

شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلو

# بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم





HANAA ALY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرونيله



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



HANAA ALY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكترونى والميكروفيلم

## جامعة عين شمس التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها على هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



HANAA ALY





# Dickkopf-1(DKK1): A Diagnostic Marker for Hepatocellular Carcinoma (HCC) On Top Of Chronic Hepatitis C Virus Related Diseases

#### **Thesis**

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree in INTERNAL MEDICINE

#### By Mina Helal Samy

M.B.B.Ch, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University. Resident of Gastroenterology at El Sahel Teaching Hospital.

#### **Supervised By**

#### **Prof.Dr/Zainab Ahmed Ali-Eldin**

Professor of Internal Medicine, Hepatology and Gastroenterology -Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams Universit

#### **Prof.Dr/ Hossam Samir Al Baz**

Assistant Professor of Internal Medicine, Hepatology and Gastroenterology - Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

#### Dr/ Gina Gamal Naguib

Lecturer of Internal Medicine, Hepatology and Gastroenterology -Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams Univerity. 2021

## Acknowledgment

First and foremost, I feel always indebted to All, the Most Kind and Most Merciful.

I'd like to express my respectful thanks and profound gratitude to Prof. Dr/ Zainab Ahmed Ali-Eldin, Professor of Internal Medicine, Hepatology and Gastroenterology - Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University, for her keen guidance, kind supervision, valuable advice and continuous encouragement, which made possible the completion of this work.

I am also delighted to express my deepest gratitude and thanks to Prof. Dr/ Hossam Samir Al Baz, Assistant Professor of Internal Medicine, Hepatology and Gastroenterology - Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University, for his kind care, continuous supervision, valuable instructions, constant help and great assistance throughout this work.

I am deeply thankful to **Dr/ Gina Gamal Naguib**, Lecturer of Internal Medicine, Hepatology and Gastroenterology - Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University, for great help, active participation and guidance.

I would like to express my hearty thanks to all my family for their support till this work was completed.

Last but not least my sincere thanks and appreciation to all patients participated in this study.

#### Contents

| Items                                      | Page |
|--|------|
| List of Tables                             | I    |
| List of Figures                            | II   |
| List of Abbreviation                       | III  |
| Introduction                               | 1    |
| Aim of the Work                            | 5    |
| Review of Literature                       | 6    |
| Hepatitis c and liver cirrhosis            | 6    |
| <ul><li>Hepatocellular carcinoma</li></ul> | 51   |
| Dickkopf-1(DKK1)                           | 100  |
| Statistical Analysis                       | 100  |
| Patients and Methods                       | 104  |
| Results                                    | 111  |
| Discussion                                 | 131  |
| Summary                                    | 141  |
| Conclusions                                | 146  |
| Recommendation                             | 147  |
| References                                 | 148  |
| Arabic Summary                             | ١    |

## List of Tables

| Table No.     | Title  | Page |
|---------------|--|------|
| Table (1)     | American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) staging system for Hepato cellular carcinoma (HCC).  | 81   |
| Table (2)     | Commonly used staging systems.   | 84   |
| Table (3)     | Comparison between Control group (No. = 30), Liver Cirrhosis group (No. = 30) and HCC Group (No. = 30) Regarding Age and Sex.  | 108  |
| Table (4)     | Comparison between laboratory findings of Control group (No. = 30), Liver Cirrhosis group (No. = 30) and HCC Group (No. = 30) Regarding HB, WBCs, Platelets, ALT, AST, Creat, Urea, Albumin, INR, Bilirubin and AFP. | 110  |
| Table (5)     | Comparison between Liver Cirrhosis group (No. = 30) and HCC Group (No. = 30) Regarding HB, WBCs, Platelets, ALT, AST, Creat, Urea, Albumin, INR, Bilirubin and AFP.  | 111  |
| Table (6)     | Distribution of the studied cases according to Size, Number and PV thrombosis in HCC group.  | 112  |
| Table (7)     | Comparison between Liver Cirrhosis group (No. = 30) and HCC Group (No. = 30) Regarding Child Score.  | 115  |
| Table (8)     | Comparison between Control group (No. = 30), Liver Cirrhosis group (No. = 30) and HCC Group (No. = 30) Regarding DKKA1(pg/ml).   | 116  |
| Table (9)     | Comparison between DKKA1(Pg/mL) and the different HCC criteria(Size-Number-Associated PV thrombosis)   | 117  |
| Table<br>(10) | Comparison between DKKA1(Pg/mL) and Child Score in patient group.  | 118  |

| Table No.     | Title  | Page |
|---------------|--|------|
| Table<br>(11) | Correlation between DKKA1 (pg/ml) With Age, HB, WBCs, Platelets, ALT, AST, Creat, Urea, Albumin, INR, Bilirubin and AFP in patient groups( Liver Cirrhosis group and HCC group). | 119  |
| Table<br>(12) | Correlation between DKKA1 (pg/ml) With Age, HB, WBCs, Platelets, ALT, AST, Creat, Urea, Albumin, INR, Bilirubin and AFP in Liver Cirrhosis group.                                | 120  |
| Table<br>(13) | Correlation between DKKA1 (pg/ml) With Age, HB, WBCs, Platelets, ALT, AST, Creat, Urea, Albumin, INR, Bilirubin and AFP in HCC group.  | 121  |
| Table (14)    | ROC curve (Control Group and Liver Cirrhosis group) regarding DKKA1 (pg/ml).   | 123  |
| Table (15)    | ROC curve (Control Group and HCC group) regarding DKKA1 (pg/ml).   | 124  |
| Table<br>(16) | ROC curve (Liver Cirrhosis group and HCC group) regarding DKKA1 (pg/ml).   | 125  |

### List of Figures

| Fig. No. | Title  | Page |
|----------|--|------|
| Fig.(1)  | Liver cirrhosis.   | 14   |
| Fig.(2)  | Co- Factors for developing liver cirrhosis.  | 17   |
| Fig.(3)  | Fibro genesis of Liver.  | 22   |
| Fig.(4)  | Pathophysiology of liver Fbrosis.  | 23   |
| Fig.(5)  | Child-Pugh score.  | 31   |
| Fig.(6)  | Complications of liver cirrhosis.  | 32   |
| Fig.(7)  | Pathophysiology of portal hypertension.  | 35   |
| Fig.(8)  | Porto systemic collateral pathways and direction of blood flow in portal hypertension.       | 40   |
| Fig.(9)  | Management of Variceal Bleeding.   | 41   |
| Fig.(10) | Mechanisms of Hepatic Encephalopathy.  | 43   |
| Fig.(11) | Management of Hepatic Encephalopathy.  | 44   |
| Fig.(12) | Hepatorenal Syndrome.  | 47   |
| Fig.(13) | Current Criteria of Hepatorenal Syndrome.  | 48   |
| Fig.(14) | Surveillance of HCC (AASLD 2018)   | 64   |
| Fig.(15) | Stagging of HCC (AASLD 2018)   | 65   |
| Fig.(16) | Algorithm for investigation of small nodules found on screening in patients at risk for HCC. | 66   |
| Fig.(17) | Axial contrast enhanced CT scan showing multiple enhancing masses in the arterial phase.     | 78   |
| Fig.(18) | Definitely HCC.  | 79   |
| Fig.(19) | Updated BCLC Staging system and Treatment Strategy.  | 83   |
| Fig.(20) | An algorithm for management of recurrent HCC.  | 97   |
| Fig.(21) | Difference between 3 groups regarding Age.   | 108  |
| Fig.(22) | Difference between 3 groups regarding Sex.   | 109  |
| Fig.(23) | Distribution of the studied cases according to Size of focal lesions.                        | 113  |
| Fig.(24) | Distribution of the studied cases according to Number of focal lesions.                      | 113  |
| Fig.(25) | Distribution of the studied cases according to PV thrombosis.                                | 114  |

| Fig. No. | Tîtle  | Page |
|----------|--|------|
| Fig.(26) | Difference between two groups regarding Child Score.     | 115  |
| Fig.(27) | Difference between 3 groups regarding DKKA1 (pg/ml).     | 116  |
| Fig.(28) | The relation between DKK-1 and the size of Focal lesion. | 118  |
| Fig.(29) | Correlation between DKKA1 (pg/ml) and WBCs.              | 122  |
| Fig.(30) | Correlation between DKKA1 (pg/ml) and AST.               | 122  |

## List of Abbreviations

| AASLD | American Association for the Study of Liver Disease  |
|-------|--|
| AFP   | Alpha fetoprotein                                    |
| AJCC  | American Joint Committee on Cancer                   |
| APASL | Asian Pacific Association for the Study of the Liver |
| BCAAs | Branched chain amino acids                           |
| BCLC  | Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer                        |
| CLD   | Chronic liver diseases                               |
| CLIP  | Cancer of the Liver Italian Program                  |
| CNNA  | Culture-negative neutrocytic ascites                 |
| CPT   | Child-Pugh-Turcotte                                  |
| СТ    | Computed tomography                                  |
| DALYs | Disability Adjusted Life Years                       |
| DCP   | Des-gamma carboxy prothrombin                        |
| DILI  | Drug-induced liver injury                            |
| DKK1  | Dickkopf-1   |
| EASL  | European Association for the Study of the Liver      |
| EGFR  | Epidermal growth factor receptor                     |
| ESMO  | European Society for Medical Oncology                |
| EVL   | Endoscopic variceal ligation                         |
| FGF   | Fibroblast growth factor                             |
| GGT   | Gamma-glutamyl transferase                           |
| GP73  | Golgi protein 73                                     |
| GPC3  | Glypican-3   |
| HAV   | Hepatitis A Virus                                    |
| HBeAg | Hepatitis B virus e antigen                          |
| HBsAg | Hepatitis B virus surface antigen                    |

| 1        |  |
|----------|--|
| HBV      | Hepatitis B virus                                |
| HCC      | Hepato cellular carcinoma                        |
| HCV      | Hepatitis C virus                                |
| HE       | Hepatic encephalopathy                           |
| HGF/SF   | Hepatocyte growth factor/scatter factor          |
| HR       | Hepatic resection                                |
| HSP70    | Heat-shock protein 70                            |
| HVR1     | Hyper variable region 1                          |
| IBM SPSS | Statistical Package for Social Science           |
| IHVR     | Intra hepatic vascular resistance                |
| LC       | Liver cirrhosis                                  |
| LI-RADS  | Liver Imaging Reporting and Data System          |
| MELD     | Model of End-Stage Liver Disease                 |
| MRI      | Magnetic Resonance Imaging                       |
| MRP-1    | Musashi RNA-binding protein 1                    |
| MWA      | Microwave ablation                               |
| NAFLD    | Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease                 |
| NASH     | Non-alcoholic steatohepatitis                    |
| NCCN     | National Comprehensive Cancer Network            |
| NS       | Non significant                                  |
| PCR      | Polymerase chain reaction                        |
| qRT-PCR  | Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction |
| RFA      | Radiofrequency ablation                          |
| RT       | Radiation therapy                                |
| S        | Significant                                      |
| SBP      | Spontaneous Bacterial Peritonitis                |
| SCCA     | Squamous cell carcinoma antigen                  |
| SVR      | Sustained virological response                   |

| TACE      | Trans arterial chemo embolization       |
|-----------|---|
| TCF       | T-cell factor                           |
| TGF-Beta1 | Transforming Growth Factor-Beta1        |
| TIMP 1    | Tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-1 |
| TSGF      | Tumor-Specific Growth Factor            |
| US        | Ultrasound                              |
| VEGF      | Vascular endothelial growth factor      |

#### **INTRODUCTION**

LOBOCAN estimates that in 2018, approximately 841,000 new cases of liver cancer and 782,000 related deaths were reported, marking liver cancer as the sixth most commonly diagnosed cancer and the fourth leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide. Hepatocellular carcinoma is the most prevalent liver neoplasm, comprising 75 to 85% of all cases. HCC usually occurs in the setting of cirrhosis resulting from different etiological factors (i.e., chronic alcohol consumption, chronic hepatitis B and C viral infection, and obesity) (Bray et al., 2018)

In Egypt, HCC constitutes a significant public health problem. Where it is responsible for 33.63% and 13.54% of all cancers in males and females respectively. Hepatocellular carcinoma occurs in a number of preexisting conditions that commonly includes hepatitis C and B, alcoholic nonalcoholic cirrhosis. This had been strongly linked to the hepatitis C virus epidemic that affected around 10 - 15% of the Egyptian population during the last 3 decades, and was reported as the highest prevalence of HCV in the world (Elghazaly et al., *2018*)

There has been a remarkable increase in the proportion of hepato cellular carcinoma among chronic liver diseases patients. This rising proportion may be explained by the increasing risk factors as hepatitis C virus infection and hepatitis B virus infection (Kanwal et al., 2011)

diagnosis of HCC could be radiological and/or laboratory. Radiological diagnosis depend s largely on ultrasonography, triphasic computed and dynamic magnetic resonance imaging (Dodd et al., 1992)

Laboratory diagnosis of HCC is done either by measurement of circulating biomarkers or by fine needle cytology (Debruuyne et al., 2010)

The American Association For the Study of Liver Diseases surveillance for individuals guideline recommends cirrhosis are liver ultrasound with or without Alfa Fetoprotein every 6 months, because most of the studies showed a benefit of the combination of US and AFP in improving overall survival. AFP provides a sensitivity of around 60% and a specificity of around 90% (Singal et a., 2014; Gupta et al., 2003)

Because AFP has poor sensitivity for identifying HCC, AASLD recommends against using it alone to screen for HCC in high-risk patients. More data suggested strategies to increase