



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية  
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرو فيلم

# بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



**MONA MAGHRABY**



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية  
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرو فيلم



# شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرو فيلم



**MONA MAGHRABY**



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية  
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

# جامعة عين شمس

## التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

### قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها  
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



### يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



**MONA MAGHRABY**

# **REMEDIATION OF CONTAMINATED WATER USING SOME PHYSICAL AND RADIATION TECHNIQUES**

By

**MOHAMMAD MAHMOUD SAYED ALY DAWOUD**

B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Agric. Engineering), Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2011.

M.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Agric. Engineering), Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2016.

**A Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment  
Of  
The requirements for the degree of**

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY  
in  
Agricultural Sciences  
(Irrigation and Drainage Engineering)**

**Department of Agricultural Engineering  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Ain Shams University**

**2021**

## **Approval Sheet**

# **REMEDIATION OF CONTAMINATED WATER USING SOME PHYSICAL AND RADIATION TECHNIQUES**

By

**MOHAMMAD MAHMOUD SAYED ALY DAWOUD**

B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Agric. Engineering), Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2011.

M.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Agric. Engineering), Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2016.

**This Thesis for Ph.D. degree has been approved by:**

**Dr. Mohamed El-Sayed Abuarab** .....

Prof. of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo  
University.

**Dr. Mahmoud Mohamed Ahmed Elbordiny** .....

Prof. of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams  
University.

**Dr. Waleed Kamel Mohammed Salim ElHelew** .....

Associate Prof. of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture,  
Ain Shams University.

**Dr. Mahmoud Mohamed Hegazi** .....

Prof. Emeritus of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture,  
Ain Shams University.

**Date of Examination:** 04 / 11 / 2021

# **REMEDIATION OF CONTAMINATED WATER USING SOME PHYSICAL AND RADIATION TECHNIQUES**

By

**MOHAMMAD MAHMOUD SAYED ALY DAWOUD**

B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Agric. Engineering), Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2011.

M.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Agric. Engineering), Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2016.

**Under the supervision of:**

**Dr. Mahmoud Mohamed Hegazi**

Prof. Emeritus of Agricultural Engineering, Agricultural Engineering  
Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

**Dr. Waleed Kamel Mohammed Salim ElHelew**

Associate Prof. of Agricultural Engineering, Agricultural Engineering  
Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

**Dr. Hosam El-Din Mostafa Saleh**

Prof. of Chemistry of Waste Management, Radioisotopes  
Department, Nuclear Research Center, Egyptian Atomic Energy  
Authority.

## ABSTRACT

**Mohammad Mahmoud Dawoud: Remediation of Contaminated Water Using Some Physical and Radiation Techniques. Unpublished Ph.D. thesis, Department of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2021.**

Water pollution, particularly with rapid industrial growth, is one of the most stressful issues in our country. Getting rid of heavy metals has become complicated and costly and also, one of the most difficult challenges facing the water treatment sector in Egypt and the world was the high cost of treatment because it requires very expensive infrastructure and it is a part of the long plans of governments and institutions, as well as the cost of operating these plants.

This work was conducted for an economic, environmental, and efficient solution that using microcrystalline cellulose (McC) for wastewater treatment. Where cobalt and cesium removal mechanism was studied by using Microcrystalline Cellulose and chemically modified microcrystalline cellulose by one of the low-molecular-weight organic acids such as Citric Acid (McC-CA), which are extra chelators for Cobalt and Cesium from aqueous solution and the removal of most harmful of their isotopes ( $^{60}\text{Co}$  and  $^{137}\text{Cs}$ ) also have been studied. Also, an engineered system was fabricated to study the removal efficiency of microcrystalline Cellulose for Total Suspended Solids (TSS).

To reach the desired degree of environmental safety and save the effort and time in this research, the Taguchi robust method was used to design experiments to optimize the factors affecting Cobalt and Cesium removal by using Microcrystalline Cellulose (Ion concentration, pH, adsorbent dosage, and contact time) through an orthogonal array (OA)  $L_{16} = 4^4$  using batch experiments technique.

The results illustrated the contribution of the factors for both Co (II) and Cs (II) by using (ANOVA), where the most efficient parameter was pH followed by the Ion concentration (C), then Sorbent Dose (D), and the last one was Contact Time (T). The optimum combination for Co (II) and Cs (I) adsorption was pH (5-6), C (1-50 mg.L<sup>-1</sup>), D (3-4 g.L<sup>-1</sup>), T (60-100 mins.), and the percent removal for Co (II) and Cs (I) was 74% and 88% respectively. Furthermore, when this combination was applied to <sup>60</sup>Co and <sup>137</sup>Cs the percent removal ranged from 96.01% to 90.28% for <sup>60</sup>Co, and 100% to 94.25% for <sup>137</sup>Cs.

Also, Taguchi's robust method was used to optimize the factors affecting TSS removal by the engineered system using McC, (Flow rate, Weight of material, operating time) through an orthogonal array (OA) L<sub>9</sub> = 3<sup>3</sup>. the optimal combination for TSS removal was recognized as A1B3C1 Where, Flowrate (level 1 = 2 L.min<sup>-1</sup>, S/N = -1.96), Weight of material (level 3 = 1 Kg, S/N = -2.17), and Operating time (level 1 = 30 mins., S/N = -2.8) Consequently, and the optimal number of washing times was five times, which gives results of 88% TSS percent removal, which is equivalent to 49.2 mg.L<sup>-1</sup>. Taguchi approach has been used very effectively to optimize parameters and to improve the reliability according to contour plots and verification tests. The optimum dose of gamma radiation to sterilize the water produced from the engineered system was 20 KGy.

our findings suggested that (McC) is highly efficient in cobalt, cesium, and TSS removal from wastewater as well as the Taguchi approach is a proper strategy to optimize the adsorption parameters and gamma radiation is an effective technique in wastewater sterilization.

**Keywords:** Microcrystalline cellulose; Wastewater; Heavy metals; Cobalt; Cesium; Radionuclides; Adsorption; Environmental pollution; Taguchi; Gamma Radiation, and Sterilization.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish to express my deep appreciation and gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Mahmoud Hegazi**, Department of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University for his kind supervision through this work. I am grateful for his valuable discussion, suggestions and helpful criticism, which helped me to implement this work.

I wish to express my sincere gratitude and appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Hosam El-Din Mostafa Saleh** Department of Radioisotopes, Nuclear research center, Atomic Energy Authority for his kind supervision, continuous encouragement and valuable advises through this work.

I wish to express my sincere gratitude and appreciation to **Dr. Waleed ElHelew**, Department of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University for his kind supervision and helping me to overcome all barriers to finish this work.

I am deeply indebted to **Dr. Mostafa Zahran** Department of Soil and water, nuclear research center, Atomic Energy Authority for his great effort and advice throughout this work.

I am deeply indebted to **Dr. Ragab Mohasab** Department of radiation protection, Hot labs center, Atomic Energy Authority for his great effort and advice throughout this work.

I extend my thanks and Love to my honorable parents, my brothers, my sisters, my wife, and my children. They endured much for me.... Really thanks my family.

Finally, I would also like to place on record, my sense of gratitude to one and all who, directly or indirectly, lent their helping hand in this work.

# CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES .....</b>	IV
<b>LIST OF FIGURES .....</b>	V
<b>1. INTRODUCTION .....</b>	1
<b>2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE .....</b>	4
2.1. Wastewater treatment technologies .....	4
2.1.1 Chemical wastewater treatment technologies .....	4
2.1.2 Biological wastewater treatment technology .....	4
2.1.3. Physical treatments.....	6
2.2 Heavy metals pollutants .....	7
2.2.1 Pollution with Cobalt and Cesium.....	7
2.2.2 Cobalt.....	8
2.2.3 Cesium.....	11
2.3 Adsorption.....	12
2.4 Microcrystalline Cellulose (McC).....	14
2.5 The different ways to prepare (McC) .....	16
2.6 Heavy metals sorption by using McC.....	17
2.7 Wastewater sanitization by Gamma-ray.....	19
<b>3. MATERIALS AND METHODS .....</b>	20
3.1. Preparation of McC .....	20
3.1.1. Modification McC with Citric Acid (CA).....	20
3.2. <sup>60</sup> Co and <sup>137</sup> Cs radioisotope adsorption.....	21
3.3. Engineered system for water treatment by using McC ....	22
3.3.1. Steps followed in the experiment.....	22
3.4. Treatment steps and system components.....	22
3.4.1. Raw wastewater storage tank.....	24

	<b>Page</b>
3.4.2. Electrical pump .....	24
3.4.3. Flow and pressure gauges.....	25
3.4.4. stainless steel vessel.....	26
3.5. Sampling and analytical methods.....	27
3.5.1. Sampling.....	27
3.5.2. Analytical methods.....	27
3.5.3. TSS analysis.....	27
3.5.4. Microcrystalline cellulose preparing.....	28
3.6. Experimental design for optimizing the factors affected on TSS removal by McC Engineered system.....	28
3.7. Backwashing experiment.....	31
3.8. Comparison between the effect of exposed surface area and material depth on TSS removal.....	31
3.9. The effect of gamma radiation on treated water.....	31
<b>4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....</b>	<b>32</b>
4.1. Characterization by FT-IR before and after CA modification.....	32
4.2. Characterization by SEM before and after CA modification.....	34
4.3 Characterization of McC-AC by SEM-EDX microscope before and after Co (II) & Cs (I) adsorption.....	35
4.4. Experimental design to optimize Co (II) and Cs(I) adsorption factors on McC-CA.....	36

	<b>Page</b>
4.5. Taguchi's L16 experimental study to optimize adsorption reaction.....	37
4.6. Signal to Noise (S/N) ratio Examination.....	37
4.7. ANOVA Investigation .....	43
4.8. Experimental results evaluation.....	44
4.9. Comparison of calculated and expected results.....	47
4.10. $^{60}\text{Co}$ and $^{137}\text{Cs}$ adsorption by using McC-CA with the guidance of Taguchi's L16 experimental study results.....	49
4.11. Taguchi's L9 experimental study and optimization process.....	49
4.12. Signal to Noise (S/N) ratio Examination.....	50
4.13. Assessment of experimental observations.....	51
4.14. The aberration between calculated and actual results.....	53
4.15. Backwashing.....	54
4.16. Comparison between the effect of exposed surface area and material depth on TSS removal.....	55
4.17. Effect of gamma radiation on Bacterial activity and growth in treated water.....	56
<b>5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION .....</b>	<b>57</b>
<b>6. REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>60</b>

#### **ARABIC SUMMARY**

## LIST OF TABLES

Table No.		Page
1	Different natural and economical sources of cellulose for McC production.....	15
2	Maximum adsorption capacities for heavy metals by using McC adsorbent.....	18
3	Sample values for some of the physicochemical characteristics of studied wastewater.....	27
4	Control parameters and their selected levels.....	30
5	The results of trials and S/N ratios for TSS percent removal under control factors.....	30
6	The chemical compositions with EDX of McC-AC after and before Co (II) and Cs (I) adsorption.....	35
7	Adsorption control parameters and their selected levels Parameters.....	36
8	The results of trials and S/N ratios for Co (II) and Cs(I) removal by using McC-CA.....	39
9	S/N response table for Co (II) and Cs (I) removal by using McC CA. ....	40
10	Variance analysis of Co (II) and Cs (I) percent removal by McC-CA.....	44
11	Mean response for Co(II) and Cs(I) percent removal by McC-CA.....	48
12	Predicted and confirmation results for Co (II) and Cs (I) percent removal by McC-CA.....	48
13	S/N response for TSS removal %R under control factors...	51
14	Mean response for TSS removal %R under control factors	53

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.		Page
1	Crushed lava rock filter implemented at the household level.....	6
2	McC preparation stages .....	20
3	Co (II) and Cs (I) adsorption mechanism by using McC-CA.....	23
4	Wastewater tank.....	24
5	Electrical motor and power controller.....	25
6	The pressure gauge and flow meter.....	25
7	The stainless-steel vessel, plastic cup, and the perforated irregular holes strainers.....	26
8	Co (II) and Cs (I) adsorption mechanism by using McC-CA .....	33
9	FT-IR spectra of McC and McC-CA.....	33
10	SEM images of McC and McC-CA.....	34
11	Stages of Taguchi's strategy.....	41
12	The effect of design parameters on average S/N ratio for Co (II) removal % (a-d) and Cs (I) removal % (e-h).....	42
13	Impact of control factors on percent removal (%R) for Co(II) (a-d) and Cs(I) (e-h) by using McC-CA	46
14	The percent removal of <sup>60</sup> Co and <sup>137</sup> Cs by using McC-CA .....	49
15	The effect of study variables on average S/N ratio for TSS removal %R.....	51
16	Impact of control factors on percent removal (%R) for TSS by using McC under control factors.....	52
17	Impact of backwash repeating number on percent removal (%R) for TSS and the difference in pressure before and after treatment by using McC system.....	54

<b>Figure No.</b>		<b>Page</b>
18	The effect of exposed surface area and material depth on TSS removal.....	55