



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرو فيلم

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



HANAA ALY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



HANAA ALY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



HANAA ALY



Ain Shams University
Faculty of Engineering
Structural Engineering Department

Behavior of Deep Beams using Different Concrete Grades

Thesis

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of

Master of Science
in
Civil Engineering (Structures)

by

Noha Ahmed Metwally Ahmed

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Ayman Hussein Hosny Khalil

Professor of Reinforced Concrete Structures
Ain Shams University

Dr. Ezz Elden Moustafa

Assistant Professor, Structures Engineering Dept.
Ain Shams University

Ain Shams University
Cairo-2021



Ain Shams University
Faculty of Engineering
Department of Structural Engineering

Behavior of Deep Beam using Different Concrete Grades

By

Noha Ahmed Metwally Ahmed

B.Sc. (2008)
Civil Engineering Department
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University
Cairo- Egypt

EXAMINERS COMMITTEE

Signature

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Elsaeed Essa

.....

Professor of Reinforced Concrete Structures
Faculty of Engineering - Cairo University

Prof. Amr Hussein Abdel Azim Zaher

.....

Professor of Reinforced Concrete Structures
Faculty of Engineering - Ain Shams University

Prof. Ayman Hussein Hosny Khalil

.....

Professor of Reinforced Concrete Structures
Faculty of Engineering - Ain Shams University

Date: Oct. 2021

STATEMENT

This thesis is submitted to Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt, as a partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science (M.Sc.) in Civil Engineering (Structural), Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University.

The experimental and numerical works included in this thesis was carried out by the Author at Ain Shams University, Faculty of Engineering, Reinforced Concrete Laboratory, Cairo, Egypt. No part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree or qualification at any other university or institute.

Name : Noha Ahmed Metwally Ahmed

Signature : *Noha Ahmed*

Date : Oct. 2021

RESEARCHER DATA

Name	:	Noha Ahmed Metwally Ahmed
Date of birth	:	19 January 1986
Place of birth	:	Cairo, Egypt
Academic Degree	:	B.Sc. in Structural Engineering
University	:	Ain Shams University
Date	:	2008
Current job	:	Senior team leader structural engineer

ABSTRACT

The deep beam is a beam having a small shear span depth ratio. Because of the geometry of deep beams, their behavior is different from slender beams. Deep beams have many applications for both residential and commercial building structures such as transfer girders, transfer caps of high-rise buildings and as part of a lateral load resisting system (Outriggers)...etc. The use of deep beams has increased rapidly because of their convenience and economic efficiency.

The current research program presents both experimental and numerical studies to investigate the behavior of simply supported deep beams having layers of concrete of different grades (25 MPa and 50 MPa) subjected to vertical loads such as (Cracking-deflection-ultimate loads). All deep beams were exposed to vertical concentrated load at mid-span up to failure. All specimens were fabricated and tested in the Laboratory of Reinforcement Concrete of Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University. An experimental program is carried out using five simply supported deep beams with the same dimensions; specimens with an effective span of 1100 mm, width of 200 mm and a height of 1000 mm, specimens also share same top, bottom, vertical, and horizontal reinforcement. Five numerical models were developed using a non linear finite-element analysis software (ABAQUS 2017), all models were verified against the experimental results and showed good agreement with the test data. The finite element analysis was extended to investigate another parameters:

Effect of load locations (at mid span and quarter span) and type of loads (concentrated and uniform).

Both the experimental and numerical studies showed that failure of tested deep beams was mainly due to diagonal cracks. Changing compressive

strength of concrete from 25 MPa to 50 MPa results in less deflection, higher value of cracking load and a higher to failure load. Casting concrete on different layers causes the first cracks appear at earlier load than in beam with one grade of concrete. . It was also found that the best flexural behavior of deep beams is obtained when the bottom layer is casted using high concrete compressive strength and gives results (deflection, and failure load) close to results of deep beam casted with high compressive strength. Also it was observed that the position of the applied vertical load at quarter span compared at mid span leads to decreases in the ultimate capacity of deep beam and finally the deep beam which is subjected to a distributed vertical load has a higher ultimate vertical load capacity compared to the deep beam which is subjected to concentrated vertical load.

Keywords: Deep beams, Cracking pattern, Deflection, Experimental study, High compressive strength (HCS), Normal compressive strength (NCS).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First of all, I would like to express my great thanks to **Allah**, who gave me the strength, ability, and conciliation to achieve this work.

Then I would like to record and express my sincerest gratitude and appreciation to my advisor, **Prof. Dr. Ayman Hussein Hosny**. I will fondly remember the hours upon hours of conversations with him discussing in deep beam reinforced concrete, and many other technical topics.

I would also like to extend sincere thanks to my advisors, **Dr. Ezz Elden Mahmoud** for his guidance, expert instruction, and the investments he has made in me throughout the research duration, allowing me to be involved in such interesting research.

Many people supported me in ways beyond what I could have asked for during the completion of this thesis. I would like to thank Dr. Ahmed Hassan for his support and cooperation with me to complete the experimental program.

Most importantly, I would like to thank my Mum Fatma Ali, her unwavering love and support significantly contributed to my success throughout the completion of this thesis. I lovingly dedicate this to her. Also, I would not be where I am today if it weren't for the support and love of my sisters Hanan Ahmed and Rasha Ahmed, thank you for always helping me be my best.

TABLE OF CONTENT

STATEMENT	II
RESEARCHER DATA	III
ABSTRACT.....	IV
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	VI
CHAPRER(1) INTRODUCTION	I
1.1 GENERAL	1
1.2 OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE.....	1
1.3 THESIS OUTLINES	2
CHAPRER(2) LITERATURE REVIEW	4
2.1 INTRODUCTION	4
2.2 DEEP BEAM BEHAVIOR	4
2.3 STRUT AND TIE METHOD	5
2.3.1 Elements of a Strut and Tie Model.....	9
2.3.2 Modes of failure.....	9
2.3.3 Configurations for Strut and Tie Models.....	10
2.3.4 Selection of Strut and Tie Model for practical analysis	11
2.4 EFFECT OF CONCRETE STRENGTH ON DEEP BEAM BEHAVIOR	12
2.4.1 Experimental investigation (Previous studies on Deep beams)	12 12
2.5 CODES' SHEAR STRENGTH CALCULATION PROCEDURE FOR DEEP BEAMS.....	19 19
2.5.1 Egyptian Code ECP 203-2020 [9].....	19
2.5.2 American Concrete Institute (ACI 318-19) [10]	24
2.5.3 CIRIA Guide [25]	27
CHAPRER(3) EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM.....	30
3.1 INTRODUCTION	30
3.2 MATERIAL PROPERTIES.....	30
3.2.1 Concrete	30
3.2.2 Reinforcement rebars.....	31
3.3 SPECIMENS' CONFIGURATION.....	31

3.4	SPECIMENS' PARAMETERS.....	33
3.5	FORMWORK	36
3.6	FABRICATION OF TEST SPECIMENS.....	36
3.7	TEST SETUP AND LOADING PROGRAM	38
3.8	INSTRUMENTATION	39
3.8.1	Load Cell.....	39
3.8.2	LVDT's.....	39
3.8.3	Strain Gauges.....	40
3.8.4	Data Acquisition	41
CHAPRER(4) ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION OF EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS		42
4.1	INTRODUCTION	42
4.2	CRACK PROPAGATION AND FAILURE MODE.....	42
4.2.1	Specimen (B1)	42
4.2.2	Specimen (B2)	43
4.2.3	Specimen(B3)	44
4.2.4	Specimen (B4)	46
4.2.5	Specimen (B5)	47
4.2.6	Summary of experimental test result.....	49
4.3	LOAD DEFLECTION BEHAVIOR FOR TESTED SPECIMENS	49
4.3.1	Load deflection behavior for specimen (B1).....	51
4.3.2	Load deflection behavior for specimen (B2).....	51
4.3.3	Load deflection behavior for specimen (B3).....	51
4.3.4	Load deflection behavior for specimen (B4).....	51
4.3.5	Load deflection behavior for specimen (B5).....	51
4.4	STEEL STRAIN BEHAVIOR FOR TESTED SPECIMENS.....	55
4.4.1	Steel Strain behavior in tension reinforcement for tested specimens.....	55
4.4.2	Steel strain behavior in side bar for tested specimens.....	59
4.4.3	Steel strain behavior in stirrups near supports for tested specimens.....	62
CHAPRER(5) THEORETICAL INVESTIGATION.....		66
5.1	INTRODUCTION:	66
5.2	FINITE ELEMENT MODEL.....	67
5.3	MATERIAL MODEL	70

5.3.1	Steel modelling	70
5.3.2	Concrete modelling	71
5.4	CONSTRAINTS	74
5.4.1	Contact between concrete and steel reinforcement	74
5.4.2	Contact between layers of concrete	75
5.4.3	Contact between deep beam and steel support	76
5.4.4	Contact between deep beam and loading plate	76
5.5	LOADING AND BOUNDARY CONDITIONS	77
5.5.1	Applied loads	77
5.5.2	Support modelling	78
5.6	VALIDATION OF FINITE ELEMENTS MODEL	78
5.6.1	Introduction	78
5.6.2	Load-deflection behavior	79
5.6.3	Failure Modes	84
5.6.4	Steel strain behavior	88
5.6.5	Model validity	91
5.7	PARAMETRIC STUDY	91
5.7.1	Load deflection behavior	92
5.7.2	Steel strain behavior	96
CHAPRER(6) SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS		99
6.1	SUMMARY	99
6.2	CONCLUSIONS	99
6.3	RECOMMENDATIONS:	100
REFERENCES		101
APPENDIX (A)		104
A.1 COMPARISON BETWEEN EXPERIMENTAL FAILURE LOAD, STM FAILURE LOAD AND EGYPTIAN CODE FAILURE LOAD		105

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2. 1-	Deep beams carrying concentrated loads [19]	5
Figure 2. 2 –	Description of strut and tie model [9]	6

Figure 2. 3– (a) Direct strut and tie model, (b) indirect strut and tie model and (c) combined strut and tie model [20]	10
Figure 2. 4– Direct Strut and Tie Model [20]	11
Figure 2. 5- Critical section in shear design [7]	19
Figure 2. 6- Strut and Tie Model for deep beam [8].....	23
Figure 2. 7- Notation for the Ciria guide Code method [24]	27
Figure 3. 1 - Specimen dimensions.....	32
Figure 3. 2 - Reinforcement details of tested specimens.....	32
Figure 3. 3 – Specimen dimensions and compressive strength for specimen (B1).....	33
Figure 3. 4 - Specimen dimensions and compressive strength for specimen (B2).....	33
Figure 3. 5 - Specimen dimensions and compressive strength for specimen (B3).....	34
Figure 3. 6 - Specimen dimensions and compressive strength for specimen (B4).....	34
Figure 3. 7 - Specimen dimensions and compressive strength for specimen (B5).....	35
Figure 3. 8 - Preparation of formwork.....	36
Figure 3. 9 - Placement of reinforcement	37
Figure 3. 10 - Specimens after removing formwork	37
Figure 3. 11 - Test and loading setup for tested specimens.....	38
Figure 3. 12 - Location of LVDT in the specimen	39
Figure 3. 13 - Locations of the steel strain gauges in all specimens	40
Figure 4. 1 - Cracks pattern for Specimen (B1)	43
Figure 4. 2 – Failure mode for Specimen (B1).....	43

Figure 4. 3 – Crack pattern at maximum load cell for Specimen (B2)	44
Figure 4. 4 - Crack pattern for Specimen (B3)	45
Figure 4. 5 - Failure mode for Specimen (B3)	45
Figure 4. 6 - Crack pattern for specimen (B4).....	47
Figure 4. 7 - Failure mode for specimen (B4)	47
Figure 4. 8 - Crack pattern for specimen (B5).....	48
Figure 4. 9 - Failure mode for specimen (B5)	49
Figure 4. 10 – Displacement – Ductility ratio	50
Figure 4. 11 – Load – deflection behavior for specimen (B1)	52
Figure 4. 12 - Load – deflection behavior for specimen (B2)	53
Figure 4. 13 - Load – deflection behavior for specimen (B3)	53
Figure 4. 14 - Load – deflection behavior for specimen (B4)	54
Figure 4. 15 - Load – deflection behavior for specimen (B5)	54
Figure 4. 16 - Load – deflection behavior for all tested specimens	55
Figure 4. 17 – Load versus tension steel strain for specimen (B1)	56
Figure 4. 18 - Load versus tension steel strain for specimen (B2).....	56
Figure 4. 19 - Load versus tension steel strain for specimen (B3).....	57
Figure 4. 20 - Load versus tension steel strain for specimen (B4).....	57
Figure 4. 21 - Load versus tension steel strain for specimen (B5).....	58
Figure 4. 22 - Load versus tension steel strain for all tested specimens ..	58
Figure 4. 23 - Load versus steel strain at middle side bar for specimen (B1)	59
Figure 4. 24 - Load versus steel strain at middle side bar for specimen (B2)	60
Figure 4. 25 - Load versus steel strain at middle side bar for specimen (B3)	60
Figure 4. 26 - Load versus steel strain at middle side bar for specimen (B4)	61