



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

جامعة عين شمس التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغييرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



MONA MAGHRABY



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

EFFECTIVE WIDTH OF REINFORCED CONCRETE SLAB IN MONO- SYMMETRIC STEEL-CONCRETE COMPOSITE BEAM

A Thesis

Submitted in partial fulfillment for the
requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

In

Civil Engineering (Structures)

BY

AHMED KAMAR ELDAWLA ELSHINAWY

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Ayman Hussein Hosny Khalil

Professor of R.C. Structures, Structural Engineering Department,
Faculty of Engineering - Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Amr Hussein Zaher

Professor of R.C. Structures, Structural Engineering Department,
Faculty of Engineering - Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Amr Abdel Salam Shaat

Professor of Steel Structures, Structural Engineering Department,
Faculty of Engineering - Ain Shams University

Dr. Mahmoud Ramzy Lasheen

Institute of concrete structures
Housing & Building National Research Center

Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University
Cairo - 2021

STATEMENT

This thesis is submitted to Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, Cairo Egypt, for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Structural Engineering.

The work included in this thesis was carried out by the author in the Department of Structural Engineering, Ain Shams University, from October 2017 to March 2021.

No part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree or qualification at any other University or Institute.

Date : 31/ 8 / 2021

Name : AHMED KAMAR ELDAWLA ELSHINAWY

Signature :



**Ain Shams University
Faculty of Engineering**

Approval Sheet

Thesis : Doctor of Philosophy in Civil Engineering (Structural)
Student Name : Ahmed Kamar ELdawla ELshinawy
Thesis Title : Effective Width of Reinforced Concrete Slab in Mono- Symmetric
Steel-Concrete Composite Beam

Examiners Committee:

Signature

Prof. Dr. Nabil Ahmed Fouad

Professor of Building Physics and Rehabilitation
Institute of Building Physics, Hannover University, Germany.

.....

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Abdel Kader El-Aghoury

Professor of Steel Structures
Structural Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University.

.....

Prof. Dr. Ayman Hussein Hosny Khalil

Professor of Reinforced Concrete Structures
Structural Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University.
(Supervisor)

.....

Prof. Dr. Amr Hussein Abd El Azim Zaher

Professor of Reinforced Concrete Structures
Structural Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University
(Supervisor)

.....

Date: 31 / 8 / 2021

INFORMATION ABOUT THE RESEARCHER

Name: Ahmed Kamar Eldawla Elshinawy

Date of Birth: January 26th, 1985

Place of Birth: AL Gharbia, Egypt

Last Academic Degree: Master of Science In Civil Engineering (Structures), Ain Shams University, 2015, “ Effect of Slab Thickness and Stirrup Shape on Shear Strength of Light Weight Concrete T – Beams ”

Present Job: Assistant Lecturer in Building and Construction Department, Faculty of Engineering, October 6 University

Email: *ahmed.kamar.eng@o6u.edu.eg*

Signature:

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Above all, I would thank Allah for all the blessings that He has given me, including the opportunity to finish this study.

I would like to express my sincerest appreciation to my advisors, Prof. Dr. Amr Hussein Zaher and Prof. Dr. Ayman Hussein Hosny Khalil and Dr. Amr Abdelsalam Shaat and Dr. Mahmoud Ramzy Lasheen for their guidance, continuous, valuable guidance, and the investments, giving me the opportunity to be involved in such interesting research.

I want to thank the Concrete Research Laboratory staff, Ain Shames University, for supporting me during my research. I want to thank those who helped and improved the means of casting and testing the samples. I am also grateful to those unmentioned others for contributing in countless ways to my writing and being interested in my research. To all of those contributors, I am most grateful.

Finally, I'd like to dedicate this work to my Father, Mother, Brothers, my Sister, my Uncle Adel, and my family for their continuous encouragement, support, and fruitful care.

Special thanks are given to my wife for her encouragement, support, and patience without which I wouldn't have been able to continue my research. and an exceptional thanks for my lovely sons Alia, Ali, and Talia.

Ahmed Kamar Eldawla Elshinawy

ABSTRACT

This research is carried out to study the behaviour of the reinforced concrete slab in the mono-symmetric steel composite beams under the influence of concentrated loads. The research, further, investigates the effect of using different shapes of shear connectors (i.e. channel or angle shear connectors) on the behaviour of steel-concrete composites (SCC) beams and on the slip value between the concrete slab and the steel beam. In addition, the effective slab width is studied against the slenderness ratio (L/r_s) of the steel beam, the thickness of the concrete slab, the existence of the upper steel reinforcement and the shape of the shear connector placed at the top flange of the steel beam. In this respect, ten steel-concrete composites (SCC) beams were tested in four-point bending to study the effect of the steel section size, the thickness of the concrete slab, the existence of the upper steel reinforcement mesh and the shapes of the shear connector on the behaviour of the SCC beams. Channel and angle sections are used in this study to provide the shear connection between the steel and the concrete slab section. The findings of this study have shown that the use of an angle shear connector reduces the ultimate load capacity of SCC beams compared to the beams provided with a channel shear connector. In addition, it is found that beams provided with channel shear connectors are more likely to be ductile than beams provided with angle shear connectors. It is also observed that the presence of the upper transverse steel reinforcement in the concrete slab increases the carrying load capacity of such beams. In addition, the presence of the upper longitudinal steel reinforcement in the concrete slab decreases the deflection values of such beams. Also, the presence of the upper steel reinforcement mesh decreases the slip value between the concrete slab and the steel beam. It is also observed that the ultimate load capacity of such beams increases with increasing the slab thickness. A nonlinear finite element model was developed using ABAQUS to the validation of the results of the experimental results against the results of the finite element model. Moreover, the finite element model was used to calculate the effective width of the concrete slab and comparing the results with the calculation of the effective width in the universal codes. Also, the effective concrete slab width for each SCC beam was compared to the equations found in the literature. This study showed that the results of the finite element model show a good agreement with the experimental results. Therefore, the finite element models can be used with confidence to extend the experimental program and investigate a wider range of parameters to develop design guidelines.

Keywords:

Mono-symmetric, Composite beam, Effective slab width, Angle shear connectors, Channel shear connectors, Finite element, Slip, Strain distribution, Reinforcement ratio.

Contents

CHAPTER (1): INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Research Scope and Objectives.....	2
1.3 Thesis Outline	3
CHAPTER (2): LITERATURE REVIEW.....	6
2.1 Introduction	6
2.2 Historical background to steel-concrete composite structures	6
2.3 Basis of design - composite beams	8
2.3.1 Flexural strength of composite beams	8
2.3.2 Effective concrete slab width for steel-concrete composite beams	10
2.3.3 Shear transfer	12
2.3.4 Degree of composite action	19
2.4 Deflections of composite beams	23
2.5 Ductility of steel-concrete composite elements	23
2.6 The upper steel reinforcement mesh	24
2.7 Mono-symmetric steel section	25
2.8 Need for the current research	26
CHAPTER (3): EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM.....	34
3.1 Introduction	34
3.2 Objective of the experimental program.....	34
3.3 Details of test specimens	35
3.4 Characteristics of used materials.....	37
3.4.1 Concrete	37
3.4.2 Mono-symmetrical steel sections.....	37
3.4.3 Steel reinforcement	38
3.5 Preparation of specimens	38
3.6 Casting and curing.....	38
3.7 Instrumentation.....	39
3.8 Loading of specimens.....	40
3.9 Test procedure	40
CHAPTER (4): EXPERIMENTAL TEST RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	61
4.1 Introduction	61
4.2 Tested beams observations	61
4.2.1 Flexural behaviour	63

4.2.2	Modes of failure	72
4.3	Effect of the slenderness ratio of the steel beam	80
CHAPTER (5): CALCULATION OF EFFECTIVE CONCRETE SLAB WIDTH		113
5.1	Introduction	113
5.2	Calculation of the effective width of the concrete slab	113
5.2.1	Effect of using different size of steel section	115
5.2.2	Effect of the upper steel reinforcement mesh.	116
5.2.3	Effect of slab thickness.	116
5.2.4	Effect of using different shapes of shear connectors	116
CHAPTER (6): FINITE ELEMENT MODELING		120
6.1	Introduction	120
6.2	Finite element model	121
6.3	Material model	122
6.3.1	Steel modeling	122
6.3.2	Concrete modeling	122
6.4	Interaction models	124
6.4.1	Contact between concrete and steel reinforcement.....	124
6.4.2	Contact between concrete slab and shear connector.....	125
6.4.3	Contact between concrete slab and steel beam	125
6.4.4	Contact in the zone of welding	125
6.5	Applied loads.....	125
6.6	Boundary conditions	126
6.7	Finite element model validation.....	126
6.7.1	Introduction	126
6.7.2	Beam strength	126
6.7.3	Behaviour of load-deflection	127
6.7.4	The behaviour of steel strain (ϵ_{s3})	127
6.7.5	The behaviour of concrete strain (ϵ_{c1}).....	128
6.7.6	The behaviour of Interface slip	128
6.7.7	Failure modes.....	128
6.7.8	Model validity	129
6.8	Calculation of the effective width of the concrete slab.....	129
6.8.1	Effect of using different shapes of shear connectors	130
6.8.2	Effect of using different size of steel section.....	131
6.8.3	Effect of the upper steel reinforcement mesh.	131
6.8.4	Effect of slab thickness.	131

6.9	Comparing between the finite element model and the universal codes.	132
CHAPTER (7): PARAMETRIC STUDY & DESIGN GUIDELINES		167
7.1	Introduction	167
7.2	Parametric Study Program	167
7.2.1	Details of Tested Beams	168
7.2.2	Finite Element Model	168
7.3	Results of Parametric Study	169
7.3.1	Effect of the upper steel reinforcement mesh on the ultimate load	169
7.3.2	Effect of the upper steel reinforcement mesh on mid-span deflection value... ..	170
7.3.3	Effect of the upper steel reinforcement mesh on slip value.....	171
7.3.4	Effect of the upper steel reinforcement mesh on the effective concrete slab width.	173
7.4	Design guidelines	174
7.4.1	The ultimate load according to the percentage of top steel mesh	174
7.4.2	Deflection according to the percentage of top steel mesh	175
7.4.3	Slip value according to the percentage of top steel mesh	176
7.4.4	Effective width according to the percentage of top steel mesh	176
CHAPTER (8): SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....		195
8.1	Summary	195
8.2	Conclusions	196
8.3	Fields of future research work.....	200
REFERENCES.....		201

List of Figures

Figure.2. 1 The several forms for the composite beams	27
Figure.2. 2 Slab with formed steel deck	27
Figure.2. 3 The possible distribution of stress on composite beam	28
Figure.2. 4 The effective width of the slab	28
Figure.2. 5 Mechanical shear connectors (Oehlers and Bradford, 1995)	29
Figure.2. 6 Perfobond ribs and oscilating perfobond strip shear connector (Muhit,2015).....	29
Figure.2. 7 The total horizontal force on shear connector according to the plastic neutral axis (PNA) in composite beam.....	29
Figure.2. 8 Alternative dispositions for the push-out test (EN 1994-1-1).	30
Figure.2. 9 Channel rigid shear connector (Eurocode 4; CEN 2004).	30
Figure.2. 10 Failure mode, Kiyomiya et al. (1986)	31
Figure.2. 11 Direct Pull-out Test, Ueda and Chin (1989).....	31
Figure.2. 12 Direct Pull-out Test, Chuah et al. (1991).	31
Figure.2. 13 Degree of interaction between steel and concrete in a composite beam	32
Figure.2. 14 Composite Steel Beam-Concrete Slab Interaction	32
Figure.2. 15 Difference between composite and non-composite action.....	33
Figure.2. 16 Forces acting on a composite beam under pure bending (Viest et al., 1997).....	33
Figure.3. 1 Details of test specimens.	45
Figure.3. 2 Dimensions of test specimens.	46
Figure.3. 3 Steel cross sections used in the experimental investigation.	47
Figure.3. 4 Cutting and assembling of test specimens.....	48
Figure.3. 5 Welding the angle shear connectors on the upper steel flange.....	48
Figure.3. 6 Welding the channel shear connectors on the upper steel flange.....	49
Figure.3. 7 Painting test specimens.....	49
Figure.3. 8 Preparing of steel test specimens.....	50

Figure.3. 9 Preparing of wooden form of the specimens.....	50
Figure.3. 10 Placing of steel mesh in wooden form.	51
Figure.3. 11 Placing of electrical strain gauges in the transverse steel of the upper steel reinforcement mesh.....	51
Figure.3. 13 Request the ready mix concrete.....	52
Figure.3. 12 Casting the concrete in the forms.	52
Figure.3. 14 Compacting the concrete using a vibrator.	53
Figure.3. 15 Smoothing the final concrete surface.	53
Figure.3. 16 Preparation of concrete cubes and cylinders.	54
Figure.3. 17 Compressive strength test.....	54
Figure.3. 18 Preparation sets of plates samples before and After the tension test.....	55
Figure.3. 19 The tensile strength test.	55
Figure.3. 20 Prepare the samples for laying loads.	56
Figure.3. 21 LVDTs arrangement on the specimens.	57
Figure.3. 22 Position of typically used strain gauges.	58
Figure.3. 23 Typical support conditions for the experimented beams.....	59
Figure.3. 24 Typical support conditions for the rigid steel beam.	59
Figure.3. 25 Test Setup.	60
Figure 4. 1 Stress-strain distribution along beam depth.	85
Figure 4. 2 Load versus strains at the steel lower flange (ϵ_s3) of specimens B1 and B2.	85
Figure 4. 3 Load versus strains at the steel lower flange (ϵ_s3) of specimens B7 and B8.	86
Figure 4. 4 Load versus strains at the steel lower flange (ϵ_s3) of specimens B9 and B10.	86
Figure 4. 5 Load versus strains at the steel lower flange (ϵ_s3) of specimens B1 and B3.	87
Figure 4. 6 Load versus strains at the steel lower flange (ϵ_s3) of specimens B2 and B4.	87
Figure 4. 7 Load versus strains at the steel lower flange (ϵ_s3) of specimens B3 and B5.	88
Figure 4. 8 Load versus strains at the steel lower flange (ϵ_s3) of specimens B4 and B6.	88
Figure 4. 9 Load- deflection curves of specimens B1 and B2.....	89

Figure 4. 10 Load- deflection curves of specimens B7 and B8.	89
Figure 4. 11 Load- deflection curves of specimens B9 and B10.	90
Figure 4. 12 Load- deflection curves of specimens B1 and B3.	90
Figure 4. 13 Load- deflection curves of specimens B2 and B4.	91
Figure 4. 14 Load- deflection curves of specimens B3 and B5.	91
Figure 4. 15 Load- deflection curves of specimens B4 and B6.	92
Figure 4. 16 Load versus upper and lower strains (ϵ_c1 , ϵ_c5) of concrete slab for beams B1 and B2.	92
Figure 4. 17 Load versus upper and lower strains (ϵ_c1 , ϵ_c5) of concrete slab for beams B7 and B8.	93
Figure 4. 18 Load versus upper and lower strains (ϵ_c1 , ϵ_c5) of concrete slab for beams B9 and B10.	93
Figure 4. 19 Load versus upper and lower strains (ϵ_c1 , ϵ_c5) of concrete slab for beams B1 and B3.	94
Figure 4. 20 Load versus upper and lower strains (ϵ_c1 , ϵ_c5) of concrete slab for beams B2 and B4.	94
Figure 4. 21 Load versus upper and lower strains (ϵ_c1 , ϵ_c5) of concrete slab for beams B3 and B5.	95
Figure 4. 22 Load versus upper and lower strains (ϵ_c1 , ϵ_c5) of concrete slab for beams B4 and B6.	95
Figure 4. 23 Load versus slip value of concrete slab for beams B1 and B2.	96
Figure 4. 24 Load versus slip value of concrete slab for beams B7 and B8.	96
Figure 4. 25 Load versus slip value of concrete slab for beams B9 and B10.	97
Figure 4. 26 Load versus slip value of concrete slab for beams B1 and B3.	97
Figure 4. 27 Load versus slip value of concrete slab for beams B2 and B4.	98
Figure 4. 28 Load versus slip value of concrete slab for beams B3 and B5.	98
Figure 4. 29 Load versus slip value of concrete slab for beams B4 and B6.	99
Figure 4. 30 Load versus neutral axis depth in concrete slab for beams B1 and B2.	99
Figure 4. 31 Load versus neutral axis depth in concrete slab for beams B7 and B8.	100
Figure 4. 32 Load versus neutral axis depth in concrete slab for beams B9 and B10.	100