



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية  
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

# بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



**MONA MAGHRABY**



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية  
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرو فيلم



# شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرو فيلم



**MONA MAGHRABY**



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية  
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

# جامعة عين شمس

## التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

### قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها  
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



### يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



**MONA MAGHRABY**



**THE SPECIAL LAW OF VARIATION FOR HUBBLE'S  
PARAMETER IN COSMOLOGICAL MODELS AND THE  
PRESENT UNIVERSE**

**A THESIS**

In Partial Fulfillment for the Requirement of the Degree of Master for  
Teacher Preparation of Science in Applied Mathematics

**Submitted to**

Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University

**By**

**Aryn Talaat Shafeek Faltes**

*Demonstrator at  
Department of Mathematics,  
Faculty of Education,  
Ain Shams University*

***Under the Supervision of***

**Prof. Gamal G. Nashed**

*professor of Relativity Theory  
Centre for Theoretical Physics  
The British University in Egypt*

**Dr. Mohamed A. Bakry**

*Assistant Professor of Relativity Theory  
Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Education  
Ain Shams University*

(2021)



**Candidate:** Aryn Talaat Shafeek Faltes

**Thesis Title:** The Special Law of Variation for Hubble's Parameter in Cosmological Models and the Present Universe

**Degree:** Master for Teacher's Preparation of Science in Applied Mathematics

**Supervisors:**

No.	Name	Profession	Signature
1.	<b>Prof. Gamal Gerges Nashed</b>	Professor of Relativity Theory, Centre for Theoretical Physics, The British University in Egypt	
2.	<b>Dr. Mohamed Abdallah Bakry</b>	Assistant Professor of Relativity Theory, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University	

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thanks **ALLAH** for helping and supporting me to achieve this work, and I hope from his mighty to accept my efforts to do my duty. I would like also to thank the supervision committee:

Thanks to my supervisor **Prof. Dr. Gamal G. Nashed**, professor of Relativity Theory, centre for Theoretical Physics, the British University in Egypt, for his helpful guidance, encouragement, cooperation, support, invaluable and unlimited guidance, valuable ideas and suggestions that helped me to continue the various stages of my research.

My deep gratitude also goes to **Dr. Mohamed A. Bakry**, Assistant Professor of Relativity Theory, Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University, for suggesting the problem as well as for his valuable continuous encouragement and helpful discussions which have improved the final version of this thesis, and for his useful suggestions that helped me overcome the difficulties that arose during the different phases of this work.

My deep gratitude also goes to **Prof. Dr. Galal M. Moatimid**, professor of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University, for his helpful guidance and kind encouragement.

It is also my pleasure to thank **Prof. Dr. Ehab Fathy Mohamed Abd El-Fatah**, Head of Mathematics Department, Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University, and all staff members for supporting and providing all required facilities to complete this work.

My great appreciation goes to **my kind parents, brother and sisters**, for their support, patience, sacrifice and continuous encouragement.

**Arya 7. Shafeek**

## Abstract

The current study mainly deals with the development and establishment of some mathematical cosmological models for interpretation of the origins and evolution of the universe according to modern observations.

In chapter one, we stated a literature review, and some of work done in this field.

In chapter two, we propose two cosmological models which can explain the evolution of the universe after the Big Rip moment. The first model is the periodic universe with a varying deceleration parameter of the second degree of cosmic time. The second model is generated by utilizing a varying deceleration parameter as a polynomial of degree  $l - 1$  in the cosmic time.

In chapter three, we construct a gravitational field with torsion by using the parameterized absolute parallelism geometry. Under the influence of the gravitational field with torsion, the cosmological models are obtained and discussed.

In chapter four, we derive a path deviation equation in the absolute parameterized parallelism geometry. This chapter tackles the stability and singularity of cosmological models from the perspective of Parameterized absolute parallelism geometry.



## List of publications

- 1- M. A. Bakry, and Aryn T. Shafeek. "The periodic universe with varying deceleration parameter of the second degree." *Astrophysics and Space Science* **364**. 8 (2019): 1-6.
- 2- M. A. Bakry, G. M. Moatimid, and A. T. Shafeek. "Cosmological models generated by utilizing a varying polynomial deceleration parameter." *Indian Journal of Physics* (2021): 1-18.
- 3- M. A. Bakry, and Aryn T. Shafeek. "Big Rip and Big Crunch Cosmological Models in a Gravitational Field with Torsion." *Gravitation and Cosmology* **27**. 1 (2021): 89-104.



# SUMMARY

## SUMMARY

The current study mainly deals with the development and establishment of some mathematical cosmological models for interpretation of the origins and evolution of the universe according to modern observations. In the present work, some current cosmological models are investigated and developed in such a way that would help to predict the physical behavior of the universe in the distant future. The thesis consists of four chapters, 52 figure, 24 tables and ends with a list of references.

**CHAPTER I** briefly reviews three geometric structures. The first structure is Riemannian geometry by means of which the field equations are described in general relativity theory, as well as geodesic equations. Also, a brief summary of the Raychaudhuri equation is presented. The second structure is Absolute parallelism space (AP-Space). The third one is the Parameterized absolute parallelism geometry by means of which it was possible to deduce the modified Raychaudhuri equation which will be used to study the singularity of the cosmological models in chapter IV. At the end of chapter I, a brief review of some of the previous cosmological models that appeared before 1998 and after 1998 is outlined.

**CHAPTER II** proposes two cosmological models which can explain the evolution of the universe after the Big Rip moment. The first model is the periodic universe with a varying deceleration parameter of the second degree of cosmic time, according to which the universe passes through the Big Rip and then retreats as it was at the beginning. According to this model, a closed, flat, and open universe is allowed. The second model is generated by utilizing a varying deceleration parameter as a polynomial of degree  $l-1$  in the cosmic time. The Milne model, the Radiation model, the Einstein-de Sitter model and the Einstein model are concluded as a special case at  $(l=1)$ . The linearly varying deceleration parameter model is obtained at  $(l=2)$ . Also, the periodic universe with a varying deceleration parameter of the second degree model is found at  $(l=3)$ . This model covers all of the previous models and covers the periodic models at  $(l>3)$ . From the previous analysis of the varying polynomial deceleration parameter, one can observe that by increasing the degree of the deceleration parameter, a periodic multi-stage universe can be obtained. It starts with the Big Bang, passes through the Big Rip, and then retracts to its first state in a repeated operation. Further the universal model PUVDP is compared with LVDP, PVDP, CDP and  $\Lambda$ CDM models.

**CHAPTER III** constructs a gravitational field with torsion by using the parameterized absolute parallelism geometry. Under the influence of the gravitational field with torsion, the cosmological models are obtained and discussed. It is to be noted that the torsion term leads to inflationary cosmological models with a constant deceleration parameter. We suggest a special law for the deceleration parameter to study the big rip model. The closed and open models turn out to be impossible since the condition of the energy density  $\rho \geq 0$  is unreliable, whereas the flat model is

possible given the fact that condition  $\rho \geq 0$  is satisfied in this model. Also, the big crunch model is suggested which passes through two stages. In the first stage, the universe starts with the Big Bang, then reaches the Big Rip; while in the second stage the universe begins with the Big Rip until it reaches the Big Crunch moment. The torsion plays a relevant role in the second half-age of the universe. Also, this chapter presents observational bounds on cosmic torsion.

**CHAPTER IV** derives a path deviation equation in the absolute parameterized parallelism geometry. This chapter tackles the stability and singularity of cosmological models from the perspective of Parameterized absolute parallelism geometry. Therefore, the aim of this chapter is to examine the effect of the torsion term on the stability and the singularity of the cosmological models. It is to be noted that the torsion term does not affect the stability/instability of the cosmological models. The universe starts with the stable case at the Big Bang, then it gradually moves to the instability case.

On the other hand, in Riemannian geometry without torsion term, the universe begins with a singularity at the Big Bang, and ends with a non-singularity at the Big Rip. Conversely, in the Parameterized absolute parallelism geometry with the torsion term, the universe begins with a non-singularity at the Big Bang, and ends with singularity at the Big Rip.

# CONTENTS

## CHAPTER I: Introduction

1. Introduction and the Aim of the Work .....	1
2. Riemannian Geometry .....	2
2.1.The Geodesic Equations in Riemannian Geometry .....	3
2.2.The General Relativity Theory (GRT) .....	4
2.3.The Friedmann-Robertson-Walker(FRW) Metric and Friedmann Equations.....	5
2.4.The Raychaudhuri Equation .....	6
3. Absolute Parallelism Space (AP-Space) .....	7
4. Parameterized Absolute Parallelism Space (PAP) .....	9
4.1.The Modified Raychaudhuri Equation .....	11
5. Cosmological Parameters.....	12
6. Some Cosmological Models .....	14
6.1.Cosmological Models before 1998 .....	14
6.1.1. Einstein Static Model .....	14
6.1.2. de Sitter Model .....	14
6.1.3. The Friedmann Models .....	15
6.1.3.1.Dust Friedmann Models ( $\Omega_{\Lambda,0}=0, \Omega_{r,0}=0$ ) .....	15
6.1.3.2.Radiation Friedmann Models ( $\Omega_{\Lambda,0}=0, \Omega_{m,0}=0$ ) .....	15
6.1.3.3.The Berman Cosmological Model (Constant Deceleration Parameter) .....	15
6.2.Cosmological Models after 1998 .....	16
6.2.1. The Lambda Cold Dark Matter ( $\Lambda$ CDM ) Model .....	17
6.2.2. Cosmological Model with Linearly Varying Deceleration Parameter (LVDP) .....	18
7. Law of Variation for Hubble's Parameter .....	20
8. Comments and Features of the Present Work .....	20

## CHAPTER II: Variation of Hubble Parameter for Cosmological Models with Varying Deceleration Parameter of the Higher Degree of the Cosmic Time

1. Introduction .....	22
2. The Periodic Universe with Varying Deceleration Parameter of the Second Degree of the Cosmic Time (PUVDP) .....	22
2.1.Physical Behavior of the Cosmological Model (PUVDP) and Observational Validation .....	23
2.2.Singularity Conditions .....	26
3. Cosmological Models Generated by Utilizing a Varying polynomial Deceleration Parameter .....	27
3.1.The Relationship Between the Scalar Expansion and the Cosmological Parameters .....	28
3.2.The Scalar Expansion Function and the Evolution of the Universe .....	30
3.3.Cosmological Models with Varying Polynomial Deceleration Parameter .....	31
3.3.1. Cosmological Models with $l=1$ .....	32
3.3.2. Cosmological Model with $l=2$ .....	33

3.3.3. Cosmological Model with $l = 3$ .....	36
3.3.4. Cosmological Model with $l = 4$ .....	39
3.3.5. Cosmological Model with $l = 5$ .....	42
3.4.General Observations on the Cosmological Models .....	47
3.5.Conclusions and Results .....	48
4. Comparing the Universal Model PUVDP with LVDP, PVDP, CDP and $\Lambda$ CDM Models .....	50
4.1.Comparisons of the Cosmological Parameters .....	50
4.2.Singularity Conditions for Proposed Models .....	56
5. Discussions .....	57
 <b>CHAPTER III: Big Rip and Big Crunch Cosmological Models in Gravitational Field with Torsion</b>	
1. Introduction .....	60
2. Torsion Tensor and the GFT Equations .....	61
3. Inflation Cosmological Models with Constant Deceleration Parameter.	65
4. The Big Rip Model .....	67
5. The Big Crunch Model .....	71
6. Observational Bounds on Cosmic Torsion .....	75
7. Discussions .....	77
 <b>CHAPTER IV: Stability and Singularity Analysis of the Cosmological Models Under the Influence of a Torsion Term</b>	
1. Introduction .....	79
2. Generalized Path Deviation Equation .....	79
3. Stability Analysis of the Cosmological Models .....	80
4. Singularity of the cosmological Models .....	83
5. Discussions .....	87
 <b>General Discussions and Conclusions of the Present Work</b>	 89
 <b>References</b>	 90

## TABLES

II.1 The evolution of the universe in ( $l = 2$ ) model .....	35
II.2 The evolution of the universe in ( $l = 3$ ) model .....	38
II.3 The evolution of the universe in ( $l = 4$ ) model .....	42
II.4 The evolution of the universe in ( $l = 5$ ) model .....	46
II.5 A comparative study between the polynomial deceleration parameter cosmological models and other models .....	48
II.6 A comparative study between the cosmological parameters of the corresponding models .....	49
II.7 A comparative study between the behavior of the corresponding models	49
II.8 The Hubble parameter for proposed models .....	51
II.9 The deceleration parameter and the scale factor for proposed models ...	52
II.10 The jerk parameter $J(t)$ for the proposed models .....	52
II.11 The snap parameter $S_n(t)$ for the proposed models .....	52
II.12 Values of the deceleration parameter, and the scale factor for proposed models .....	53
II.13 The deceleration parameter $q(z)$ for proposed models .....	53
II.14 The energy density condition for proposed models .....	54
II.15 The scalar expansion and its derivative for proposed models .....	56
II.16 The derivative of the scalar expansion $\theta$ as a function of $(t, q)$ for the proposed models .....	56
III.1 The deceleration parameter, the scale factor and the scalar expansion .	72
III.2 The singularity condition of the big crunch model .....	73
III.3 Evolution of the deceleration parameter and the scale factor with cosmic time for the big crunch model .....	78
IV.1 The deviation vector for the cosmological models .....	81
IV.2 The magnitude of the deviation vector at ( $b=0$ ) .....	82
IV.3 The magnitude of the deviation vector at ( $b=2$ ) .....	82
IV.4 The modified Raychaudhuri equation for the cosmological models ....	84
IV.5 The relation between the cosmic time $t$ , and the redshift $z$ .....	86

## FIGURES

I.1 The scale factor versus the cosmic time $t: 0 \rightarrow 35$ , for $\Lambda = 0.013$ .....	17
I.2 The Hubble parameter versus the cosmic time $t: 0 \rightarrow 35$ , for $\Lambda = 0.013$ .....	17
I.3 The deceleration parameter versus the cosmic time $t: 0 \rightarrow 35$ , for $\Lambda = 0.013$ .....	18
I.4 The deceleration parameter versus the cosmic time $t: 0 \rightarrow 33$ , for $m = 1.6, a = 0.097$ .....	19
I.5 The Hubble parameter versus the cosmic time $t: 0 \rightarrow 33$ , for $m = 1.6, a = 0.097$ .....	19
I.6 The scale factor versus the cosmic time $t: 0 \rightarrow 33$ , for $m = 1.6, a = 0.097$ .....	19
II.1 The deceleration parameter $q$ versus the cosmic time $t: 0 \rightarrow 2$ .....	24
II.2 The scale factor $S(t)$ versus the cosmic time $t: 0 \rightarrow 2$ .....	24
II.3 The Hubble parameter $H$ versus the cosmic time $t: 0 \rightarrow 2$ .....	24
II.4 The energy density of the fluid $\rho$ versus the cosmic time $t: 0 \rightarrow 2$ .....	24
II.5 The equation of state parameter $\omega$ versus the cosmic time $t: 0 \rightarrow 2$ .....	25
II.6 The deceleration parameter $q$ versus the redshift $z: -1 \rightarrow 4$ .....	25
II.7 The evolution of $\omega$ as a function of the redshift $z: -1 \rightarrow 4$ .....	25
II.8 The evolution of cosmic time versus redshift $z: -1 \rightarrow 4$ .....	25
II.9 The derivative of the scalar expansion $d\theta/dt$ versus the cosmic time $t: 0 \rightarrow 2$ .....	26
II.10 The cosmological parameters of the cosmological model with $l = 2$ ..	34
II.11 The cosmological parameters of the cosmological model with $l = 3$ ..	36
II.12 The cosmological parameters of the cosmological model with $l = 4$ ..	39
II.13 The cosmological parameters of the cosmological model with $l = 5$ ..	43
II.14 The equation of state parameter $\omega(q)$ versus the deceleration parameter $q: -2 \rightarrow 1$ .....	47
II.15 The deceleration parameter $q(t)$ versus the cosmic time $t: 0 \rightarrow 30$ .....	55
II.16 The scale factor $S(t)$ versus the cosmic time $t: 0 \rightarrow 30$ .....	55
II.17 The deceleration parameter $q(z)$ versus the redshift $z: -1 \rightarrow 4$ .....	55
II.18 The jerk parameter $J(t)$ versus the cosmic time $t: 0 \rightarrow 30$ .....	55
II.19 The snap parameter $S_n(t)$ versus the cosmic time $t: 0 \rightarrow 30$ .....	55
II.20 The derivative of the scalar expansion $d\theta/dt$ versus the cosmic time $t: 0 \rightarrow 30$ .....	57
II.21 The derivative of the scalar expansion $d\theta/dt$ versus the cosmic time $t: 0 \rightarrow 30$ for the PUVDP model .....	57
III.1 The scale factor versus cosmic time $t: 0 \rightarrow 2m/a$ .....	69
III.2 The Hubble parameter versus cosmic time $t: 0 \rightarrow 2m/a$ .....	69
III.3 The deceleration parameter versus cosmic time $t: 0 \rightarrow 2m/a$ .....	69
III.4 The deceleration parameter $q(z)$ versus redshift $z: 0 \rightarrow 2$ .....	69
III.5 The energy density of the fluid $\rho$ versus cosmic time $t: 0 \rightarrow 2m/a$ .....	69
III.6 The pressure $p(t)$ versus cosmic time $t: 0 \rightarrow 2m/a$ .....	70
III.7 The equation of state parameter $\omega$ versus cosmic time $t: 0 \rightarrow 2m/a$ .....	70
III.8 The deceleration parameter $q$ against cosmic time $t: 0 \rightarrow 33$ at $\eta = 0$ , and $t: 33 \rightarrow 66$ at $\eta = 2$ .....	74