



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرو فيلم

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



HANAA ALY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



HANAA ALY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



HANAA ALY



Diagnostic role of PET CT in hepatocellular carcinoma compaired with triphasic CT imaging

Thesis

*Submitted in Partial Fulfillment for the Master Degree
in Radiology*

Presented by
Yasmine Emad Aldin Hassan Allam.
M.B., B. Ch.
Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University

Under supervision of
Ass. Prof. Dr. Zenat Ahmed Alsabbagh
Assistant Professor of Radiology
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Ass. Prof. Dr. Ahmed Samy Abdelrahman
Assistant Professor of Radiology
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University
2021

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قَالَ

سَبَّحَانَكَ لَا إِلَهَ إِلَّا مَا عَلَّمْتَنَا إِنَّكَ أَنْتَ
الْعَلِيمُ الْعَظِيمُ

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

Acknowledgment

*First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **ALLAH**,
the Most Kind and Most Merciful.*

*I'd like to express my respectful thanks and profound
gratitude to **Ass. Prof. Dr. Zenat Ahmed Alsabbagh**,
Assistant Professor of Radiology, Faculty of Medicine - Ain
Shams University for her keen guidance, kind supervision,
valuable advice and continuous encouragement, which made
possible the completion of this work.*

*I am also delighted to express my deepest gratitude and
thanks to **Ass. Prof. Dr. Ahmed Samy Abdelrahman**,
Assistant Professor of Radiology, Faculty of Medicine - Ain
Shams University, for his kind care, continuous supervision,
valuable instructions, constant help and great assistance
throughout this work.*

Yasmine Emad

List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Abbreviations.....	i
List of Tables	iii
List of Figures	iv
Introduction	1
Aim of Study	3
Review of Literature	
Imaging Anatomy of the Liver	4
Pathology of HCC	11
PET/CT Physics and Instrumentation	23
Patients and Methods.....	44
Results	51
Case Presentation	67
Discussion	77
Summary	80
Conclusion	83
Recommendations and Limitations.....	84
References	85
Arabic Summary	—

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
¹⁸ F-FDG	¹⁸ F-fludeoxyglucose
AASLD.....	American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases
AFP.....	Alpha feto -protein
AUC	Area under curve
BCLC	Barcelona clinic liver cancer
CA.....	Contrast agent
CBD	Common bile duct
CHA.....	Common hepatic artery
CHD.....	Common hepatic duct
CM	Contrast media
CT	Computed tomography
GB.....	Gall bladder
GDA.....	Gastro-duodenal artery
HAP	Hepatic artery proper
HCC	Hepatocellular carcinoma
HFL	hepatic focal lesion
HV.....	Hepatic vein
IVC.....	Inferior vena cava
LHA	Left hepatic artery
LHV	Left hepatic vein
LN	lymph node
LOR	Line of response
LPV.....	Left portal vein
MHV.....	Middle hepatic vein
MPV.....	Main portal vein

List of Abbreviations Cont...

Abb.	Full term
MRI.....	Magnetic resonance imaging
NPV	Negative predictive value
PET.....	Positron emission tomography
PPV.....	Positive predictive value
PV	Portal vein
RHA	Right hepatic artery
RHV.....	Right hepatic vein
ROC	Receiver operating characteristic curve
RPV.....	Right portal vein
SMV	Superior mesenteric vein
SUV	Standardized uptake value
SV	Splenic vein
US.....	Ultrasound

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (1):	American Joint Committee on Cancer/ TNM 7 th edition	19
Table (2):	Demographic data of the studied patients.....	51
Table (3):	Results of PET CT based on number of patients and tumors.....	52
Table (4):	Results of Tiphasic CT scan based on number patients and tumors.	55
Table (5):	Comparison between PET CT and triphasic CT and gold standerd results regarding HCC metastases.	57
Table (6):	Showing Comparison between PET CT scan and Triphasic CT results regarding tumor data.....	59
Table (7):	Comparison between PET CT and Triphasic CT regarding metastases data.	62
Table (8):	Shows comparison between PET CT and triphasic CT regarding tumors.....	63
Table (9):	Shows comparison between PET CT and Triphasic CT regarding mets by gold standard.....	64
Table (10):	Shows tumor results regarding PET CT scan	64
Table (11):	Shows cut off point, AUC, sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV and accuracy of SUVmax, SUVratio	66

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Fig. (1):	Anatomy of the liver segments	4
Fig. (2):	Cross sectional anatomy of the liver segments	5
Fig. (3):	Radiographic segmental anatomy of the liver by computed tomography (CT).....	6
Fig. (4):	Normal hepatic arterial anatomy	8
Fig. (5):	Normal portal venous image from 3D CT portography shows the portal vein (PV) branching into the left portal vein (LPV) and right portal vein (RPV).....	9
Fig. (6):	Hepatic venous confluence	10
Fig. (7):	Growth pattern of progressed hepatocellular carcinoma	13
Fig. (8):	Stepwise pathway of carcinogenesis for HCC in cirrhosis.....	15
Fig. (9):	Photomicrograph shows a regenerative nodule surrounded by septa (curved arrow), which contain a number of portal vein tracts	16
Fig. (10):	Positron Decay.....	24
Fig. (11):	Positron–electron annihilation reaction.....	25
Fig. (12):	(a) True coincidence (b) Scatter coincidence (c) Random coincidence	26
Fig. (13):	Illustrative diagram of combined PET/CT scanner components.....	29
Fig. (14):	FDG is actively taken up by glucose transport proteins into the cell. Within the cell, FDG is metabolized to FDG-6-phosphate, which is metabolically trapped	31
Fig. (15):	Display screen of the syngo software platform shows fused PET-CT images in the sagittal, coronal, and axial planes	33

List of Figures Cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Fig. (16):	Normal distribution of FDG.....	35
Fig. (17):	Shows normal fused abdominal PET-CT exam.....	37
Fig. (18):	Benign ovarian ^{18}F -FDG uptake in a 22-year-old female	38
Fig. (19):	Misregistration artifact	41
Fig. (20):	Attenuation correction artifact.....	42
Fig. (21):	PET/CT showing areas of increased ^{18}F -FDG uptake correlating to lesions in segments II, III, and IV	43
Fig. (22):	Showing age distribution of patients based on their number.	51
Fig. (23):	PET scan results per patient.	53
Fig. (24):	PET scan results per tumors.	53
Fig. (25):	Bar chart showing segmental distribution of tumors by PET/ CT scan.	54
Fig. (26):	Showing staging of HCC by PET/ CT scan.	54
Fig. (27):	Showing triphasic results per patients.	56
Fig. (28):	Showing triphasic results per tumor.	56
Fig. (29):	Bar chart showing results of metastases by PET/CT, triphasic CT and gold standard.	58
Fig. (30):	Bar chart showing comparison between PET/ CT and triphasic CT per patients and tumors	59
Fig. (31):	Bar chart showing staging of HCC regarding PET/CT and triphasic CT.	60
Fig. (32):	Showing tumor size by PET/CT and triphasic CT.	60
Fig. (33):	Bar chart showing percentage of positive metastases by PET/CT and triphasic CT	62

List of Figures Cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Fig. (34):	Shows metastase size by PET/CT and triphasic CT.	63
Fig. (35):	Showing SUV max range and median in positive and negative cases	65
Fig. (36):	Bar chart showing SUV ratio mean in positive and negative cases	65
Fig. (37):	Shows sensitivity and specificity of SUV max and SUV ratio.....	66
Fig. (38):	Case 1	67
Fig. (39):	Case 2	69
Fig. (40):	Case 3	71
Fig. (41):	Case 4	73
Fig. (42):	Case 5	74
Fig. (43):	Case 6	75
Fig. (44):	Case 7	76

INTRODUCTION

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the most common primary malignancy of the liver. It ranks as the fifth most common tumor in the world and the third most common cause of cancer-related death. In recent decades, HCC age-adjusted incidence rates have doubled. This is largely due to an increasing prevalence of ailments that predispose to hepatic cirrhosis, such as chronic viral hepatitis, obesity, and alcohol abuse (*Gomaa, 2020*).

Prognosis of patients with HCC is usually poor, and predicting life expectancy is difficult because of variable factors such as portal vein (PV) thrombosis, tumor stage, and high recurrence rate of the tumor. Accurate staging of HCC is crucial because only patients with small tumors (<5 cm) without distant metastasis would benefit from liver resection or liver transplantation as a curative treatment (*Cho et al., 2017*).

Imaging techniques are a key tool for clinical decision. The development of ultrasound (US), computed tomography (CT), and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has allowed the detection and diagnosis of liver tumors at an asymptomatic stage, and this has modified their diagnostic approach and treatment. Indeed, some of the effective therapies are image guided. Further more, evaluation of treatment and follow-up are done through imaging. Hence, understanding of the information provided by imaging techniques is critical for the clinician in charge of liver cancer patients (*Bruix&Sherman., 2010*).

Residual, recurrent, and metastatic lesions of HCC are not detected well by traditional imaging such as MRI or CT because these modalities detect morphologic changes, which can occur quite slowly in HCC. A more effective modality seems to be positron emission tomography (PET/CT) using the exogenous contrast agent (CA) ^{18}F -fludeoxyglucose (^{18}F -FDG) can scan the whole body (**Liao et al., 2018**).

PET/CT is an imaging modality using positron-emitting markers. The most commonly used marker in evaluating cancer patients is ^{18}F -FDG, an analogue of glucose, used in processes of glucose metabolism. Glucose metabolism increases rapidly in dividing and growing cells causing an increased uptake of ^{18}F -FDG (**Croteau et al., 2016**).

In some cancers, ^{18}F -FDG scanning especially when merged with CT, is highly sensitive in the staging of the malignancies, and can be used in management of individual patients. This modality has been established as a diagnostic tool of various cancers` (**Guo et al., 2007**).

PET/CT is useful to detect distant metastasis. Evidence supports a relationship between PET/CT imaging and degree of tumor differentiation. PET/CT emerged as a highly effective nuclear imaging tool for diagnostic setup, treatment allocation, and assessment of post-interventional tumor response in medical and surgical oncology (**Kornberg and Freiss, 2019**).