

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



-C-02-50-2-





شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكرونيلم





جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة يعيدا عن الغيار













بالرسالة صفحات لم ترد بالأصل







A Randomized Controlled Trial to Study the Effect of Energy Reduced Diet rich in Calcium on Weight Loss Among Obese Egyptian Females Attending Obesity Management Clinic at National Nutrition Institute

Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of M.D. Degree in Public Health and Community Medicine

By

Ola Shawky Ahmed

(M.B., B. Ch., M.Sc.)

Supervisors

Prof. Samia Ismail El-Damaty

Professor of Public Health in Community, Environmental and Occupational Medicine Department Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Prof. Maysa Ahmed Samy

Professor of Public Health and Community Medicine National Nutrition Institute

Prof. Dina Nabih Kamel Boulos

Professor of Public Health in Community, Environmental and Occupational Medicine Department Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Dr. Dalia Gaber Sos

Assistant Professor of Epidemiology in Community, Environmental and Occupational Medicine Department Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Acknowledgment

First and foremost, thanks to ALAH, the most merciful and compassionate, who permitted the completion of this piece of work.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude and thanks to everyone that helped, encouraged and believed in the value of this piece of work.

Foremost, I would like to express my sincere appreciation to **Prof. Samia Ismail El-Damaty**, Professor of Public Health in Community, Environmental and Occupational Medicine Department Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University as a supervisor of this work and her great help of supervision. No word can fulfill the feeling of gratitude and respect I carry for her.

I would like to express my sincere thanks to **Prof. Dina**Mabih Kamel Boulos, Professor of Public Health in

Community, Environmental and Occupational Medicine

Department, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University for his

continuous work, wise advice, cooperation and patience through this

work.

I would like to express my deepest thanks to my dearest **Dr. Dalia Gaber Sos.** Assistant Professor of Epidemiology in Community, Environmental and Occupational Medicine Department Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University for her great help of supervision & continuous encouragement.

I also deeply thank **Prof.** Maysa Ahmed Samy, Professor of Public Health and Community Medicine, National Nutrition Institute for her great support, no word can describe her attitude with me.

At last, but definitely not least, I would like to thank my entire family for their support and encouragement. No dedication can match theirs. Specially my husband and my children.

Cla Shawky Ahmed

List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	i
List of Figures	vii
List of Abbreviations	
Abstract	xiii
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work	5
Review of Literature	
Obesity	6
Obesity Related Co-Morbidities and Mortalities	23
Complications of Obesity	
Anti-Obesity Effect of Calcium	
Comprehensive Obesity Management	
Patients and Methods	
Results	104
Discussion	
Conclusion	176
Recommendations	177
Summary	179
References	
Appendix	248
Arabic Summary	

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Tables of Re	eview	
Table (1):	Diagnostic Criteria for Meta Syndrome	47
Table (2):	Proposed mechanisms by v abdominal obesity causes reflux	vhich
Table (3):	Study time table	
Tables of Re		
Table (1):	Comparison between intervention control groups regarding to S demographic data	Socio-
Table (2):	Comparison between intervention control groups regarding to family histochronic diseases data	and ory of
Table (3):	Comparison between intervention	and
Table (4):	control groups regarding to life style of Comparison between intervention control groups regarding to base	and
Table (5):	anthropometric measures Comparison between intervention control groups regarding to bas	and eline
Table (6):	laboratory investigations Change in mean of weight during foup in intervention group	ollow
Table (7):	Change in mean of weight during for	ollow
Table (8):	up in control group Comparison between intervention control groups regarding to change	and ge in
Table (9):	weight during follow up Comparison between intervention and cogroups regarding to anthropometric mea	ontrol
	after intervention	

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (10):	Comparison between intervention control groups regarding percent of we change	eight
Table (11):	Comparison between anthropomer measures before and after intervention intervention group	etric n in
Table (12):	Comparison between anthropome measures before and after intervention control group	etric n in
Table (13):	Comparison between intervention control groups regarding to change	and e in
Table (14):	weight Comparison between intervention control groups regarding to change in 1	and
Table (15):	Comparison between intervention control groups regarding to change in W	and Vaist
Table (16):	Comparison between intervention control groups regarding to change in Circumference	and Hip
Table (17):	Comparison between intervention control groups regarding to change in	and Fat
Table (18):	percentage	and tory
Table (19):	investigations after intervention	itory
Table (20):	in intervention group:	itory
	in control group	

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (21):	Comparison between intervention control groups regarding change Calcium level	in
Table (22):	Comparison between intervention control groups regarding to change Triglyceride level:	and e in
Table (23):	Comparison between intervention control groups regarding to change cholesterol level	and e in
Table (24):	Comparison between intervention control groups regarding to change in level	LDL
Table (25):	Comparison between intervention control groups regarding to change HDL level	and e in
Table (26):	Comparison between intervention control groups regarding to change HbA1c.	and e in
Table (27):	Comparison between intervention grand control group regarding to calciprotein, carbohydrate and fat in the visit	coup ries, first
Table (28):	Comparison between intervention grand control group regarding to mineral the first visit	coup ls in
Table (29):	Comparison between intervention grand control group regarding to vitaming the first visit	roup is in
Table (30):	Comparison between intervention grand control group regarding to calcuprotein, carbohydrate and fat in the	oup ries,
	visit	

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (31):	Comparison between intervention control groups regarding to mineral the last visit	s in
Table (32):	Comparison between intervention control groups regarding to vitamin the last visit	and s in
Table (33):	Comparison between intervention grand control group regarding to calciprotein, carbohydrate and fat in the visit	ries, first
Table (34):	Comparison between intervention grand control group regarding to minerathe first visit	oup ls in
Table (35):	Comparison between intervention grand control group regarding to vitaming the first visit	oup is in
Table (36):	Comparison between intervention grand control group regarding to calcoprotein, carbohydrate and fat in the visit	coup ries, last
Table (37):	Comparison between intervention grand control group regarding to minera the last visit	oup ls in
Table (38):	Comparison between intervention grand control group regarding to vitamir the last visit	coup
Table (39):	Comparison between intervention grand control group in calories, protection grand fat regarding to mea	coup tein,
Table (40):	visits	145 coup
	mean of visits	146

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (41):	Comparison between intervention grand control group in vitamins regarding mean of visits	g to
Table (42):	Comparison between mean of first last visits in intervention group regard to calories, protein, carbohydrate and f	and ding
Table (43):	Comparison between mean of first last visits in intervention group regard to minerals	ding 149
Table (44):	Comparison between mean of first last visits in intervention group regard to vitamins	ding
Table (45):	Comparison between mean of first last visits in control group regarding calories, protein, carbohydrate and fat.	g to 151
Table (46):	Comparison between mean of first last visits in control group regarding minerals	g to
Table (47):	Comparison between mean of first last visits in control group regarding vitamins	g to
Table (48):	Comparison between daily serving into of food groups in the intervention control groups regarding to first visit	and
Table (49):	Comparison between daily serving into of food groups in the intervention control groups regarding to last visit	take and
Table (50):	Comparison between mean amount into of food groups in the intervention control groups regarding to first visit	take and
Table (51):	Comparison between mean amount into of food groups in the intervention control groups regarding to last visit	take and
	continui groups regarding to tast visit	190

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (52):	Comparison between mean daily serv	ving
	intake of food groups regarding to	first
	and last visit in intervention group	159
Table (53):	Comparison between mean daily serv	ving
	intake of food groups regarding to	first
	and last visit in control group	160
Table (54):	Comparison between mean amount int	ake
	of food groups regarding to first and	last
	visit in intervention group	161
Table (55):	Comparison between mean amount int	ake
	of food groups regarding to first and	last
	visit in control group	162

List of Figures (Cont...)

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.		
Figures of I	Review			
Figure (1):	Worldwide prevalence of obesity Organization for Economic Co-oper and Development (OECD) h statistics	ation ealth		
Figure (2):	The possible mechanisms for the obesity effects of dietary calcium			
Figures of I	Figures of Results			
Figure (1):	Comparison between intervention control groups regarding to chang weight during follow up.	ge in		
Figure (2):	Comparison between intervention control groups regarding percent of with the change	eight		
Figure (3):	Comparison between intervention control groups regarding to chang weight	ge in		
Figure (4):	Comparison between intervention control groups regarding to chang BMI.	ge in		
Figure (5):	Comparison between intervention control groups regarding to chang Waist Circumference.	ge in		
Figure (6):	Comparison between intervention control groups regarding to change in			
Figure (7):	Comparison between intervention control groups regarding to change is percentage.	n Fat		