

شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلو

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم





MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلو



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكترونى والميكروفيلم

جامعة عين شمس التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



MONA MAGHRABY



Comparative Study between Early Versus Delayed Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy in Mild Acute Gall Stone Pancreatitis

Thesis

For Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree in **General Surgery**

By

Mohammed Ibrahim Ali Ahmed

M.B.B.Ch, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Under Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Abd Elghany Mahmoud AlShamy

Professor of General Surgery Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Ass. Prof. Dr. Kamal Mamdoh Kamal

Assistant Professor of General Surgery Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Dr. Hatem Sayed Saber

Lecturer of General Surgery Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University
2021



سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

Acknowledgment

First and foremost, I feel always indebted to AUAH, the Most Kind and Most Merciful.

I'd like to express my respectful thanks and profound gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Abd Elghany**Mahmoud AlShamy, Professor of General Surgery,
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University for his keen guidance, kind supervision, valuable advice and continuous encouragement, which made possible the completion of this work.

I am also delighted to express my deepest gratitude and thanks to Ass. Prof. Dr. Kamal Mandoh Kamal, Assistant Professor of General Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his kind care, continuous supervision, valuable instructions, constant help and great assistance throughout this work.

I am deeply thankful to **Dr. Hatem Sayed Saber,** Lecturer of General Surgery, Faculty of
Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his great help,
active participation and guidance.

I would like to express my hearty thanks to all my family for their support till this work was completed.

Last but not least my sincere thanks and appreciation to all patients participated in this study.

Mohammed Obrahim Ali Ahmed

Dedication

| To my professors |
|------------------|
| To my family |
| To my patients |
| To my colleagues |

List of Contents

| Title | Page No. |
|--|----------|
| Tist of Tables | i |
| List of Figures | ii |
| List of Abbreviations | iv |
| Introduction | |
| Aim of the Work | 6 |
| Review of Literature | |
| Surgical Anatomy of the Biliary Tree | 7 |
| Techniqueof Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy | 62 |
| Patients and Methods | 72 |
| Results | 81 |
| Discussion | 104 |
| Summary | 111 |
| Conclusion | 113 |
| Recommendations | 114 |
| References | 115 |
| Arabic Summary | |

List of Tables

| Table No | o. Title | Page No. |
|--------------------|--|-----------------|
| Table (1): | Scoring systems for assessing severity of pancreatitis | |
| Table (2): | Ranson criteria | 46 |
| Table (3): | Comparison between GroupA and Groregarding demographic data | |
| Table (4): | Comparison between Group A and Groregarding associated co morbidities | • |
| Table (5): | Comparison between Group A and Groregarding Laboratory data at time of admission | |
| Table (6): | Comparison between the two studied according to radiological data | |
| Table (7): | Comparison between the two studied according to recorded surgical data | |
| Table (8): | Complications of pancreatitis | 93 |
| Table (9): | Complications of pancreatitis | 94 |
| Table (10): | Comparison between the two studied according to laboratory data one week operative | groups post- |
| Table (11): | Comparison between group A according laboratory data pre and post-operative | |
| Table (12): | Comparison between group B according laboratory data pre and post-operative | - |

List of Figures

| Fig. No. | Title | Page No. |
|-------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| Figure (1): | Extra-hepatic biliary system | 12 |
| Figure (1). Figure (2): | Normal anatomy of biliary tract | |
| Figure (2): | The bile duct blood supply | |
| • | Normal arterial pattern of extrahepatic biliar | |
| Figure (4): Figure (5): | Topographical distribution of the regional nodes of the gallbladder | l lymph |
| Figure (6): | Main variations in gall bladder and cys anatomy (A&B) septated gall bladder, (c) & (D&E) Y duplication | bilobed |
| Figure (7): | Pancreas and biliary system anatomy | 27 |
| Figure (8): | Ultrasound image of the gallbladder demonstrate dependent gallstones (curved arroacustic shadowing (straight arrows) | onstrates w) with |
| Figure (9): | Acute biliary pancreatitis with a thickened pand an effusion around the pancreatic around the spleen - CT scan | tail and |
| Figure (10): | Sigmoid configuration of the main pancrea with distal dilation of both main and dorsa suggesting the presence of an obstructive of at the level of both major and minor papillae | al ducts, ondition |
| Figure (11): | Veress needle technique | |
| Figure (12): | In the open (Hasson) method, the peritonea is opened first | al cavity |
| Figure (13): | Port placement and retraction strategies | |
| Figure (14): | Shows mean age between group A and Grou | |
| Figure (15): | Shows percentage of Sex between group Group B | A and |
| Figure (16): | Comparison between Group and Group B reassociated co morbidities | |
| Figure (17): | AST pre operation | 86 |

List of Figures (cont...)

| Fig. No. | Title P | age No. |
|---------------------|---|---------|
| Figure (18): | Gall stone number | 88 |
| | Adhesions with omentum | |
| Figure (20): | Adhesions between gallbladder and duodenum | 91 |
| Figure (21): | Comparison between the two studied graccording to adhesion | - |
| Figure (22): | Comparison between the two studied graccording to conversion to open and bleeding | - |
| Figure (23): | Comparison between the two studied graccording to hospital stay | |
| Figure (24): | Recurrence of pancreatitis in both groups | 95 |
| | AST post operation | |
| Figure (26): | ALT post operation | 99 |
| Figure (27): | AST post operation | 99 |
| Figure (28): | ALP post operation | 100 |
| Figure (29): | ALP | 102 |
| Figure (30): | AST pre and post operation | 103 |
| Figure (31): | ALT pre and post operation | 103 |

List of Abbreviations

| Abb. | Full term |
|--------------|---|
| ABP | . Acute Biliary Pancreatitis |
| | .American of gasteroentrology association |
| | .Acute physiology and chronic health evaluation |
| | .Aspartate aminotransferase |
| BISAP | . Bedside Index of Severity in Acute Pancreatitis |
| | .Blood urea nitrogen |
| CBD | . Common bile duct |
| CD | . Cystic duct |
| CHD | . Common hepatic duct |
| CTSI | . CT severity index |
| <i>GDA</i> | . Gastroduodenal artery |
| HES | . Hydroxyethyl starch |
| <i>LC</i> | . Laparoscopic cholecystectomy |
| <i>LD</i> | .Lactate dehydrogenase |
| <i>LHA</i> | .Left hepatic artery |
| <i>PSPDA</i> | . Posterior superior pancreaticoduodenal artery |
| <i>RASD</i> | .Right anterior sectoral duct |
| <i>RHD</i> | .Right hepatic duct |
| <i>RPSD</i> | .Right posterior sectoral duct |
| <i>SAP</i> | .Simplified acute physiology |
| <i>SMV</i> | .Superior mesenteric vein |
| <i>SSLC</i> | .Single site laparoscopic cholecystectomy |
| SV | . Splenic vein |
| <i>WBC</i> | . White blood cells |

Introduction

all bladder disease is among the leading causes for hospital admission for acute abdomen among adults and the most common indication for abdominal surgery in the elderly (*Ukkonen et al.*, 2015).

Gallstones are common and present as acute calcular cholecystitis (ACC) in 20 % of patients with symptomatic disease, with wide variation in severity. In developed countries, 10–15 % of the adult population is affected by gallstones (*Shaffer*, 2005).

With gallstone being leading etiology, acute pancreatitis is one of the most common reported gastrointestinal diseases for acute hospital admission with increasing incidence. Of all cases of acute pancreatitis, mild pancreatitis constitutes about 80% and has the characteristics of recovering within one to two weeks, self-limited and low mortality (*Wang et al.*, 2015).

Acute Pancreatitis (AP) is an inflammatory disease of the pancreas that is associated with little or no fibrosis of the gland, and which may be followed by clinical and biological restitution, if the primary cause is eliminated. Clinically, the severity of AP varies significantly. Most patients experience a mild form of the disease, which is self-limiting, while others suffer a more severe and sometimes a fatal attack. Mild form constitutes about 80% of cases with a mortality around 1%,

while severe attack occurs in rest 20% of cases which is associated with mortality ranging from 20% to 50%. One major cause of acute pancreatitis (AP) is biliary calculi, which accounts for about 50-70% of cases presenting with this disease (*Bhattacharya*, 2008).

Patients who have small gallstones and a wide cystic duct may be at a higher risk of passing stone. Gall stone migration with obstruction of the CBD and pancreatic duct triggers Acute Biliary Pancreatitis (ABP) (Acosta and Ledesma, 1974).

The standard treatment for symptomatic cholecystitis associated with gallstones is cholecystectomy. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) has replaced conventional open cholecystectomy and has become the gold standard of treatment for acute cholecystitis (AC).

Current guidelines recommend laparoscopic cholecystectomy for biliary pancreatitis to reduce the recurrence rate of biliary tract related events (*Greenberg et al.*, 2016).

The timing of cholecystectomy in patients with clinically severe pancreatitis, with local complications such as pancreatic necrosis and organ failure, is deliberately delayed until local complications have resolved, typically after approximately 6 weeks (*Nealon et al.*, 2004).

However, there is no consensus on the optimal time of laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) for patients with mild biliary pancreatitis. International guidelines advise to perform LC as soon as the patient has recovered during the same admission (*Li et al.*, 2019; Yokoe et al., 2015).

A prospective randomized controlled study in American, referenced by many guidelines and reviews, showed that compared with delayed LC, early LC within 48 h can shorten the length of hospital stay, and reduce the biliary related recurrent events, and it does not increase the difficulty of the operation and the incidence of surgical complications (*Aboulian et al.*, 2010).

A prospective study was conducted at Indira Gandhi medical college Shimla, a tertiary care center, over a period of one year. A total patients were divided in two groups (I and II). Group 1 patients of mild AP who were operated during the same admission i.e. within 8days of the acute mild pancreatitis. Group II other patients of mild AP who had a delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC), i.e. after 4-6 weeks of illness. Shows that Laparoscopic cholecystectomy during the early period of acute mild biliary pancreatitis is safe, effective and feasible. It causes a significant reduction in the length of hospital stay with no significant increase in the complications or mortality (*Sharma et al.*, 2018).