

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم





شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم


قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار






بعض الوثائق الأصلية تالفة





بالرسالة صفحات
لم ترد بالأصل



B1VCI1A

Suez Canal University

Faculty of veterinary medicine

Department of (Bacteriology-Immunology-Mycology)

***IMMUNOLOGIC RESPONSE OF CHICKEN
TO NATURAL, EXPERIMENTAL INFECTION AND
VACCINATION WITH HAEMOPHILUS PARAGALLINARUM***

A thesis

Presented by

Nahla Taha Abd EL-Gawad korishy

(M.V.Sc., Suez Canal University, 1996)

Under the supervision of

Prof.Dr.MOHAMED EL-SAYED ENANY

Professor of Microbiology and Dean of

Faculty of Veterinary Medicine

Suez Canal University

Prof.Dr. AFAF AMIN

Professor of Poultry Diseases

Animal Health Research Institute

Dokki, Giza, Egypt

For

The Degree of Ph.D. Veterinary Microbiology

(Bacteriology-Immunology-Mycology)

2001

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ
قالوا

سُبْحَانَكَ إِنَّا كُنَّا ظَالِمِينَ

إِنَّا كُنَّا ظَالِمِينَ

صَدَقَ اللَّهُ الْعَظِيمُ



SUEZ CANAL UNIVERSITY

Faculty of Veterinary Medicine

Department of Bacteriology-Immunology - Mycology.

Approval Sheet

This is to approve this dissertation by **Nahla taha Abd El-Gawad korishe** to Suez Canal University entitled **(Immunologic response of chicken to natural, experimental infection and vaccination with Haemophilus paragallinarum.)** for the degree of ph.D. VSC has been approved in 14\6\2001 by the examined Commilte.

1- Prof. Dr./Fawzy Riad El- Seedy

Professor and head of Microbiology Department
Faculty of Vet. Med.
Bani-Suif, Cairo University.

F. R. El Seedy

2- Prof. Dr./ Mahmoud Ezzat El- Sayed

Professor and head of Microbiology Department
Faculty of Vet. Med..
Suez Canal University.

M. Ezzat

3- Prof. Dr./ Mohamed El-Sayed Enany

Professor of Microbiology and Dean of
Faculty of Vet. Med.
Suez Canal University

M. Enany

4- Dr. / Afaf Amin

Professor of Poultry Diseases
Animal Health Research Insititute
Dokki, Giza, Egypt.

A. Amine

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I am extremely grateful to my GOD. I wish to express my deep gratitude and cardinal thanks to **Prof. Dr. MOHAMED EL-SAYED ENANY** professor of Microbiology and Dean of Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Suez Canal University for his kind supervision, planning the work, encouragement, continuous help and valuable advice during the course of this research.

My great deep thanks to **Prof. Dr. AFAF AMIN** professor of Poultry Diseases, Animal Health Research Institute for her supervision, valuable guidance, help, advice and encouragement in this work.

I wish to present my sincere thanks to **Prof. Dr. Ali Azam**, Professor of poultry diseases, Animal Health Research Institute, for his help in this work.

My great deep thanks to staff members of Port-Said Lab for Food Hygiene for help and encouragement through the work.

LIST OF CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE	4
2.1. Properties of the etiological agent	4
2.2. Serotyping of <i>H. paragallinarum</i>	17
2.3. Antibiotic sensitivity of <i>H. paragallinarum</i>	27
2.4. Pathogenicity of <i>H. paragallinarum</i>	30
2.5. Immunization of chicken against infectious coryza	36
3. MATERIAL AND METHODS	51
3.1. Material	51
3.1.1. Samples	51
3.1.2. Strains used	51
3.1.3. Media used	53
3.1.4. Solution and reagent	57
3.1.5. Stain	58
3.1.6. Inactivator	59
3.1.7. Adjuvant	59
3.1.8. Diagnostic antisera	59
3.1.9. Laboratory animals	59
3.1.10. Apparatus	60
3.1.11. Antibiotic sensitivity discs	61
3.2. METHODS	62
3.2.1. Bacteriological examination	62
3.2.1.1. Collection of samples	62
3.2.1.2. Isolation of bacterial agent	62
3.2.1.3. Identification of the isolated organism	62

3.2.1.3.1 Morphological identification	63
3.2.1.3.2. Biological identification	64
3.2.1.3.3. Biochemical identification	64
3.2.1.3.4.Serological identification	65
3.2.2.In-vitro susceptibility of <i>H.paragallinarum</i> isolates to various chemotherapeutic agents	66
3.2.3. Pathogenicity tests	68
3.2.3.1. Preparation of <i>H.paragallinarum</i> inoculum	68
3.2.3.2. Patogenicity of isolated <i>H.paragallinarum</i> to chicken embryo	68
3.2.3.3.Patogenicity of isolated <i>H.paragallinarum</i> to to chickens	69
3.2.4.Antigen preparation	72
3.2.4.1. Agglutinating antigen	72
3.2.4.2. Hemagglutination antigen	72
3.2.5.Propagation of standard strain and lyophilized isolates	73
3.2.6. Serological tests	74
3.2.6.1. Tube agglutination test	74
3.2.6.2.Hemagglutinin inhibition test	74
3.2.7.Serological examination of the serum samples from naturally affected chickens	75
3.2.8.Serological examination of the serum samples from experimentally infected chickens	76
3.2.9. Vaccines preparation	76
3.2.10. Evaluation of <i>H.paragallinarum</i> vaccines using sterility test and safety test	77

3.2.11. Vaccination and challenge test	78
4. RESULTS	81
5. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	129
6. SUMMARY	141
7. REFERENCES	144
8. ARABIC SUMMARY	

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1. Samples collected from naturally infected chickens.	52
2. Schedule of experimental chickens inoculated with field isolates serovar A of <i>H.paragallinarum</i> .	71
3. Schedule of experimental chickens vaccinated and challenged with field and standard <i>H.paragallinarum</i> serovar A.	80
4. Prevalence of <i>H.paragallinarum</i> isolates in chickens in relation to province and breed.	87
5. Cultural and biological characteristics of the isolated <i>H.paragallinarum</i> from diseased chickens	91
6. Biochemical characteristics of the isolated <i>H.paragallinarum</i> from diseased chickens.	92
7. Results of antibiotic sensitivity of isolated <i>H.paragallinarum</i> and standard strain (W).	94
8. Pathogenicity of field isolates of <i>H.paragallinarum</i> serovar A in 5 weeks old chickens using intranasal inoculation.	96
9. Pathogenicity of field isolates of <i>H.paragallinarum</i> serovar A	

in 5-weeks-old chickens using intrasinus inoculation.	98
10. Serological examination of serum samples collected from naturally infected chickens with <i>H.paragallinarum</i>	102
11. Geometric mean of agglutination titer (Agg) of 5-weeks old chickens experimentally infected with field isolate serovar A of <i>H. paragallinarum</i>	106
12. Mathematics mean of agglutination titer of chickens experimentally infected with field isolates of <i>H.paragallinarum</i> serovar A	108
13. Least significant difference (L.S.D) between agglutination titer of experimentally infected chickens with field <i>H.paragallinarum</i> serovar A.	109
14. Geometric mean of (HI) of 5-weeks old chickens experimentally infected with field isolate serovar A of <i>H. paragallinarum</i> .	110
15. Mathematics mean of (HI)titer of chickens experimentally infected with field isolates of <i>H.paragallinarum</i> serovar A	112
16. Least significant difference(L.S.D) between (HI) titer of experimentally infected 5 weeks old chickens with <i>H.paragallinarum</i> serovar A	113

17. Cross protection test of 12-weeks-old chickens Vaccinated with one dose of <i>H.paragallinarum</i> vaccines and challenge test.	117
18. Cross protection test of 12 -weeks old chickens vaccinated with two doses of <i>H.paragallinarum</i> vaccines and challenge test.	118
19. Geometric mean of agglutination (Agg.) titer of 12-weeks old chickens vaccinated with monovalent field isolate serovar A of <i>H.paragallinarum</i> vaccine	121
20. Mathematics mean of agglutination titer of 12 weeks old chickens vaccinated with monovalent field isolate of <i>H.paragallinarum</i> serovar A.	123
21. Least significant difference(L.S.D) between agglutination titer in chickens vaccinated with monovalent field <i>H.paragallinarum</i> serovar A	124
22. Geometric mean of (HI) titer of 12-weeks old chickens vaccinated with monovalent field isolate serovar A of <i>H.paragallinarum</i> vaccine	125
23. Mathematics mean of (HI) titer in chickens vaccinated with monovalent field isolate of <i>H.paragallinarum</i> serovar A	127