



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية  
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرو فيلم

# بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



**MONA MAGHRABY**



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية  
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



# شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



**MONA MAGHRABY**



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية  
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

# جامعة عين شمس

## التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

### قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها  
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



### يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



**MONA MAGHRABY**



# **Comparison of Propofol versus Midazolam Infusion for Conscious Sedation during Spinal Anaesthesia in Patients Undergoing Inguinal Hernia Repair**

**Thesis**

**For Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree  
in Anaesthesia**

**By**

**Ahmed Yasser Mohammed Hussein**

*M.B.B.Ch., Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University*

**Under supervision of**

**Prof. Dr. Galal Adel Abd El-Rahim El Kady**

*Professor of Anaesthesia, ICU & Pain Management  
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University*

**Dr. Mayar Hassan El-Sersi**

*Assistant Professor of Anaesthesia, ICU & Pain Management  
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University*

**Dr. Amr Ahmed Kassem**

*Lecturer of Anaesthesia, ICU & Pain Management  
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University*

*Faculty of Medicine  
Ain Shams University*

2021



بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قالوا

لسبحانك لا علم لنا  
إلا ما علمتنا إنك أنت  
العليم العظيم

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية: ٢٢

# Acknowledgment

First and foremost, I would like to praise and thank **God**, the almighty, who has granted countless blessing, knowledge, and opportunity to the writer, so that I have been finally able to accomplish the thesis.

I'd like to express my respectful thanks and profound gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Galal Adel Abd ElRahim El Kady**, Professor of Anaesthesia, ICU and Pain management – Faculty of medicine – Ain shams University for his keen guidance, kind supervision, valuable advice and continuous encouragement, which made the completion of this work possible.

I'm also delighted to express my deepest gratitude and thanks to **Dr. Mayar Hassan el Sersi**, Assistant professor of Anaesthesia, ICU and Pain management, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for her kind care, constant help and great assistance throughout my thesis.

I'm deeply thankful to **Dr. Amr Ahmed Kassem**, Lecturer of Anaesthesia, ICU and Pain management Faculty of medicine, Ain Shams university, for his great help, active participation and guidance.

Finally, my deep and sincere gratitude to my family for their continuous and unparalleled love, help and support. I am forever indebted to my parents **Dr. Yasser Hussein**, may his soul rest in Peace and **Hayat Mostafa** my mother may God bless her with good health and long life for giving me the opportunities and experiences that have made me who I am. They selflessly encouraged me to explore new directions in life and seek my own destiny. This journey would not have been possible if not for them, and I dedicate this milestone to them.

*Ahmed Yasser Mohammed*

# *List of Contents*

Title	Page No.
List of Tables .....	i
List of Figures.....	i
List of Abbreviations .....	iv
Introduction.....	1
Aim of the Work.....	3
Review of Literature	
Sedation .....	4
Conscious Sedative Drugs .....	15
Anatomy of Inguinal Region .....	58
Patients and Methods.....	73
Results.....	77
Discussion.....	90
Summary.....	95
Conclusion .....	97
Recommendations.....	98
References.....	99
Arabic Summary .....	---

## *List of Tables*

Table No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Table (1):</b>	Definition of levels of sedation/general anesthesia.....	6
<b>Table (2):</b>	The Ramsay score of sedation.....	7
<b>Table (3):</b>	Differences between conscious sedation versus deep sedation techniques .....	10
<b>Table (4):</b>	Classes, names and doses of agents commonly used in conscious sedation.....	16
<b>Table (5):</b>	Ramsay sedation scale.....	20
<b>Table (6):</b>	The Observer's Assessment of Alertness / Sedation scoring system (OAA/S) .....	22
<b>Table (7):</b>	Distribution of the studied cases according to Age, Sex, Weight, Duration of surgery(min), HTN and DM .....	77
<b>Table (8):</b>	Comparison between Propofol (no. =25) and Midazolam (no. =25) regarding ASA and COPD .....	79
<b>Table (9):</b>	Comparison between Propofol (no. =25) and Midazolam (no. =25) regarding Mean arterial pressure (mmHg), O <sub>2</sub> saturation, Heart rate (beat/min) and Respiratory rate .....	80
<b>Table (10):</b>	Comparison between Propofol (no. =25) and Midazolam (no. =25) regarding Time to targeted sedation (min), Recovery time and Patient satisfaction.....	83
<b>Table (11):</b>	Comparison between Propofol (no. =25) and Midazolam (no. =25) regarding Complications post-operative .....	86
<b>Table (12):</b>	Comparison between Propofol (no. =25) and Midazolam (no. =25) regarding Mean time to achieve sedation 4 and Mean time to achieve sedation 5.....	88



## *List of Figures*

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Figure (1):</b>	Layers of anterior abdominal wall at inguinal region .....	59
<b>Figure (2):</b>	Layers of anterior abdominal wall .....	61
<b>Figure (3):</b>	Inguinal canal and spermatic cord .....	67
<b>Figure (4):</b>	Boundaries of the inguinal canal .....	68
<b>Figure (5):</b>	Inguinal canal and spermatic cord - Schematic sagittal section of inguinal canal.....	71
<b>Figure (6):</b>	Distribution of the studied cases according to HTN.....	78
<b>Figure (7):</b>	Distribution of the studied cases according to DM.....	78
<b>Figure (8):</b>	Shows there is no significant difference between (Propofol and Midazolam) regarding ASA .....	79
<b>Figure (9):</b>	Shows there is no significant difference between (Propofol and Midazolam) regarding Mean arterial pressure (mmHg) .....	81
<b>Figure (10):</b>	Shows there is no significant difference between (Propofol and Midazolam) regarding O2 saturation.....	81
<b>Figure (11):</b>	Shows there is no significant difference between (Propofol and Midazolam) regarding Heart rate (beat/min).....	82
<b>Figure (12):</b>	Shows the difference between (Propofol and Midazolam) regarding Respiratory rate .....	82
<b>Figure (13):</b>	Shows the difference between (Propofol and Midazolam) regarding Time to targeted sedation (min).....	84
<b>Figure (14):</b>	Shows the difference between (Propofol and Midazolam) regarding Recovery time .....	84
<b>Figure (15):</b>	Shows there is no significant difference between (Propofol and Midazolam) regarding Patient satisfaction .....	85
<b>Figure (16):</b>	Distribution of the studied cases according to Complications post-operative .....	87

## *List of Figures (cont...)*

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Figure (17):</b>	Shows the difference between (Propofol and Midazolam) regarding Mean time to achieve sedation 4 .....	89
<b>Figure (18):</b>	Shows the difference between (Propofol and Midazolam) regarding Mean time to achieve sedation 5 .....	89

## *List of Abbreviations*

<b>Abb.</b>	<b>Full term</b>
<i>ACTH</i> .....	<i>Adrenocorticotropic hormone</i>
<i>ASA</i> .....	<i>American Society of Anesthesiologists</i>
<i>ASA</i> .....	<i>Anesthesiologists</i>
<i>CBF</i> .....	<i>Cerebral blood flow</i>
<i>CNS</i> .....	<i>Central nervous system</i>
<i>CPP</i> .....	<i>Cerebral perfusion pressure</i>
<i>ECG</i> .....	<i>Electrocardiographic</i>
<i>EEG</i> .....	<i>Electroencephalogram</i>
<i>FDA</i> .....	<i>Food and Drug Administration</i>
<i>GABA</i> .....	<i>Gamma-aminobutyric acid</i>
<i>ICP</i> .....	<i>Intracranial pressure</i>
<i>ICU</i> .....	<i>Intensive care unit</i>
<i>IV</i> .....	<i>Intravenous</i>
<i>MAC</i> .....	<i>Monitored anesthesia care</i>
<i>MAC</i> .....	<i>Monitored anesthesia care</i>
<i>NMDA</i> .....	<i>N-methyl-d-aspartate</i>
<i>OAA/S</i> .....	<i>Observer's assessment of alertness/sedation</i>
<i>PACU</i> .....	<i>Postanesthesia care unit</i>
<i>PONV</i> .....	<i>Post-operative nausea and vomiting</i>

# INTRODUCTION

**T**he operating room is an anxiety provoking environment. Supplemental sedation with an intravenous agent is often required to allay fear and anxiety in patients subjected to spinal anaesthesia.

Sedation is a valuable tool to make surgery under regional anaesthesia convenient for the patient, the anaesthetist and the surgeon. Conscious sedation is a minimally depressed level of consciousness that retains the patient's ability to maintain his or her airway independently and continuously and to respond appropriately to physical stimulation and verbal commands, produced by pharmacologic or non-pharmacologic methods alone or in combination (*American society of Anaesthesiologists Task force on sedation and Analgesia by non –anaesthesiologists, 2002*).

With conscious sedation only some of the centers in the medullary reticular formation and thalamus are depressed in a dose dependent manner. Thus this level of sedation additionally provides the benefit of preservation of protective airway reflexes, especially in monitored anaesthesia care (*Drummond, 2000*).

Numerous agents have been used as sedative adjuvants to spinal anaesthesia with their own advantages and disadvantages over each other. Midazolam, a short acting water soluble benzodiazepine has a fast onset and short recovery time.

Because of which it is one of the most widely used sedative agent in spinal anaesthesia. The pharmacokinetic properties of propofol particularly its rapid onset, redistribution metabolism, high clearance, favourable recovery profile and low incidence of side-effects. makes it suitable agent for achieving conscious sedation (**Robert, 2006**).

Intravenous bolus dose technique has been shown to be associated with peaks and troughs in plasma concentrations producing significant side effects and delayed recovery. Continuous infusions have been proved to produce lesser side effects, faster recovery, easy control over desired depth of sedation and should the regional block prove to be ineffective, easy conversion to general anaesthesia The objective of the study is to compare sedative, amnesic, hemodynamic and recovery characteristics of propofol and midazolam given in continuous infusion for conscious sedation in patients undergoing surgery under spinal anaesthesia (**Bhosale et al., 2015**).

## **AIM OF THE WORK**

**T**his study will be designed to compare Propofol and Midazolam with regard to their suitability as sedative agents during spinal anaesthesia in terms of onset & recovery from sedation, haemodynamic stability, dosage and side effects of both the drugs.



***Chapter 1*****SEDATION****History of sedation:**

**D**entistry was one of the frontrunners in utilising sedation – diazepam with local anesthetic in the early 1970s. However, with the advent of faster-onset, shorter-acting drugs, polypharmacy became a potential problem. Their aim was to strive for balance between minimising fear and anxiety and maximising safety (*Perrie et al., 2019*).

In 1985 the American Food and Drug Administration approved midazolam and it became available for use in 1986. Its advantages over diazepam are water solubility, short duration of action, potent amnesia, minimal venous irritation and fast onset (*Mundiyanapurath et al., 2015*).

Since the approval of midazolam in the USA in *American Society of Anesthesiologists (1986)*, there has been a 20-year evolution of a practice utilised by almost all medical disciplines, from general practitioners doing simple in-room procedures to interventional radiologists performing complex procedures. While practitioners have embraced the versatility provided by midazolam, they have also recognized the risk of losing airway control/hypoxia/hypotension.

Within a year of its approval, the American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) published its first standards for basic