

شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلو

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم





HANAA ALY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرونيله



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



HANAA ALY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكترونى والميكروفيلم

جامعة عين شمس التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها على هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



HANAA ALY



The Effect of Mediolateral Episiotomy on Obstetric Anal Sphincter Injuries following Vaginal Delivery

Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfilment of M.D. Degree in Obstetrics & Gynaecology

Presented by

Khadiga Mostafa Hussein Hegab

M.B.B.Ch. (2011), M. Sc. (2016) Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University Assistant Lecturer in Obstetrics and Gynecology Ain Shams Maternity University Hospital

Supervised by

Prof. Hisham Mohammed Fathy

Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Prof. Haitham Abd EL-Mohsen Sabae

Assistant Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Prof. Heba Abd EL-Basset Allam

Assistant Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University
2021

Acknowledgments

First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **Allah** the Most Beneficent and Merciful.

I wish to express my deepest thanks, gratitude and appreciation to **Prof. Wisham Mohammed Fathy**, Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his meticulous supervision, kind guidance, valuable instructions and generous help.

Special thanks are due to **Prof. Haitham Abd EL-Mohsen Sabae**, Assistant Professor of Obstetrics and
Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University,
for his sincere efforts, fruitful encouragement.

I am deeply thankful to **Prof.** Weba Abd &L-Basset Allam, Assistant Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for her great help, outstanding support, active participation and guidance.

I would like to express my hearty thanks to all my family for their support till this work was completed.

Last but not least my sincere thanks and appreciation to all patients participated in this study.

Khadiga Mostafa Hussein Hegab

Tist of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	i
List of Figures	ii
List of Abbreviations	iv
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work	5
Review of Literature	
Episiotomy	6
Obstetric Anal sphincter InjurieS (OASIS)	28
Transperineal Ultrasound	50
Subjects and Methods6	
Results	72
Discussion	87
Summary and Conclusion	93
Recommendations	95
References	96
Appendix	
Appendix 1	119
Appendix 2	122
• Appendix 3	123
Arabic Summary	

List of Tables

Table No.	Title Page N	1 0.	
	Tables of Review		
Table I:	Complications of episiotomy	26	
Table II:	Classification of perineal tears	31	
Table III:	Risk factors for obstetric anal sphincter injury	35	
Table IV:	Complications of OASIS	.45	
Table V:	Classification of perineal tears	65	
Tables of Results			
Table 1:	Maternal, fetal and neonatal characteristics among the studied groups	75	
Table 2:	Perineal tear among the studied groups	76	
Table 3:	Perineal tear grade in cases with perineal tear among the studied groups	78	
Table 4:	OASIS among the studied groups	79	
Table 5:	Comparison according to final episiotomy status regarding maternal characteristics		
Table 6:	Episiotomy angle (degree) among the studied groups	82	
Table 7:	Comparison according to final episiotomy status regarding perineal tear	83	
Table 8:	Comparison according to final episiotomy status regarding perineal tear grade		
Table 9:	Multi-Regression analysis of the Dependent Variable in women who sustained an OASIS	85	
Table 10:	Calculated Relative Risk Ratio (RRR): (episiotomy versus no episiotomy)	86	

Tist of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figures of Review		
Figure I:	Anatomical and surface borders of female perineum	
Figure II:	Contents of female perineum	10
Figure III:	Some of the muscles that share in perineal body	
Figure IV:	Neurovascular supply of the perineu	ım12
Figure V:	The Pudendal nerve origin, course branches	
Figure VI:	Diagrammatic representation of anal sphincters	
Figure VII:	Types of Episiotomy	17
Figure VIII:	Classification of perineal tears	32
Figure IX:	Episcissors-60 TM	37
Figure X:	(A) Third degree tear affecting the sphincter; (B) fourth degree extending to the anal mucosa	tear
Figure XI:	(A) End-to-end; (B) overlap technic (C) IAS repair	ique;
Figure XII:	Endo-anal ultrasound left	52
Figure XIII:	The technique of transperiultrasound examination	
Figure XIV:	Scanning the sphincter in transverse plane	
Figure XV:	(a) The normal anatomy visible of median sagittal section of the larectum and the anal sphincter. (b) drawing highlighting the structure seen on the scan	ower Line cures
Figure XVI:	The normal anal sphincter unit	

Tist of Figures cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.		
Figure XVII:	Ultrasound imaging of anal sphi			
Figure XVIII	Patient with a third-degree sphincter laceration at the time of first delivery	f her		
Figure XIX:	Patient with fecal incontinence resu from a fourth-degree sphincter which happened during vaginal deli	tear,		
Figures of Results				
Figure 1:	CONSORT 2010 Flow Diagram of studied cases			
Figure 2:	Perineal tear among the studied group	os77		
Figure 3:	Unplanned episiotomy among episiotomy group			
Figure 4:	Perineal tear grade in cases with per tear among the studied groups			
Figure 5:	OASIS among the studied groups	79		
Figure 6:	Comparison according to final episio status regarding second stage duration	•		
Figure 7:	Comparison according to final episio status regarding perineal tear	•		
Figure 8:	Comparison according to final episio status regarding perineal tear grade	•		
Figure 9:	Calculated Relative Risk Ratio (Fepisiotomy versus no episiotomy)	*		

Tist of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
2D IIS	Two-dimensional ultrasound
	Three-dimensional ultrasound
	Anal Sphincter Complex
ACOG	The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists
BMI	Body Mass Index
CTG	Cardiotocography
EAS	External Anal Sphincter
EAUS	Endoanal Ultrasound
IAS	Internal Anal sphincter
ITD	Inter tuberous diameter
JAMA	The Journal of the American Medical
	Association
MLE	Medio-Lateral Episiotomy
MRI	Magnetic Resonance Imaging
NICE	National Institute for Health and Care Excellence
OASIS	Obstetric Anal Sphincter InjurieS
PB	
PPH	Postpartum haemorrhage
RCOG	Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists
RRR	
SPA	Sub-pubic arch
	Trans-Perineal Ultrasound
US	Ultrasound
Vs	Versus

on

Introduction

n a woman's life, childbirth is the moment of greatest impact on the muscles of the pelvic floor and the perineal body. During vaginal delivery, many women develop trauma to the perineum, these tears can involve the perineal skin, the pelvic floor muscles, the external and internal anal sphincter muscles as well as the rectal mucosa (*Walsh and Grivell*, 2015).

The probability of this injury depends on the type of delivery; its association with forceps delivery is well established (*Garriga et al.*, 2011; *Krofta et al.*, 2009).

Obstetrical anal sphincter injuries (OASIS) are a major risk factor for subsequent development of anal incontinence (Huebner et al., 2013; LaCross et al., 2015; Ozyurt et al., 2015; Richter et al., 2015; Sultan et al., 1993).

Obstetric anal sphincter injury (OASIS) is defined as trauma that includes third- and fourth-degree tears. They are associated with high rates of short and long-term consequences for women (*Pierce et al.*, 2015).

The incidence of OASIS varies largely between countries and delivery units, 1.0-5.85% (*Hals et al.*, 2010).

OASIS is still mostly diagnosed immediately after delivery by clinical examination of the perineum. This

examination is subjective, and studies showed that sphincter injuries are often missed clinically (Andrews et al., 2006; Fletcher et al., 2003; Gupta et al., 2003).

Clinical assessment of perineal tears can often be difficult due to multiple factors: poor lighting, difficulty identifying anatomy and maternal discomfort during examination. This can lead to a potential classification of an OASIS as second-degree perineal trauma (Andrews et al., 2006).

Mediolateral episiotomy (MLE), a surgical enlargement of the vaginal orifice by a mediolateral incision in the perineum during the last part of the second stage of labour, is often performed, in order to prevent OASIS (Kalis et al., 2008).

Nevertheless, studies have not clearly demonstrated the efficacy of episiotomy in the prevention of anal incontinence (Hartmann et al., 2005).

Most studies examining whether episiotomies prevent OASIS and/or anal incontinence did not account for episiotomy technique, which has been shown to be an important determinant in preventing sphincter injury (*Eogan et al.*, 2006).

The angle of the episiotomy away from the midline has been proven to be crucial in reducing the incidence of OASIS (Stedenfeldt et al., 2012).

The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) recommends an angle of 45-60 degrees from the midline (*NICE*, 2007).

Primary repair of OASIS has been shown to be associated with 63% evidence of a good outcome versus secondary repair where the result can decrease to be less than 50% continence (Oliver et al., 2008).

Primary diagnosis of an obstetric anal sphincter injury is important, as an unrepaired or defect anal sphincter muscle increases the risk and prevalence of anal incontinence (Roos et al., 2010).

Transperineal ultrasound (TPUS) is a more accurate and objective method to diagnose OASIS. It has been shown that ultrasound performed prior to repair of visible obstetric trauma could improve detection rates of OASIS and allow for improved surgical repair, reducing the morbidity suffered by women undergoing vaginal birth (Andrews et al., 2006).

Ultrasound has proven to be a reproducible technique in the interobserver studies published (Dietz and Steensma, 2006), especially when ultrasound tomography images are used (Dietz, 2007).

In our setting, episiotomy is performed relatively frequently in primigravidas, on the grounds that it protects the pelvic floor. However recently, papers published in the



literature argue for selective rather than systematic use of the procedure (Carroli and Belizan, 2000).

In general, the effect of episiotomy on the obstetric anal sphincter has not been properly understood.

The objective of our study is to determine whether mediolateral episiotomy reduces the incidence of OASIS diagnosed immediately postpartum aided by TPUS, with two main questions in mind:

Does episiotomy really protect against obstetric anal sphincter injury?

And do variables such as duration of the second stage of labour, maternal BMI, neonatal weight, and neonatal head circumference, exert an influence?

AIM OF THE WORK

The aim of the study

o evaluate the relationship between mediolateral episiotomy and obstetric anal sphincter injuries in primiparous using 2D transperineal ultrasound immediately after delivery to aid in diagnosing anal sphincter injuries.

Research question

Does Medioloateral Episiotomy guard against Obstetric Anal Sphincter InjurieS (OASIS) in primiparous ladies?

Research hypothesis

Null hypothesis. Mediolateral episiotomy does not affect the incidence of Obstetric anal sphincter injuries in primiparous ladies undergoing vaginal delivery.