

شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلو

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم





HANAA ALY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرونيله



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



HANAA ALY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكترونى والميكروفيلم

جامعة عين شمس التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها على هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



HANAA ALY



كلية العلوم - قسم الكيمياء



Highly fluorescent push-pull (D- π -A) systems for luminescent solar concentrators

A Thesis

Submitted for the Degree of Master of Science
As Partial Fulfillment for Requirements of Master of Science
"Chemistry Department"

By

Hussein Abdullah Zaky Sabek

B.Sc. in Special Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University

2016

Under Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Ayman Ayoub Abdel-Shafi

Professor of Inorganic and photochemistry, Faculty of Science,

Ain Shams University

Dr. Hesham Samir Abdel-Samad

Associate professor of Physical Chemistry, Faculty of Science,

Ain Shams University

Dr. Dina Salah Eldin Mohamed Abdelrahman

Lecturer of Biophysics, Department of Physics, Faculty of Science,
Ain Shams University



كلية العلوم - قسم الكيمياء



Approval Sheet

Highly fluorescent push-pull (D- π -A) systems for luminescent solar concentrators

By

Hussein Abdullah Zaky Sabek

B.Sc. in Special Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University

2016

This Thesis for Master Degree has been approved by:

Prof. Dr. Ayman Ayoub Abdel-Shafi

Professor of Inorganic and photochemistry, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University

Dr. Hesham Samir Abdel-Samad

Associate professor of Physical Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University.


Dr. Dina Salah Eldin Mohamed Abdelrahman

Lecturer of Biophysics, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University.

Head of Chemistry Department

Prof. Dr. Ayman Ayoub Abdel-Shafi







Student Name: Hussein Abdullah Zaky Sabek

Scientific Degree: M.Sc.

Faculty Name: Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University,

Chemistry Department

Graduation Year: 2016

Granting Year: 2021

Acknowledgment

All the praises and thanks be to Allah, who has guided me to this, and never could I have found guidance, were it not that Allah had guided me.

First and foremost, I am extremely grateful to my supervisors, Prof. Dr. Ayman A. Abdel-Shafi without your help and wise guidance and giving me the chance to be your student this work would have not been the same! And Dr. Hesham S. Abdel-Samad for their invaluable advice, continuous support, and patience during my M.Sc. study. Their immense knowledge and plentiful experience have encouraged me in all the time of my academic research and daily life. I would also like to thank Dr. Ahmed M. Azaly and Dr. Dina Salah for their technical support on my study. I would like to thank all the members in the inorganic and photochemistry department. It is their kind help and support that have made my study and life a wonderful time.

Finally, I would like to express my gratitude to my Family, my wife, my children and my friends and I especially mentioned Ch. Fathy Abdelwahab. Without their tremendous understanding and encouragement in the past few years, it would be impossible for me to complete my study.

Hussein Abdullah

Contents

| L | ist of F | igures | i |
|----|----------|--|----|
| L | ist of T | ables | i |
| L | ist of S | ymbols | ii |
| L | ist of A | bbreviations | i |
| A | im of V | Vork | i |
| Sı | ummar | ·y | i |
| C | hapter | I | 1 |
| | 1.1 | Introduction and Overview | 1 |
| | 1.2 | Abdel-Shafi and his co-workers | 14 |
| C | hapter | II | 16 |
| 2 | Materi | ials and Methods | 16 |
| | 2.1 | Materials | 16 |
| | 2.2 | UV-visible spectroscopy | 16 |
| | 2.3 | Photoluminescence | 16 |
| | 2.3.1 | Fluorescence Quantum Yield | 16 |
| | 2.4 | Photoluminescence Lifetime | 17 |
| | 2.4.1 | Time Resolved Fluorescence Lifetime Measurements | 17 |
| C | hapter | III | 21 |

| 3 | Results | and discussion2 | 1 |
|----------------|----------------|-------------------------------|----------------|
| | 3.1 | Steady-State Measurement | 21 |
| | 3.2 | Fluorescence lifetimes Decay: | 98 |
| | 3.3 | Solvent Polarity parameters: | 31 |
| | 3.3.1 | Bilot and Kawski: 13 | 32 |
| | 3.3.2 | Reichardt and Ravi et al.: 13 | 36 |
| | 3.3.3 | Kamlet and Taft Model: | 39 |
| | 3.3.4 | Catalán Model: | 1 5 |
| | 3.3.5 | Laurence et al Model: | 53 |
| Chapter IV 162 | | | 51 |
| 4 | Conclusions161 | | |
| C | Chapter V163 | | |
| 5 | References163 | | |

List of Figures

| Figure 1.1 | : | 2-fluoro-4-(5-(4-methoxyphenyl)thiophen-2- | |
|------------|---|---|------------|
| | , | yl) benzonitrile (MOT) | 15 |
| Figure 1.2 | : | 4-(5-(4-(dimethylamino)phenyl)thiophen-2-yl)-2- | |
| | | fluorobenzonitrile (DMAT) | 15 |
| Figure | : | normalized structureless absorption spectra of 2- | |
| Figure | - | fluoro-4-(5-(4-methoxyphenyl) thiophen-2- | 24 |
| 3.1.1 - | , | yl)benzonitrile (MOT) in different solvents separated | To |
| 3.1.16 | | per each solvent | 39 |
| Figure 3.1 | : | normalized structureless absorption spectra of 2- | |
| | - | fluoro-4-(5-(4-methoxyphenyl) thiophen-2- | |
| | , | yl)benzonitrile (MOT) in different solvents | 40 |
| Figure | : | normalized structureless absorption spectra of 4-(5- | |
| 3.2.1 - | | (4-(dimethylamino)phenyl)thiophen-2-yl)-2- | 41 |
| 3.2.16 | - | fluorobenzonitrile (DMAT) in different solvents | To |
| 3.2.10 | : | separated per each solvent | 56 |
| Figure 3.2 | : | normalized structureless absorption spectra of 4-(5- | |
| | | (4-(dimethylamino)phenyl)thiophen-2-yl)-2- | |
| | - | fluorobenzonitrile (DMAT) in different solvents | 5 <u>7</u> |
| Figure | : | normalized fluorescence emission spectra of MOT in | 60 |
| 3.3.1 - | ; | a wide range of solvents separated per each | To |
| 3.3.16 | : | solvent | 75 |
| Figure 3.3 | : | normalized fluorescence emission spectra of MOT in | |
| | ; | a wide range of solvents | 76 |
| Figure | : | normalized fluorescence emission spectra of DMAT | 77 |
| 3.4.1 - | İ | in a wide range of solvents separated per each | To |

| 3.4.16 | solvent | 92 |
|------------|---|-----------|
| Figure 3.4 | : normalized fluorescence emission spectra of DMAT in a wide range of solvents | 93 |
| Figure 3.5 | : shows that the Dependence of the fluorescence quantum yields, $\Phi_{\rm f}$, the fluorescence decay lifetime, τ , radiative rate constant, $k_{\rm r}$, and the nonradiative rate constant, $k_{\rm nr}$, for MOT and DMAT on the corre- | |
| | sponding emission energy, ν_{em} | 94 |
| Figure 3.6 | : shows the excitation of DMAT at two different emission wavelengths and emission at two different excitation wavelengths in neutral aqueous | |
| | solution | 95 |
| Figure 3.7 | : Show the fluorescence life time decay of 2-fluoro-4- (5-(4-methoxyphenyl) thiophen-2-yl) benzonitrile (MOT) in a wide range of solvents | 114 |
| Figure | : Show the fluorescence life time decay of 2-fluoro-4- | 99 |
| 3.7.1 - | (5-(4-methoxyphenyl) thiophen-2-yl) benzonitrile | |
| 3.7.15 | (MOT) in a wide range of solvents separated per each solvent | to 113 |
| Figure 3.8 | : Show the fluorescence life time decay of DMAT in a wide range of sovents | 131 |
| Figure | : Show the fluorescence life time decay of DMAT in a | 115 |
| 3.8.1 - | wide range of solvents separated per each solvent | to |
| 3.8.16 | | 130 |
| Figure 3.9 | : Plot of the Stokes shift, Δv , versus the solvent polarity function, $f(\epsilon, n)$, according to Eq. 3 for DMAT and MOT, respectively | 134 |

| Figure 3.10 | : Plot of the Stokes shift, $\Delta \nu$, versus the solvent | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| | polarity function, f (ϵ , n), according to Eq. 4 for | |
| | DMAT and MOT, respectively | 135 |
| Figure 3.11 | : Shows two groups of plots for each compound de- | |
| | pending to the hydrogen bonding interaction prop- | |
| | erties of the solvents, namely protic and aprotic sol- | |
| | vents | 136 |
| Figure 3.12 | : Represent the calculated values of the absorption | |
| | energy, \mathbf{v}_a according to Eq. 14 versus the corre- | |
| | sponding experimental values according to Kamlet– | |
| | Taft relationship | 142 |
| Figure 3.13 | : Represent the calculated values of the emission en- | |
| | ergy, ν_e according to Eq. 14 versus the correspond- | |
| | ing experimental values according to Kamlet–Taft | |
| | relationship | 143 |
| Figure 3.14 | : Represent the calculated values of the the Stokes | |
| | shift, according to Eq. 14 versus the corresponding | |
| | experimental values according to Kamlet–Taft rela- | |
| | tionship | 144 |
| Figure 3.15 | : Represent the calculated values of the radiative rate | |
| | constant, $k_{\rm r}$, according to Eq. 14 versus the corre- | |
| | sponding experimental values according to Kamlet— | |
| | Taft relationship | 145 |
| Figure 3.16 | : Represent the calculated values of the absorption | |
| | energy, \mathbf{v}_a versus the corresponding experimental | |
| | values according to Catalán relationship (insets | |
| | show the relative contribution) | 150 |

| Figure 3.17 | : | Represent the calculated values of the emission en- | |
|-------------|---|--|-----|
| | | ergy, v_e versus the corresponding experimental val- | |
| | | ues according to Catalán relationship (insets show | |
| | | the relative contribution) | 151 |
| Figure 3.18 | : | Represent the calculated values of the Stokes shift, | |
| | | versus the corresponding experimental values ac- | |
| | | cording to Catalán relationship (insets show the rel- | |
| | | ative contribution) | 152 |
| Figure 3.19 | : | Represent the calculated values of the radiative rate | |
| | | constant, $k_{\rm r}$, versus the corresponding experimental | |
| | | values according to Catalán relationship (insets | |
| | | show the relative contribution) | 153 |
| Figure 3.20 | : | Represent the calculated values of the absorption | |
| | | energy, ν_{a} , versus the corresponding experimental | |
| | | values according to Laurence relationship (insets | |
| | | show the relative contribution of the regression co- | |
| | | efficients) | 158 |
| Figure 3.21 | : | Represent the calculated values of the emission en- | |
| | | ergy, $\nu_{\it e}$, versus the corresponding experimental val- | |
| | | ues according to Laurence relationship (insets show | |
| | | the relative contribution of the regression coeffi- | |
| | | cients) | 159 |
| Figure 3.22 | : | Represent the calculated values of the Stokes shift, | |
| | | versus the corresponding experimental values ac- | |
| | | cording to Laurence relationship (insets show the | |
| | | relative contribution of the regression coefficients) | |
| | | | 160 |
| Figure 3.23 | : | Represent the calculated values of the radiative rate | 161 |

| constant, $k_{\rm r}$, versus the corresponding experimental |
|---|
| values according to Laurence relationship (insets |
| show the relative contribution of the regression co- |
| efficients) |

List of Tables

| Table 3.1 | : | The wavelengths of maximum absorption of MOT | |
|-----------|---|---|----|
| | | in different solvents and calculated fluorescence life- | |
| | | time decay, quantum yield of fluorescence, radiative | |
| | | and non-radiative rate constants | 22 |
| Table 3.2 | : | The wavelengths of maximum absorption of | |
| | | DMAT in different solvents and calculated fluores- | |
| | | cence life-time decay, quantum yield of fluorescence, | |
| | | radiative and non-radiative rate constants | 23 |