

شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلو

# بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم





MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلو



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكترونى والميكروفيلم

# جامعة عين شمس التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



MONA MAGHRABY





#### Primary Hinged External Fixator for Complex Fracture Dislocations of the Elbow: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree in Orthopedic Surgery

By

#### Ahmed Medhat Mohamed

M.B.B.Ch., Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Under Supervision of

#### Prof. Dr. Salah Abouseif, MD

Professor of Orthopedic Surgery Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

#### Prof. Dr. Mohamed Alkersh, MD

Associate Professor of Orthopedic Surgery Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University
2021



سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

#### Acknowledgments

First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **Allah** the Most Beneficent and Merciful.

I wish to express my deepest thanks, gratitude and appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Salah Abouseif**, **MD**, Professor of Orthopedic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his meticulous supervision, kind guidance, valuable instructions and generous help.

Special thanks are due to **Prof. Dr.**Mohamed Alkersh, MD, Associate Professor of
Orthopedic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain
Shams University, for his sincere efforts, fruitful
encouragement.

I would like to express my hearty thanks to all my family for their support till this work was completed.

Ahmed Medhat Mohamed

## Tist of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	i
List of Figures	ii
List of Abbreviations	v
Introduction	1
Aim of the Study	2
Review of Literature	4
Patients and Methods	44
Results	49
Discussion	65
Summary	72
Conclusion	74
References	75
Arabic Summary	

## Tist of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table 1:	Included papers	49
Table 2:	Wound infection/pin-tract infection	50
Table 3:	2ry ulnar or humeral shaft fractures	52
Table 4:	Incongruent joint	54
Table 5:	Persistent instability	55
Table 6:	Non-union	56
Table 7:	Heterotrophic calcification	57
Table 8:	Nerve injury	58
Table 9:	QUICK-DASH score	59
Table 10:	MEPS: Mayo Elbow Performance Scor	re60
Table 11:	Range of motion	62
Table 12:	Comparison between our results and Ezequiel E Zaidenberg et al 2020 and Fushan Hou et al 2019 regarding number of patients, ROM (Flexion and extension arc), ROM (pronation and supination arc), heterotrophic calcification, arthritis (after only 1 year), DASH score and MEPS score	

## Tist of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Fig. 1:	Elbow joint articulations	4
Fig. 2:	Bony landmarks of the distal humer	us6
Fig. 3:	Showing ligaments of elbow joint	9
Fig. 4:	(a) Digitally reconstructed radiog that simulates fluoroscopic images, of an axis estimated by one of the s line) and the calculated rotation axis in a 3D reconstructed image, s surgeons' error.	(b) example urgeons (red s (white line) showing the
Fig. 5:	Modified schematic drawing demon flexion-extension "arc of injury," w fracture types to elbow position at the impact	rhich relates ne moment of
Fig. 6:	Modified schematic drawing of the of in dislocation of the elbow	
Fig. 7:	Radiographs of posterior dislocation with fracture of radial head (a) a dislocation of the elbow with fracture head and coronoid, so-called terrible to	nd posterior of the radial
Fig. 8:	Radiographs of trans-olecranor dislocation(a) and posterior olecran dislocation representing the most professional forms of posterior Monteggia type fracture.	on fracture- roximal type
Fig. 9:	Mayo Classification of olecranon frac	tures23
Fig. 10:	Hotchkiss' modification of t classification for radial head and n classification.	eck fracture
Fig. 11:	Modified drawing of O'Driscoll's classocoronoid fracture	

## Tist of Figures cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Fig. 12:	Postoperative anteroposterior (A) and radiographs demonstrating concentric of the ulnohumeral joint in a hinger fixator and lateral ulnar collateral reinsertion using an anchor and rareplacement	reduction d external ligament dial head
Fig. 13:	Treatment algorithm using hinged fixation in unstable elbow fracture dislo	
Fig. 14:	Identification of bony landmarks	39
Fig. 15:	Lateral elbow x-ray view showing the overlap of the capitulum humeri (bla circle), medial trochlea humeri (who circle) as well as the media "trochlea (black solid circle)	ck dashed ite dotted ear cheek"
Fig. 16:	Dissection to the bone where P1 humeral shaft, P2 =central aspect of the humeral shaft and P3= posterior humaral shaft and P3=	the lateral
Fig. 17:	K-wire insertion and modulation ins	
Fig. 18:	PRISMA (Preferred Reporting In Systematic Reviews and Meta-analy diagram for study selection	ysis) flow
Fig. 19:	Wound infection/pin-tract infection studies	
Fig. 20:	Wound infection/pin-tract infection in o	our study 51
Fig. 21:	2ry ulnar or humeral shaft fractures studies	
Fig. 22:	2ry ulnar or humeral shaft fracturestudies	

## Tist of Figures cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Fig. 23:	Incongruent joint in our study	54
Fig. 24:	Persistent instability in our study	
Fig. 25:	Non-union in our study	56
Fig. 26:	Heterotrophic calcification in our study	57
Fig. 27:	Nerve injury in our study	58
Fig. 28:	MEPS: Mayo Elbow Performance Score studies	
Fig. 29:	MEPS: Mayo Elbow Performance Score study	
Fig. 30:	Range of motion in our study	62

### Tist of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
AMCL	Anterior medial collateral ligament
DASH score	Score of disability of arm , shoulder and hand
HEF	Hinged external fixator
LCL	Lateral collateral ligament
LUCL	Lateral ulnar collateral ligament
MCL	Medial collateral ligament
MEPS	Mayo elbow performance score
ORIF	Open reduction and internal fixation
PLRI	Posterolateral rotatory instability
PRUG	Proximal joint of the radius and ulna
RCL	Radial collateral ligament

#### Introduction

Knowledge of the static and dynamic stabilizers of the elbow is essential for the understanding the underlying pathology and choice of treatment strategy. 1

High-energy and fracture dislocations with compromise of the medial and lateral ligamentous complex, the joint capsule, and potentially the tertiary stabilizers (i.e., the flexor pronator, extensor supinator masses, and brachialis) often demonstrate residual instability after osseous and ligamentous stabilization.<sup>2</sup>

The optimal management of a complex elbow injury should result in restoring joint stability and its full range of motion. However, this is often difficult to achieve by surgical means and a marked restriction of movement remains a frequent con- sequence of severe elbow injury.<sup>3</sup>

This systematic review discusses the usage of hinged external fixator of the elbow as a primary method of fixation in complex elbow fracture-dislocations.

The primary therapeutic objectives are to maintain concentric elbow reduction and stability by the spanning effect of the fixator and avoid joint stiffness by allowing early range of motion provided by the rotation around the axis of the fixator's hinge at the same time as protecting osseous and ligaments healing. Nevertheless, the application of this type of fixator is technically demanding.<sup>4</sup>

#### **AIM OF THE STUDY**

The aim of this study is to discuss the results of using a lateral hinged external fixator as an adjunct stabilizer in the treatment of a variety of acute destabilizing elbow injuries as a primary method of fixation not only in chronic or complicated cases.

The application of an external fixator can be used statically as a temporary stabilization of the elbow as a "damage-Control surgery "for osteoligamentous lesions with extensive soft tissue damage and in polytrauma patients.

Over and above, a "dynamic" External fixator is a functional treatment for highly unstable osteo-ligamentous injuries and persisting tendency to dislocation of the joint and sometimes as a standalone procedure.

In some cases, the procedure is also used in distraction arthrolysis of stiff elbows and as a salvage procedure in patients with correlated comorbidities as part of fracture treatment.

The most common treatment of complex elbow fracturedislocations is ORIF and ligament repair (particularly lateral collateral ligaments [LCL]) with the aim to gain back bonearticular surface and joint stability. Radial head prosthesis is sometimes essential when it is not feasible to reconstruct this structure. Complex fracture-dislocations of the elbow, such as terrible triad injuries and olecranon fracture-dislocations may remain unstable after reconstruction of the bony and ligamentous structures.

Subsequently, the results of treating these injuries sometimes are disappointing because of post-traumatic instability, stiffness, and early arthrosis. Consequently, there is a need for further treatment and additional procedures regularly.

The dynamic external fixator enables protection against rotational, valgus and varus forces if used properly using the best possible centring of the humeroulnar articulation. This control of the joint guidance enables functional follow-up treatment by reducing the compromising forces on the osteoligamentous structures. To achieve the aim of this study, we formulated the following research questions:

- (1) In complex elbow fracture-dislocations, does treatment with a hinged external fixator result in reduction of disability and pain, and in improvement in ROM, function, and quality of life?
- (2) What are the complications seen after external fixator treatment?