TREATMENT OF CHRONIC NECK PAIN USING DIFFERENT INJECTIONS (COMPARATIVE STUDY)

Thesis

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment for the Requirement of the Master Degree in Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation

By

Rania Helmy Ahmed

M.B., B.Ch.
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Under the Supervision of Prof. Dr. Nehal Ibrahim El-Sheshtawy

Professor of Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Heba Fawzy El-Shishtawy

Professor of Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Dr. Howayda Farouk Zidan

Lecturer in Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

> Faculty of Medicine Lin Shams University 2019



سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

Acknowledgment

First and foremost, I feel always indebted to AUAH, the Most Kind and Most Merciful.

I'd like to express my respectful thanks and profound gratitude to **Prof. Or. Mehal Ibrahim El-Sheshtawy,** Professor of Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation - Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University for her keen guidance, kind supervision, valuable advice and continuous encouragement, which made possible the completion of this work.

I am also delighted to express my deepest gratitude and thanks to **Prof. Dr. Heba Fawzy El-Shishtawy**, Professor of Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for her kind care, continuous supervision, valuable instructions, constant help and great assistance throughout this work.

I am deeply thankful to **Dr. Howayda Farouk Zidan**, Lecturer in Physical Medicine,
Rheumatology and Rehabilitation, Faculty of Medicine,
Ain Shams University, for her great help, active
participation and guidance.

I would like to express my hearty thanks to all my family especially my parents & my busband for their support till this work was completed.

Last but not least my sincere thanks and appreciation to all patients participated in this study.

Rania Helmy Ahmed

List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	
List of Figures	iii
List of Abbreviations	v
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work	6
Review of Literature	
1. Anatomy of Cervical Spine	7
2. Neck Pain	17
3. Injection therapy for neck pain	42
a. Mesotherapy	
b. Prolotherapy	
c. Dry Needling	62
Patients and Methods	73
Results	86
Discussion	108
Summary	124
Conclusion	128
Recommendations	129
References	130
Appendices	
Appendix I	155
Appendix II	156
Appendix III	157
Appendix IV	158
Appendix V	
Arabic Summary	

List of Tables

Table No.	Title Po	ige No.
Table (1):	Musculature of the neck and back that i involved in head and neck movement	
Table (2):	Neurotransmitters and Receptor Involved in Chronic Pain	S
Table (3):	Dermatological and other medical conditions purported to benefit from	.l n
Table (4):	mesotherapy Tissue levels of Na-ketoprofen (µg detected by chromatography following local intradermal therapy (LIT) o	r) g
Table (5):	intramuscular (IM) administration	48
Table (6):	Comparison between patient' demographic data, A: Age, B: Gende and C: Duration, in the three group	s r s
Table (7):	Comparison between group I before and after injection as regard A: NPQ, VAS	d 5,
Table (8):	NDI and B: CPGS Comparison between group II before an after injection as regard A: NPQ, VAS	d 5,
Table (9):	NDI and B: CPGS Comparison between group III before and after injection as regard A: NPG	e),
Table (10):	VAS NDI and B: CPGS Comparison between the three group before injection as regard A: NPQ, VAS	S
Table (11):	NDI and B: CPGS Comparison between the three group after injection as regard A: NPQ, VAS	S
	NDI and B: CPGS	

List of Cables (Cont...)

Table No.	Title	Page	No.
Table (12):	Comparison between the three group regard percentage of change as reg NPQ, VAS and NDI	gard	99
Table (13):	Comparison between group I and II regard percentage of change as reg	I as gard	
Table (14):	Comparison between group I and II regard percentage of change as reg NPQ, VAS and NDI	gard	101
Table (15):	Comparison between group II and II regard percentage of change as reg NPQ, VAS and NDI	I as gard	
Table (16):	Correlation between age and duration pain and other scores of patients be	n of fore	104
Table (17):	Comparison between chronic pain graces scale (CPGS): grade before injection regard age	rade n as	
Table (18):	Correlation between duration of partial and other scores of patients be	pain fore	
Table (19):	injection. Comparison between different Cl before injection as regard duration	PGS	106
	pain	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	106

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (1):	Cervical vertebrae	
Figure (2):	Anterior view (A) and posterior view	
- 1 (a)	the atlanto-occipital joints	
Figure (3):	The ligaments in the cervical region	
	shown	
Figure (4):	Deep muscles of neck and upper back	
Figure (5):	A schematic diagram of nociception	
Figure (6):	Classification of peripheral nerve fibe	
Figure (7):	Terminate on projection neurons	
- 1 (0)	dorsal horn of the spinal cord	
Figure (8):	Main brain regions that activate du	-
	painful experience	
Figure (9):	Axial pain patterns provoked	
(1.5)	discography at each cervical level	
_	Diagnostic imaging pathways of neck	-
	Lateral view of plain X ray cervical s	
_	Different types of mesotherapy inject	
_	Stages of healing by prolotherapy	
Figure (14):	Prolotherapy for tendinosis: his	
	samples	
_	Extra-segmental effects	
_	Fu's subcutaneous needling needle	
Figure (17):	Plunger recommended by Chan Gu	
	inserting and manipulating needles.	
Figure (18):	Various lengths of dry needles within	_
	tubes	
_	The needle used in mesotherapy injection	
_	Patient during mesotherapy injection	
•	The needle used in prolotherapy injection	
•	Patient during prolotherapy injection	
Figure (23):	The needle used in dry needing inj	
	A: Guide tube: B: Needle: C: Plug	83

List of Figures (Cont...)

Fig. No.	Title	Page	No.
Figure (24):	Patient during dry needling injection.		83
Figure (25):	Demographic data of patients in the	three	
	groups before injection as regard A: a		
	gender and C: duration		88
Figure (26):	Comparison between group I befor		
	after injection as regard A: NPQ; B	: VAS	0.0
T ' (2 T)	score and C: NDI		90
Figure (27):	Comparison between group II befor		
	after injection as regard A: NPQ; B		00
T' (90)	score and C: NDI		92
Figure (28):	Comparison between group III before		
	after injection as regard A: NPQ; B		0.4
E' (00)	score and C: NDI		94
r igure (29):	Comparison between three groups		
	injection as regard A: NPQ; B: VAS and C: NDI.	score	O.C.
Figure (20).	Comparison between three groups	ofton	96
rigure (50):	1 0 1		
	injection as regard A: NPQ; B: VAS and C: NDI.	score	98
Figure (21).	Comparison of the three groups as a	rogard	90
rigure (51):	percentage of change as regard VAS s	_	99
Figure (29).	Comparison of group I and II as a		99
Figure (52).	percentage of change as regard VAS s	•	100
Figure (33).	Comparison of group II and III as a		100
1 1gui C (00).	percentage of change. A: NPQ; B:	_	
	score	. 1110	103
Figure (34):	Correlation between duration of pai	n and	
g	age		104
Figure (35):	Comparison between chronic pain	grade	202
8 (/	scale (CPGS) before injection as a		
	age	_	105
Figure (36):	Comparison between different chroni		
	grade scale (CPGS) before injecti		
	regard duration of pain.		107

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
<i>5-HT</i> :	Serotonin
<i>ACD</i> :	Acid-citrate dextrose
<i>Ach</i> :	Acetylcholine
<i>ADL</i> :	activities of daily living
<i>ADSCs</i> :	Adipose-derived stem/stromal cells
<i>AMPA</i> :	Alpha-Amino-3-hydroxyl- 5-methyl-4-isoxazole-propionate
<i>AP</i> :	Anteroposterior
<i>ASIA</i> :	American Spinal Injury Association
<i>ASICs</i> :	Acid-sensing ion channels
<i>ATP</i> :	$Adenosine\ triphosphate$
<i>BDI</i> :	Beck Depression Inventory
<i>BDNF</i> :	Brain derived neurotrophic factor
<i>BMSCs</i> :	Bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells
<i>BTA</i> :	$Botulinum\ toxin\ A$
<i>BTx</i> :	Botulinum toxin
<i>CBC</i> :	$Complete\ blood\ count$
<i>CGRP</i> :	Calcitonin gene-related peptide
<i>CNS</i> :	Central nervous system
<i>COX</i> :	Cyclo-oxygenase
<i>CPD:</i>	$Citrate ext{-}phosphate ext{-}dextrose$
<i>CPGS</i> :	Chronic pain grade scale
CRPS 1:	Complex Regional Pain Syndrome type 1
<i>CSP</i> :	Chronic spinal pain
<i>CVLI</i> :	Chronic venous lymphatic insufficiency
<i>DDN</i> :	Deep Dry needling
<i>DH:</i>	Dorsal Horn
<i>DMAE</i> :	Dimethylaminoethan ol
<i>DN</i> :	Dry needling

List of Abbreviations (Cont...)

Abb.	Full term
DNRI:	Dopamine Noradrenaline Reuptake Inhibitors
<i>DRG</i> :	Dorsal root ganglia
<i>EDTA</i> :	Ethylene diamine tetra-acetic acid
<i>ESIs</i> :	Epidural steroid injections
<i>ESR</i> :	Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate
<i>GBD</i> :	Global Burden of Disease
<i>IASP:</i>	International Association for the Study of Pain
<i>IED:</i>	Intraepidermic
<i>IM</i> :	Intramuscular
<i>IQR</i> :	Inter-quartile range
<i>LBP</i> :	Low Back Pain
<i>LIT</i> :	Local intradermal therapy
$LTRs \dots :$	Local twitch responses
<i>MPS</i> :	Myofascial pain Syndrome
<i>MRE</i> :	Magnetic Resonance Elastography
<i>MRI</i> :	Magnetic Resonance Imaging
<i>MSCs</i> :	Mesenchymal stem cells
<i>MTrPs</i> :	Myofascial Trigger Points
<i>NDI</i> :	Neck Disability Index
<i>NK1</i> :	Neurokinin 1
<i>NMDA</i> :	N- $methyl$ - D - $aspartate$
NPQ:	Northwick park neck pain questionnaire
<i>NSAIDs</i> :	$Non ext{-}Steroidal\ Anti ext{-}Inflammatory\ Drugs.$
<i>NSS</i> :	Normal saline solution
<i>OA</i> :	Osteoarthritis
<i>OFP:</i>	$Oedematous\ fibrosclerotic\ panniculopathy$
<i>PAG:</i>	Periaqueductal grey

List of Abbreviations (cont...)

Abb.	Full term
PC:	Phosphatidylcholine
<i>PPP</i> :	Point by point
<i>PRP</i> :	Platelet rich plasma
<i>PTH</i> :	Parathyroid Hormone
<i>RA</i> :	Rheumatoid Arthritis
RCR:	Rapid contraction response
<i>RIT:</i>	Regenerative Injection Therapy
<i>ROM:</i>	Range Of Motion
<i>RVM</i> :	$Rostroventro medial\ medulla$
sCt:	Salmon calcitonin
SDN:	Superficial Dry needling
<i>SEA</i> :	Spontaneous Electrical activity
<i>SIA</i> :	Stress-induced analgesia
<i>SNRIs</i> :	Serotonin Noradrenaline (Norepinephrine)
CCDI.	Reuptake Inhibitors
	Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors
	Tricyclic Antidepressant
	Transient Receptor Potential
	Transient receptor potential vanilloid
	The visual analog scale
WHO:	The World Health Organization

INTRODUCTION

Yeck pain is an important personal and societal burden, affecting 30–50% of adults in the general population in any given year. Approximately 50–85% of individuals with neck pain do not experience complete resolution of symptoms and some may go on to experience chronic, impairing pain (Goode et al., 2010).

The limitation of motion can have a devastating effect on activities of daily living. People may have difficulty driving safely and become confined to their homes. Workers may have increasing neck pain at their workplace. They may have problems remaining productive at their jobs, placing their employment at risk (Borenstein, 2007). Neck pain may cause absenteeism as frequently as low back pain (LBP). Like chronic LBP chronic neck pain is often unresponsive to treatment and costly in regard to direct and indirect costs (Goode et al., 2010). Chronic neck pain is a serious condition that must be treated aggressively (Borenstein, 2007).

Myofascial trigger points (MTrPs) can be involved in pain processes in patients with neck pain. Simons et al., defined an MTrP, as a hyperirritable spot in a taut band of a skeletal muscle that is painful on contraction, stretching, or stimulation and elicits a referred pain distant from the point (Muñoz-Muñoz et al., 2012). They are typically found proximal to an inflamed or painful joint such as the rectus femoris in patients



with knee osteoarthitis and paraspinal regions in the cervical and lumbar spine (Karrar and Mackworth-Young, 2015).

The majority of subjects with chronic impairing neck pain reported taking an over the counter non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). Twenty-nine percent of subjects strong narcotics (e.g., reported taking morphine oxycodone), whereas 23.1% reported taking weak narcotics (codeine or propoxyphene) for chronic impairing neck pain. Another commonly used medication was muscle relaxants, with almost one-third of the sample reporting use. Evidence on the effectiveness of medications in the treatment of chronic neck pain is quite limited (Goode et al., 2010).

Numerous noninvasive methods such as stretching, massage, ischemic compression, laser therapy, heat, transcutaneous ultrasound, electrical acupressure, stimulation and pharmacological treatments have been used to alleviate chronic myofascial pain, but no single strategy has been proved to be universally successful (Kalichman and *Vulfsons*, 2010).

Although injection, as interventional method, should be used cautiously in the setting of chronic pain, there is a role for a variety of injections (least interventional methods) to facilitate patients overall rehabilitation program (Singh et al., *2015*).



Injection therapy is found to be effective in decreasing pain and muscular spasm, increasing the range of motion (ROM) and local blood circulation, and cause fibrotic scar formation on trigger points. Local anesthetic, saline, steroid, NSAIDs, botulinum toxin, and dry needling techniques are used as local injections (Ay et al., 2010).

NSAIDs could be injected using mesotherapeutic technique which is mainly consist of subcutaneous injections (into the mesoderm, hence the term "mesotherapy") of steroids, NSAIDs and local anesthetics, administered alone or in various combinations can be injected (Hermann et al., 2008).

NSAIDs exhibit analgesic effects by COX inhibition and the reduction of prostaglandin and other inflammatory mediators. Nitric oxide seems to play a major part in local inflammation, and the injection of analgesic drugs may activate neuronal nitricoxide synthase to produce nitric oxide and consequently peripheral antinociception (Mammucari et al., 2012).

Dry needling (DN) is a minimally invasive method which is increasingly used for treatment of MTrPs. DN involves inserting a needle into an MTrP without injecting any medication. This technique is reported to be an effective and efficient treatment for reducing somatic pain and dysfunction associated with MTrPs in a muscle (Abbaszadeh-Amirdehi et al., 2013).

There are various DN modalities known for the treatment of MTrPs, and these can be classified in different ways, according to the various classification criteria. The most commonly used classification criterion is the depth of needle insertion. According to this, the different DN modalities can be classified into two categories: superficial DN (SDN) techniques, in which the needle does not reach the MTrP and stays in the tissues immediately overlying it and deep DN (DDN) techniques, in which the needle reaches the MTrP and passes through it (Del Moral and Salvat, 2015).

The most likely mechanisms of action of superficial needling are: the stimulation of A- δ nerve fibres performed by inserting a needle into the skin overlying the MTrP, the wellknown capacity for stimulation using needles to induce secretion of endogenous opioid peptides (e.g. enkephalins, dynorphins), the gate-control theory: the stimulation of A-β nerve fibers tends to 'close' the gate and inhibit the transmission of pain to the superior centres and hypothetical action over the autonomous nervous system, which is known to modulate the activity of MTrPs (*Del Moral and Salvat*, 2015).

Hyperosmolar dextrose or phenol-glycerin-glucose injection, is an irritant substance injected into a joint space, ligament, subcutaneous tissue or tendon insertion site (prolotherapy), is complementary medical treatment, with the main goal being pain relief. Many different solutions have been used through-out the past 100 years that this technique has been