

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



-C-02-50-2-





شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكرونيلم





جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة يعيدا عن الغيار













بالرسالة صفحات لم ترد بالأصل



عقير رسل اللهة للراء العلم المجوث

C BI Mel

EVALUATION OF LOCAL ANESTHESIA USING NEEDLE-LESS JET SYRINGE VERSUS CONVENTIONAL SYRINGE IN PEDIATRIC DENTISTRY

THESIS

Submitted to the Faculty of Dentistry
Alexandria University
in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for
the Degree of
Master in Pediatric Dentistry

By

Injy Ahmed Mohy El-Din (B.D.S. 1995)

> Faculty Of Dentistry Alexandria University 2003

SUPERVISORS

Prof. Dr. Amani M. Khalil

Professor of Pediatric Dentistry
Department of Pediatric and Community
Dentistry
Faculty of Dentistry
Alexandria University

Dr. Sawsan H. Mahmoud

Lecturer of Pediatric Dentistry
Department of Pediatric and Community
Dentistry
Faculty of Dentistry
Alexandria University

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to express my everlasting appreciation to Professor Dr. Amani M. Khalil, professor of pediatric dentistry, Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Alexandria University for planning, continuous support and encouragement, sincere help and valuable and useful advice throughout this work.

Also I would like to express my deepest gratitude and sincere appreciation to Dr. Sawsan Hafez Mahomoud, lecturer of pediatric dentistry, Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Alexandria University for her continuous guidance and valuable advice throughout this work.

My sincere appreciation to my patients and the nursing staff who helped me throughout this work. My thanks also goes to my colleagues in the Department of Pediatric Dentistry.

My deep thanks to my parents for their unlimited moral support, patience and encouragement they offered through this work. I am greatly indebted to my children and my husband whom I would not be able to complete this work without him.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | rage |
|----|--|------|
| | LIST OF FIGURES | i |
| | LIST OF TABLES | ii |
| | LIST OF GRAPHS | iv |
| I. | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| | • Pain | 2 |
| | - Phenomenon of Pain | 2 |
| | - Simple Pain Mechanisms | 2 |
| | Nociceptors and Afferent Nerve Fibers | 2 |
| | Central Nervous System (CNS) Mechanisms | 4 |
| | - Pain Thresholds | 5 |
| | - Pain Perception and Pain Reaction | 5 |
| | - Psychological Factors Modifying pain | 6 |
| | Factors Modifying Pain Perception | 6 |
| | Factors Modifying Pain Reaction | 10 |
| | - Pain Control | 13 |
| | Local Anesthesia | 13 |
| | - Mechanism of Action | 13 |
| | - Local Anesthetic Agents | 14 |
| | Esters | 14 |
| | - Amides | 14 |
| | - Local Anesthesia by Conventional Injection | 15 |
| | - Complications of the Conventional Injection | 15 |
| | - Pain | 15 |
| | Fear and Anxiety (Needle Phobia) | 10 |
| | Overdosage | 18 |
| | Needle Breakage | 19 |
| | Intravascular Injection | 19 |
| | Neuropathy | 19 |

| - Trismus | 20 |
|--|----|
| Undesired Nerve Block | 20 |
| Hematoma Formation | 20 |
| Allergic Reactions | 21 |
| Mucosal Irritation | 21 |
| Self Inflicted Injuries | 22 |
| - Adjuncts to Local Anesthesia | 22 |
| Intraosseous Injection (IO) | 23 |
| Computerized Anesthesia Delivery System (WAND) | 23 |
| Electronic Dental Anesthesia (EDA) | 24 |
| Periodontal Ligamanet Injection (PDL) | 24 |
| | 26 |
| • Jet Injection | 26 |
| - Principle of Jet Injection | 27 |
| - Designs of Jet Injectors | 28 |
| - Clinical Applications of Jet Injection | 28 |
| - Intraoral Use of Jet Injection | 30 |
| - Advantages of Jet Injection | 32 |
| - Safety Considerations | |
| Transmission of Diseases | 32 |
| Tissue Response | 32 |
| Adverse Effects | 33 |
| - Histological Assessment | 34 |
| - Cost | 35 |
| Scope of the Problem | 36 |
| II, AIM OF THE STUDY | 37 |
| III. MATERIAL AND METHODS | 38 |
| Data Collection | 39 |
| - Study Sample | 39 |
| Sample Selection | 39 |
| Sample Subgrouping | 40 |
| - Dental Visits | 41 |

| Screening Dental Visit | 41 |
|--|----|
| Initial Dental Visit | 41 |
| Restorative Dental Visits | 41 |
| Scheduling of Appointments | 42 |
| - Methodology of Behavior Management | 42 |
| - Local Anesthesia Procedures | 43 |
| Jet Injection | 43 |
| Conventional Infiltration | 45 |
| | 46 |
| - Operative Procedures | 46 |
| Class I Cavity Preparation Vital Delegatory | 47 |
| Vital Pulpotomy | 48 |
| Data Analysis | 48 |
| - Behavioral Rating | 48 |
| Measures to Assess Comfort or Pain | 48 |
| Measures to Assess Children's Behavior | |
| Measures to Assess Treatment Satisfaction | 48 |
| - Observer Training | 49 |
| - Statistical Analysis | 49 |
| IV. RESULTS | 61 |
| Evaluation of Jet Injection Anesthesia | 62 |
| - Pain Assessment Using the SEM Scale | 62 |
| - Behavior Rating Using the Frankl Behavior Rating Scale | 63 |
| Overall Treatment Satisfaction Using the Treatment Satisfaction and Acceptability Survey | 64 |
| Comparison of Jet Injection Anesthesia between the Upper Arch and the Lower Arch | 65 |
| Comparison of Jet Injection Anesthesia and Conventional Infiltration Anesthesia | 65 |
| - In Pain Control Using the SEM Scale | 65 |
| During Injection | 65 |
| During Placement of Rubber Dam Clamp | 66 |
| On Entering the Dentin | 66 |

| On Entering the Pulp | 67 |
|---|-----|
| - In Children's Behavior Using the Frankl Behavior Rating Scale | 68 |
| - In Overall Treatment Satisfaction using the Treatment Satisfaction and Acceptability Survey | 68 |
| Comparison between Males and Females | 69 |
| • Correlations | 69 |
| - Pain with Behavior | 69 |
| - Treatment Satisfaction with Pain and Behavior | 69 |
| V. DISUCSSION | 88 |
| Methodology | 88 |
| - Study Sample | 88 |
| - Dental Visits | 89 |
| - Anesthesia Approach | 89 |
| - Variables Measurement | 89 |
| • Results | 91 |
| - Evaluation of Jet Injection | 91 |
| Patient's Comfort During Anesthesia | 91 |
| Effectiveness of the Jet Anesthesia | 91 |
| Overall Treatment Satisfaction | 93 |
| - Comparison of Jet Versus Conventional Injection Anesthesia | 94 |
| Patient's Comfort During Anesthesia | 94 |
| Effectiveness of the Anesthesia | 95 |
| Treatment Satisfaction | 96 |
| - Gender Comparison | 96 |
| - Evaluation of Scales | 96 |
| Clinical Observations | 97 |
| VI. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS | 99 |
| VII. RECOMMENDATIONS | 102 |
| VIII. REFERENCES | 103 |
| Annendix I. PROTOCOL | |

Appendix II. ARABIC SUMMARY

LIST OF FIGURES

| MATERIAL A | AND METHODS Pag | <u>ge</u> |
|-------------|--|-----------|
| Figure (1) | MadaJet XL® Needle Free Injector System. | 50 |
| Figure (2) | Parts of the Needle-less Jet Syringe (MadaJet XI). | 50 |
| Figure (3) | Diagram Showing the Internal Structure of the Needle-less Jet Syringe (MadaJet XI). | 51 |
| Figure (4) | Introduction of the Jet Syringe to the Children Using the Tell Show and Do Technique. | 52 |
| Figure (5) | Cocking the Jet Syringe. | 53 |
| Figure (6) | Firing the Jet Syringe. | 54 |
| Figure (7) | (A) Palatal Injection (B) Lingual Injection | 55 |
| Figure (8) | Labial Injection Opposite to the Tooth. | 56 |
| Figure (9) | Labial Injection Slightly Anterior to the Tooth. | 56 |
| Figure (10) | Labial Injection Slightly Posterior to the Tooth. | 57 |
| RESULTS | | |
| Figure (11) | Comparison Between Pain on Injection Using (A) Jet Versus (B) Conventional Syringes in Upper Primary Molars. | 70 |
| Figure (12) | Comparison Between Pain on Injection Using (A) Jet Versus (B) Conventional Syringes in Lower Primary Molar. | 71 |
| Figure (13) | Comparison Between Patient's Behavior During Injection with (A) Jet Versus (B) Conventional Syringes. | 72 |

LIST OF TABLES

| MATERIAL A | ND METHODS Page | <u>e</u> |
|----------------------|--|----------|
| Table (1) | Children Distribution in the Study Groups. | 58 |
| Table (2) | The Sound, Eye and Motor Scale (SEM). | 58 |
| Table (3) | The Frankl Behavior Rating Scale. | 59 |
| Table (4) | The Treatment Satisfaction and Acceptability Survey. | 60 |
| RESULTS Table (5) | Pain Assessment of Jet Anesthesia for the Upper Primary Molars. | 73 |
| Table (6) | Pain Assessment of Jet Anesthesia for the Lower Primary Molars. | 73 |
| Table (7) | Pain Assessment of Jet Anesthesia for the Upper and Lower Primary Molars. | 74 |
| Table (8) | Behavior Rating in Jet Injection Anesthesia for the Upper Primary Molars. | 75 |
| Table (9) | Behavior Rating in Jet Injection Anesthesia for the Lower Primary Molars. | 75 |
| Table (10) | Behavior Rating in Jet Injection Anesthesia for the Upper and Lower Primary Molars. | 76 |
| Table (11) | Overall Treatment Satisfaction of Children Treated Using Jet Anesthesia. | 77 |
| Table (12) | Overall Comparison of Jet Anesthesia Between the Upper and Lower Arches in Simple Cavity Preparation. | 78 |
| Table (13) | Overall Comparison of Jet Anesthesia Between the Upper and Lower Arches in Vital Pulpotomy | 79 |
| Table (14) | Comparison Between Jet Anesthesia and Conventional Infiltration Anesthesia in Pain on Injection. | 80 |
| Table (15) | Comparison Between Jet Anesthesia and Conventional Infiltration Anesthesia in Pain Control During Placement of the Rubber Dam Clamp. | 80 |

| Table (16) | Comparison Between Jet Anesthesia and Conventional Infiltration Anesthesia in Pain Control on Entering Dentin. | 81 |
|------------|--|----|
| Table (17) | Comparison Between Jet Anesthesia and Conventional Infiltration Anesthesia in Pain Control on Entering the Pulp. | 81 |
| Table (18) | Comparison Between Patients' Behavior with Jet Versus Conventional Infiltration Anesthesia. | 82 |
| Table (19) | Comparison Between Patients' Overall Treatment Satisfaction with Jet Versus Conventional Infiltration Anesthesia. | 82 |
| Table (20) | Gender Comparison of Pain, Behavior and Overall Treatment Satisfaction. | 83 |
| Table (21) | Correlation of SEM Scale with Frankl Behavior Rating Scale in All Procedures. | 84 |
| Table (22) | Correlation of Treatment Satisfaction and Acceptability Survey with SEM Scale and Frankl Behavior Rating Scale. | 84 |