknowledgement

I wish to express my deep appreciation and gratitude to Prof. Dr. **Ahmed Youssef Gamal** Vice Dean of Postgraduate studies 6th October University, professor of oral medicine, periodontology and Diagnosis, Faculty of Dentistry Ain Shams University, for his support, guidance and for giving me his clinical experience and valuable advices which were essential for the completion of this study.

My sincere gratitude to Assoc. Prof.**Dr: Eman Khalil Abdelhady** assoc. Prof of Oral medicine, Periodontology and Diagnosis, Faculty of Dentistry British University of Egypt for her continuous support through out the study in the British University.

My sincere gratitude to **Dr: Doaa Adel Khattab** Lecturer of Oral medicine, Periodontology and Diagnosis, Faculty of Dentistry Ain Shams University for her support, continues inspiration, clinical and academic supervision and generosity in giving me her time.

I owe special thanks to my dear Assoc. prof.**Dr. Engy Ahmed** assoc. prof of Oral Medicine and Periodontology, Faculty of dentistry- Cairo University for sincere help for finding the patients and giving me her clinical and academic support.

Last, thanks to Dr. Yasser Elhadidi lecturer of Oral and

Maxillofacial surgery Ainshams University for doing the study statistics and helping throughout the study.

List of Contents

	Pa	age
List of abbreviations		i
List of Tables		ii
List of Figures		iii
Review of the Literature		1
Aim of the study		27
Subjects and Methods		28
Results		47
Discussion		71
Summary		83
Conclusions and recommendations		88
References		89
Arabic Summary		

List of abbreviations

Abb.	Full Term
GR	Gingival recession
CEJ	Cemento-enamel junction
GT	Gingival thickness
WKT	Width of keratinized tissue
CBCT	Cone beam computed tomography
MCJ	Muco-gingival junction
CAL	Clinical attachment level
CAF	Coronally advanced flap
FGG	Free gingival graft
SCTG	Sub-epithelial connective tissue graft
CT	Connective tissue
GPA	Greater palatine artery
PRF	Platelet rich fibrin
EMD	Enamel matrix derivatives
PRP	Platelet rich protein
BFP	Buccal fat pad
MSCs	Mesenchymal cells
PGA	Polyglycolic acid
PI	Plaque index
GI	Gingival index
PD	Probing depth
RD	Recession depth
RW	Recession width
MRC %	Percentage of mean root coverage
BL	Baseline
VAS	Visual analogue score
RES	Root coverage esthetic score
GM	Gingival margin
MTC	Marginal tissue contour
STT	Soft tissue texture
GC	Gingival color
CRC	Complete root coverage

List of Tables

Table	Title	Page
Table 1: study demograp	phics	46
Table 2: Summary of pla	aque index statistical data	47
Table 3:Summary of gin	gival index statistical data	48
Table 4: Summary of pro	obing depth statistical data	50
Table 5: Summary of cli	nical attachment level statistical	l data 52
Table 6: Summary of red	cession depth statistical data	54
Table 7: Summary of red	cession width statistical data	56
Table 8: Summary of me	ean percentage of root coverage	statistical data 58
Table 9: Summary of wi	dth of keratinized tissue statistic	cal data 59
Table 10: Summary of g	ringival thickness statistical data	61
Table 11: Pain killer Inta	ake of study and control statistic	al analysis 63
Table 12: Visual Analog	gue Scale of study and control st	atistical analysis 64
Table 13: Root esthetic S	Score of study and control statis	tical analysis 65

List of Figures

Figure	Title	Page
Figure 1: Schema	atic diagram showing a comparison	of different surgical
techniques for trea	atment of single recession including 4	3 studies (45 articles)
enclosed in the sy	ystematic review. CAF, Coronally A	Advanced Flap; CTG,
subepithelial Con-	nective Tissue Graft; GTR, Guided	Tissue Regeneration;
EMD, Enamel M	Matrix Derivative; ADM, Acellular	Dermal Matrix; CM,
porcine Collagen	Matrix; PCG, Platelet Concentrate Gr	aft; HF-DDS, Human
Fibroblast-Derived	d Dermal Substitute; BGS, Bone Gra	ft Substitute; P-RFM,
Platelet-Rich Fib	rin Membrane; SCPF, Semilunar	Coronally Positioned
Flap; DPF, Doubl	le Papilla Flap; LPF, Laterally Position	oned Flap; FGG, Free
Gingival Graft. Bo	G, Bone Graft. Courtesy of Cairo et a	al. J Clin Periodontol
2014; 41 (Suppl. 1	15): S44–S62.(82)	22
_	shot of G-power software showing t	
		30
Figure 3: Screen s	shot of the computer-generated randon	nization list 32
Figure 4: Clinica	l photograph showing modified cor-	onally advanced flap
elevation. A &B,	two horizontal beveled incisions are n	nade mesial and distal
to the upper left c	canine and two vertical beveled oblique	ue incisions are made,
starting at the en	nd of the two horizontal incisions a	and extending to the
alveolar mucosa.	C, Trapezoidal-shaped flap elevated u	ısing a split–full–split
approach in the co	oronal-apical direction. D, de-epitheli	alize surgical papillae
are elevated split t	thickness	36

List of Figures (cont.)

Figure Title Page
Figure 5: Clinical photograph showing Single-incision technique for SCTG
harvesting. A, A horizontal incision started from the mesial aspect of the first
molar to the lateral incisor. B, Flap was closed with figure of eight suture using
polypropylene37
Figure 6: clinical photograph showing Buccal pad of fat (BFP) harvesting
technique. A, a horizontal incision opposing to the upper second molar. B, a
blunt dissection of BFP with a curved hemostat
Figure 7: Clinical photograph showing wound closure with simple
interrupted
suture
Figure 8: Clinical photograph showing root debridement using Gracey
curettes 5-6 followed by root conditioning with tetracycline
solution39
Figure 9: Clinical photograph showing recipient site grating. A, Test group:
BFP sutured over the recipient site. B, Control group: SCTG sutured over the
recipient site. C, Flap positioned coronally and sutured
Figure 10: Clinical photograph showing probing depth measurement42
Figure 11: A. Clinical photograph showing recession depth and B. recession
width measurements44

	List of Figures (cont.)	
Figure	Title	Page
Figure 14: Bar char	t Showing Plaque index in study and con	trol50
Figure 15: Bar control51	chart showing Gingival index	in study and
Figure 16: Bar control53	chart showing Probing depth	in study and
Figure 17: Bar control55	hart showing Clinical attachment los	ss in study and
Figure 18: Bar char	t showing Recession depth in study and o	control57
Figure 19: Bar char	t showing Recession width in study and o	control59
Figure 20: Bar char control	t showing Percentage of mean root cover	rage in study and
2	t showing Width of Keratinized Tissue in	·
	t showing Gingival thickness in study an	

Figure 23: Bar chart showing Pain Visual Analogue scale in study and
control
Figure 24: Bar chart showing Root esthetic score in study and control68
Figure 25: Intraoral photograph of the study group (BFP)69
Figure 26:An intraoral photographs of the control group (SCTG)70

Review of Literature

Mucogingival conditions is a term used to describe any deviation from the normal morphology and anatomy between the gingival margin and the mucogingival junction. Gingival recession (GR) is one of the well-known examples of mucogingival conditions or deformities, it is an expression used to describe the apical migration of the gingiva. This migration leads to root surface exposure where the receding gingiva reach beyond the cemento-enamel junction (CEJ) ⁽¹⁾.

Gingival recession can be presented alone or associated with other mucogingival conditions such as decrease or absence in the amount of keratinized tissue, aberrant frenum/muscle position and decrease in the depth of vestibule. It was noticed that GR has no preference in populations of developed and developing countries ⁽²⁾. In addition, it could be found in all age groups, as it can start in young age, although its severity and prevalence increases with age ⁽¹⁾.

Several etiological factors may cause GR such as malalignment of teeth, trauma caused by faulty toothbrushing, presence of dental calculus, trauma from occlusion, high attachment frenum attachment, bad oral habits caused by pushing hard objects against teeth and gums and detrimental eating habits such as hard and crunchy food ⁽³⁾.

A new systematic review conducted by Chambrone et al. ⁽⁴⁾ in 2015 suggesting that the presence of restorations close to the gingival margin could carry risk of gingival inflammation and recession. It was concluded that these sites with marginal restorations are not indicated for soft tissue grafting and augmentation ⁽⁴⁾. Nevertheless, these conclusions are still considered with low evidence.

On the other hand, clinician must take risk factors for GR into considerations. The use of tobacco whether it is smokeless or smoking tobacco is an important risk factor for GR ⁽⁵⁾. Multiple studies showed that people using smokeless tobacco have a higher tendency to express more severe gingival recession and clinical loss of attachment in comparison to non smokers ⁽⁶⁾⁽⁷⁾.

It is known that the long-term use of tobacco has detrimental influence in many ways concerning the host immune and inflammatory responses, which consist of changes in the vascular system as well as alterations on neutrophil function. Besides, nicotine has a destructive effect on host cells such as fibroblast, by affecting its proliferative and its adhesive function on the root surfaces. These by consequences, will have a negative impact on any treatment plan intended to correct GR (8)

Moreover, diabetic patient with persistently elevated blood glucose and glycosylated hemoglobin levels considered a modifiable risk factor for GR. Clinicians must inform the patient of the necessity of a controlled