

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



-C-02-50-2-





شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكرونيلم





جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة يعيدا عن الغيار















بالرسالة صفحات لم ترد بالأصل









B 17 V 9 Y

THE EFFECT OF CERTAIN PREPARATION TECHNIQUES ON THE SHAPE, CLEANLINESS AND QUALITY OF APICAL SEAL OF CURVED ROOTS (AN INVITRO STUDY)

THESIS

Submitted to The Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo

University in Partial Fulfillment

of The Requirement of The Master's Degree

In Dental Surgery

(Endodontics)

By

KHALED ABDEL REHIM SOUROUR

B.D.S.

(Cairo University)

Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine

Cairo University

SUPERVISORS

Dr. SALMA HASSAN EL-ASHRY

Professor of Endodontic Department
Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine
Ain-Shams University

24

Dr. KHALED MOHAMED EZZAT

Assistant Professor of Endodontic Department
Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine
Cairo University



ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to express my deep appreciation and thanks to *Prof.*Dr. Salma H. El-Ashry, Professor of Endodontics Department,
Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Ain Shams University, for her great help, support and supervision during the entire course of this work.

Y

I am also deeply greatful to *ASS. Prof. Dr. Khaled M. Ezzat*, Assistant Professor of Endodontics Department, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University for his great effort and wise discussion.

Also I am deeply thankful to *Prof. Dr. Loaloaa M. Fathy*, Professor of Oral Pathology Department, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University, for her help and support in some parts of the resarch work.

I would also like to thank my mother *Dr*, *Hanaa EL-Tagie* for her sincere help during the practical part of the resarch.

I would like to thank all members of Endodontic Department, Cairo University, for their help and support.

Last but never the least, I would like to thank, Major General *Dr.*Ali Mowafy, Lt.Col *Dr. Mohamed Gomma* and Major. Dr. *Ossama*Afia, for their support and great help during using the C.D.R unit in this resarch.

CONTENTS

	Page
• - List of Figures	i
• - List of Tables	ii
• - Introduction	1
• - Review of Literature	2
• Shape.	2
• Cleanliness.	13
	22
• Apical seal.	25
• - Aim of the Study	26
• - Materials and Methods	40
• - Results	87
• - Discussion	93
• - Summary and Conclusion	96
• - References	
- Archic Summary	

LIST OF FIGURES

Page

canal curvature, using the Schneider's method. Photomicrograph showing, two perpendicular lines were drown from the two nearset points and the two farthest points. Fig (3) A printed C.D.R Photomicrograph, showing the measurement of the dimensions of the cross section of root canal. Fig (4) Photomicrograph of the Step-Back technique at the cervical one third, showing round shape in both mesiobuccal and mesiolingual canals, (magnification of x 37). Fig (5) Photomicrograph of the Step-Back technique at the middle one third, showing round shape in mesiobuccal canal and oval shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (6) Photomicrograph of the Step-Back technique at the apical one third, showing irregular shape in mesiobuccal canal and oval shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37).			
Photomicrograph showing, two perpendicular lines were drown from the two nearset points and the two farthest points. Fig (3) A printed C.D.R Photomicrograph, showing the measurement of the dimensions of the cross section of root canal. Photomicrograph of the Step-Back technique at the cervical one third, showing round shape in both mesiobuccal and mesiolingual canals, (magnification of x 37). Photomicrograph of the Step-Back technique at the middle one third, showing round shape in mesiobuccal canal and oval shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (6) Photomicrograph of the Step-Back technique at the apical one third, showing irregular shape in mesiobuccal canal and oval shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (7) Photomicrograph of the Step-Down technique at the cervical one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and round shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (8) Photomicrograph of the Step-Down technique at the middle one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and round shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37).	Fig (1)		27
drown from the two nearset points and the two farthest points. Fig (3) A printed C.D.R Photomicrograph, showing the measurement of the dimensions of the cross section of root canal. Photomicrograph of the Step-Back technique at the cervical one third, showing round shape in both mesiobuccal and mesiolingual canals, (magnification of x 37). Photomicrograph of the Step-Back technique at the middle one third, showing round shape in mesiobuccal canal and oval shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (6) Photomicrograph of the Step-Back technique at the apical one third, showing irregular shape in mesiobuccal canal and oval shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (7) Photomicrograph of the Step-Down technique at the cervical one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and round shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (8) Photomicrograph of the Step-Down technique at the middle one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and round shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37).		canal curvature, using the Schneider's method.	
points. A printed C.D.R Photomicrograph, showing the measurement of the dimensions of the cross section of root canal. Fig (4) Photomicrograph of the Step-Back technique at the cervical one third, showing round shape in both mesiobuccal and mesiolingual canals, (magnification of x 37). Fig (5) Photomicrograph of the Step-Back technique at the middle one third, showing round shape in mesiobuccal canal and oval shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (6) Photomicrograph of the Step-Back technique at the apical one third, showing irregular shape in mesiobuccal canal and oval shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (7) Photomicrograph of the Step-Down technique at the cervical one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and round shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (8) Photomicrograph of the Step-Down technique at the middle one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and round shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37).	Fig (2)		36
measurement of the dimensions of the cross section of root canal. Fig (4) Photomicrograph of the Step-Back technique at the cervical one third, showing round shape in both mesiobuccal and mesiolingual canals, (magnification of x 37). Fig (5) Photomicrograph of the Step-Back technique at the middle one third, showing round shape in mesiobuccal canal and oval shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (6) Photomicrograph of the Step-Back technique at the apical one third, showing irregular shape in mesiobuccal canal and oval shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (7) Photomicrograph of the Step-Down technique at the cervical one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and round shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (8) Photomicrograph of the Step-Down technique at the middle one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and round shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37).			
Fig (4) Photomicrograph of the Step-Back technique at the cervical one third, showing round shape in both mesiobuccal and mesiolingual canals, (magnification of x 37). Fig (5) Photomicrograph of the Step-Back technique at the middle one third, showing round shape in mesiobuccal canal and oval shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (6) Photomicrograph of the Step-Back technique at the apical one third, showing irregular shape in mesiobuccal canal and oval shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (7) Photomicrograph of the Step-Down technique at the cervical one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and round shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (8) Photomicrograph of the Step-Down technique at the middle one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and	Fig (3)	A printed c.b.it and b i	37
Photomicrograph of the Step-Back technique at the cervical one third, showing round shape in both mesiobuccal and mesiolingual canals, (magnification of x 37). Fig (5) Photomicrograph of the Step-Back technique at the middle one third, showing round shape in mesiobuccal canal and oval shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (6) Photomicrograph of the Step-Back technique at the apical one third, showing irregular shape in mesiobuccal canal and oval shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (7) Photomicrograph of the Step-Down technique at the cervical one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and round shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (8) Photomicrograph of the Step-Down technique at the middle one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and mesiobuccal canal and mesiobuccal canal and one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and		measurement of the dimensions of the cross section of root	
one third, showing round shape in both mesiobuccal and mesiolingual canals, (magnification of x 37). Fig (5) Photomicrograph of the Step-Back technique at the middle one third, showing round shape in mesiobuccal canal and oval shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (6) Photomicrograph of the Step-Back technique at the apical one third, showing irregular shape in mesiobuccal canal and oval shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (7) Photomicrograph of the Step-Down technique at the cervical one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and round shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (8) Photomicrograph of the Step-Down technique at the middle one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and			
mesiolingual canals, (magnification of x 37). Photomicrograph of the Step-Back technique at the middle one third, showing round shape in mesiobuccal canal and oval shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (6) Photomicrograph of the Step-Back technique at the apical one third, showing irregular shape in mesiobuccal canal and oval shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (7) Photomicrograph of the Step-Down technique at the cervical one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and round shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (8) Photomicrograph of the Step-Down technique at the middle one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and	Fig (4)		42
Fig (5) Photomicrograph of the Step-Back technique at the middle one third, showing round shape in mesiobuccal canal and oval shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (6) Photomicrograph of the Step-Back technique at the apical one third, showing irregular shape in mesiobuccal canal and oval shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (7) Photomicrograph of the Step-Down technique at the cervical one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and round shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (8) Photomicrograph of the Step-Down technique at the middle one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and			
one third, showing round shape in mesiobuccal canal and oval shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (6) Photomicrograph of the Step-Back technique at the apical one third, showing irregular shape in mesiobuccal canal and oval shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (7) Photomicrograph of the Step-Down technique at the cervical one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and round shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (8) Photomicrograph of the Step-Down technique at the middle one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and			10
oval shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Photomicrograph of the Step-Back technique at the apical one third, showing irregular shape in mesiobuccal canal and oval shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (7) Photomicrograph of the Step-Down technique at the cervical one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and round shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (8) Photomicrograph of the Step-Down technique at the middle one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and	Fig (5)		43
Fig (6) Photomicrograph of the Step-Back technique at the apical one third, showing irregular shape in mesiobuccal canal and oval shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (7) Photomicrograph of the Step-Down technique at the cervical one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and round shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (8) Photomicrograph of the Step-Down technique at the middle one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and			
one third, showing irregular shape in mesiobuccal canal and oval shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (7) Photomicrograph of the Step-Down technique at the cervical one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and round shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (8) Photomicrograph of the Step-Down technique at the middle one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and			
oval shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (7) Photomicrograph of the Step-Down technique at the cervical one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and round shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (8) Photomicrograph of the Step-Down technique at the middle one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and	Fig (6)		44
Fig (7) Photomicrograph of the Step-Down technique at the cervical one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and round shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (8) Photomicrograph of the Step-Down technique at the middle one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and			
one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and round shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (8) Photomicrograph of the Step-Down technique at the middle one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and			
round shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37). Fig (8) Photomicrograph of the Step-Down technique at the middle one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and	Fig (7)		45
Fig (8) Photomicrograph of the Step-Down technique at the middle one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and			
one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and			
	Fig (8)		46
round shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37).			
		round shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37).	

Fig (9)	Photomicrograph of the Step-Down technique at the apical	47
	one third, showing oval shape in mesiobuccal canal and	
	irregular shape in mesiolingual canal, (magnification of x 37).	
Fig (10)	Photomicrograph of the Balanced-Force technique at the	48
116 (10)	cervical one third, showing round shape in both mesiolingual	
	and mesiobuccal canals, (magnification of x 37).	
F' (11)	Photomicrograph of the Balanced-Force technique at the	49
Fig (11)		
	middle one third, showing oval shape in mesiolingual canal,	
	and round shape in mesiobuccal canal (magnification of x	
	37).	
Fig (12)	Photomicrograph of the Balanced-Force technique at the	50
	apical one third, showing irregular shape in both	
	mesiolingual canal, and mesiobuccal canal (magnification of	
	x 37).	
Fig (13)	Photomicrograph of the Circumferential filing technique at	51
	the cervical one third, showing round shape in mesiolingual	
	canal and oval shape in mesiobuccal canal, (magnification of	
	x 37).	
Fig (14)	Photomicrograph of the Circumferential filing technique at	52
11g (14)	the middle one third, showing irregular shape in both	
	mesiobuccal and mesiolingual canals, (magnification of x 37).	
Fig (15)	Photomicrograph of the Circumferential filing technique at	53
Fig (15)	tit to include along in both	
	mesiobuccal and mesiolingual canals, (magnification of x 37).	57
Fig (16)	Histogram showing the number of different shapes of the	31
	four techniques at the cervical level.	
Fig (17)	Histogram showing the number of different shapes of the	58
118 (17)		

Fig (18)	Histogram showing the number of different shapes of the	59
	four techniques at the apical level.	
Fig (19)	A scanning electron microscopic picture of the Step-Back	63
	technique at the cervical level, showing closed dentinal	
	tubules by smear layer. Also thick smear layer and debris	
	covered the dentin surface. (x 500).	
Fig (20)	A scanning electron microscopic picture of the Step-Back	64
	technique at the middle level, showing most of the dentinal	
	tubules were closed. Also the smear layer was more thicker	
	than the cervical level and the amount of debris covering the	
	dentin surface was increased. (x 500).	
Fig (21)	A scanning electron microscopic picture of the Step-Back	65
	technique at the apical level, showing very thick smear layer	
	and increased amount of debris. (x 500).	
Fig (22)	A scanning electron microscopic picture of the Step-Down	66
	technique at the cervical level, showing large number of	
	dentinal tubules, mostly clean dentin surface with very little	
	amount of debris. (x 500).	
Fig (23)	A scanning electron microscopic picture of the Step-Down	67
	technique at the middle level, showing increased number of	
	closed dentinal tubules. Also the smear layer was evident	
	with increase in amount of debris. (x 500).	
Fig (24)	A scanning electron microscopic picture of the Step-Down	68
	technique at the apical level, showing thick smear layer with	
	large amount of debris covering the dentin surface. (x 500).	
Fig (25)	A scanning electron microscopic picture of the Balanced-	69
	Force technique at the cervical level, showing smear layer	
	covering the dentinal tubules and modurate amount of debris	