



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرو فيلم

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



HANAA ALY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



HANAA ALY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



HANAA ALY



"Effect of multiple firing on the color stability, translucency and flexural strength of Amber®Press and Amber®Mill"

Thesis

*Submitted to the Faculty of Dentistry - Ain Shams University
for Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for master's degree of science in
Fixed Prosthodontics*

By

Bassem Mamdouh Saad Zaghloul

B.D.S. (Misr International University 2010)

**Faculty of Dentistry
Ain Shams University
2022**

SUPERVISORS

Prof. Dr. Amina Mohamed Hamdy

Professor of Fixed Prosthodontics,
Faculty of Dentistry
Ain Shams University

Dr. Ahmed Ezzat Sabet

Assistant professor of Fixed Prosthodontics
Faculty of Dentistry
Ain Shams University

Dr. Hoda Mohamed Abd El Sadek

Lecturer of Fixed Prosthodontics
Faculty of Dentistry
Ain Shams University

Acknowledgement

First of all, great gratitude goes to Allah The Almighty as without His grace and blessings, this study would not have been possible.

Immeasurable appreciation and deepest gratitude are extended to the following persons who in one way or another have contributed in making this study a successful one.

I would like to thank **Prof. Dr. Amina Mohamed Hamdy**, Professor of Fixed Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for her support and words of encouragement, sincere guidance, academic advice, warm kindness and compassionate feelings towards me.

Special thanks are addressed to **Dr. Ahmed Ezzat Sabet**, Associate Professor of Fixed Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for supervising this study and providing professional comments throughout this study.

Indeed, I would like to express the deepest appreciation to **Dr. Hoda Mohamed Abd El Sadek**, Lecturer of Fixed Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for her sincere guidance and his valuable comments that made it possible that this study came to its best form.

Warm thanks are extended to all the jury members to whom all instruments were submitted and carefully judged for modifications and validation. Many thanks for their precious opinions and comments that enriched this study.

Words cannot express how grateful I am to all my family members, whose prayers granted me success, and were really tolerant during my study.

LIST OF CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
LIST OF TABLES.....	II
LIST OF FIGURES.....	IV
INTRODUCTION	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
STATEMENT OF PROBLEM	24
AIM OF THE STUDY	25
MATERIALS & METHODS	26
RESULTS.....	41
DISCUSSION.....	63
SUMMARY.....	73
CONCLUSIONS.....	75
REFERENCES	76
ARABIC SUMMARY	-

LIST OF TABLES

Table no.	Title	Page
1	List of Materials used in the Study	27
2	Group of Samples	28
3	Firing Programs	32,34,37
4	Initial firing for crystallization.....	37
5	Descriptive statistics of color change (ΔE) values.....	42
6	Two-way ANOVA results for the effect of different variables on mean ΔE	43
7	The mean, standard deviation (SD) values and results of two-way ANOVA test for comparison between ΔE of the two ceramic types regardless of firing cycles.....	44
8	The mean, standard deviation (SD) values and results of two-way ANOVA test for comparison between ΔE of the three firing cycles regardless of ceramic type	45
9	The mean, standard deviation (SD) values and results of two-way ANOVA test for comparison between ΔE values with different interactions of variables	46
10	Descriptive statistics of Translucency Parameter (TP) values.....	48
11	Two-way ANOVA results for the effect of different variables on mean TP	49
12	The mean, standard deviation (SD) values and results of two-way ANOVA test for comparison between TP of the two ceramic types regardless of firing cycles.....	50
13	The mean, standard deviation (SD) values and results of two-way ANOVA test for comparison between TP of the different firing cycles regardless of ceramic type....	51

Table no.	Title	Page
14	The mean, standard deviation (SD) values and results of two-way ANOVA test for comparison between TP values with different interactions of variables	52
15	Descriptive statistics of biaxial flexural strength values...	54
16	Two-way ANOVA results for the effect of different variables on mean biaxial flexural strength	55
17	The mean, standard deviation (SD) values and results of two-way ANOVA test for comparison between biaxial flexural strength of the two ceramic types regardless of firing cycles	56
18	The mean, standard deviation (SD) values and results of two-way ANOVA test for comparison between biaxial flexural strength of the three firing cycles regardless of ceramic type	57
19	The mean, standard deviation (SD) values and results of two-way ANOVA test for comparison between biaxial flexural strength values with different interactions of variables	58

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure no.	Title	Page
1	CIELAB Color Space.....	11
2	Amber press (A2HT).....	26
3	Amber Mill (A2HT).....	26
4	Disc dimensions on software	28
5	Milling of wax discs	29
6	Measurements of the wax discs.....	29
7	Sprue Placed at angle 45 degree; Setting of Sprued Wax Discs on Ring Base	30
8	Pouring and setting.....	31
9	Preheating in Burnout Furnace.....	31
10	Pressing	32
11	Removal of investment material	33
12	Discs left in Invex liquid in an ultrasonic machine.....	33
13	Removal of the sprue and Finshing.....	34
14	Steps for CAD/CAM Discs Fabrication.....	35,36
15	Spectrophotometer	38
16	Biaxial Flexural Strength Testing Machine	40
17	Bar chart representing mean and standard deviation values for ΔE of the two ceramic types regardless of firing cycles.....	44
18	Bar chart representing mean and standard deviation values for ΔE of the three firing cycles regardless of ceramic type.....	45
19	Bar chart representing mean and standard deviation values for ΔE with different interactions of variables.....	47

Figure no.	Title	Page
20	Bar chart representing mean and standard deviation values for TP of the two ceramic types regardless of firing cycles	50
21	Bar chart representing mean and standard deviation values for TP of the different firing cycles regardless of ceramic type	51
22	Bar chart representing mean and standard deviation values for TP with different interactions of variables	53
23	Bar chart representing mean and standard deviation values for biaxial flexural strength of the two ceramic types regardless of firing cycles	56
24	Bar chart representing mean and standard deviation values for biaxial flexural strength of the three firing cycles regardless of ceramic type.....	57
25	Bar chart representing mean and standard deviation values for biaxial flexural strength with different interactions	59
26	SEM photos of Amber Mill.....	61
27	SEM photos of Amber Press	62

INTRODUCTION

There is a high demand for natural teeth appearance these days. For this reason, ceramic systems which are metal free restorations of a high aesthetic quality are becoming more popular. In the past couple decades, the development of all-ceramic systems for dental restorations has been extraordinary. Different types of heat pressed ceramics were introduced as the first generation of heat-pressed dental ceramics contains leucite as reinforcing crystalline phase and the second generation was lithium disilicate-based⁽¹⁾.

Lithium disilicate is one of the high aesthetic restorations with high-strength that can be conventionally cemented or adhesively bonded⁽¹⁾. Lithium disilicate is a material that can be used in all areas of the mouth, when specific considerations are taken into account. For laboratory ceramists, the versatility and performance of lithium disilicate enables them to optimize their productivity, since it can be fabricated by either lost-wax pressing or CAD/CAM milling techniques⁽²⁾.

Amber mill and Amber press one of newly introduced lithium disilicate ceramics, they characterized by their Aesthetic values, Structural stability and edge stability.^(3, 4)

Lithium disilicate glass ceramic has high esthetic and strength properties which allows it to be a core for a veneered restoration or for full contour restoration fabricated from one high-strength ceramic.⁽⁵⁾ It is composed of quartz, lithium dioxide, phosphor oxide, alumina, potassium oxide, and other components. Pressed ceramics have a composition that produces a highly thermal, shock-resistant glass ceramic because of the low

thermal expansion that occurs when it is processed ⁽²⁾. The pressable lithium disilicate is produced using a unique bulk casting production process to create the ingots. The microstructure of the material consists of approximately 70% needle-like lithium disilicate crystals embedded in a glassy matrix. These crystals are approximately 3 μm to 6 μm in length⁽⁶⁾. All-ceramics contain a higher amount of crystalline phase, from about 35 to about 99 vol %. This higher level of crystals are responsible for an improvement in mechanical properties through various mechanisms, such as crystalline reinforcement or stress ⁽¹⁾. The other type of lithium disilicate is a pin-type lithium disilicate that requires grinding in a wet environment.

Multiple firing is required to improve contour, color, and esthetics of all-ceramic restorations when using the layering technique to match the esthetics of the natural dentition⁽⁷⁾. Therefore, studying effect of multiple firing on color stability, translucency and flexural strength of ceramics could be of value.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Ceramics:

The word Ceramics is derived from the Greek word “*keramos*” which means potter's clay⁽⁸⁾. Ceramics were used in the ancient art of fabricating pottery where clay was fired to form a hard but brittle object. A modern definition is a material that contains both metallic and non-metallic elements. Porcelain on the other hand is a ceramic that consists of a glass matrix phase and crystal phase(s)⁽⁹⁾. The glass phase is responsible for esthetics and the crystalline phase is responsible for mechanical strength. According to their phases and microstructure ceramics can be classified according to their microstructure into four groups:⁽¹⁰⁾

Category 1: glass-based systems (mainly silica)

Category 2: glass-based systems (mainly silica) with fillers, (usually crystalline leucite or lithium)

Category 3: crystalline-based systems with glass fillers (mainly alumina)

Category 4: polycrystalline solids (alumina and zirconia)

Category 1: Glass Based Systems (mainly silica)

Glass-based systems are made from materials that contain mainly silicon dioxide (also known as silica or quartz), which contains various amounts of alumina.

Aluminosilicates found in nature, which contain various amounts of potassium and sodium, are known as feldspars. Feldspars are modified in various ways to create the glass used in dentistry. Synthetic forms of aluminasilicate glasses are also manufactured for dental ceramics.⁽¹⁰⁾

Category 2: Glass Based System with fillers

In this category, ceramics have large variety of glass- crystalline matrix and crystals with different ratios. Consequently, they can be subdivided into three groups. The difference between the subgroups are the amount of different types of crystals added or grown in the glass matrix. The main types of crystals are leucite, lithium disilicate and flouroapatite. The glass-based system is made from materials that contain silica or silicon dioxide with different amounts of alumina.⁽¹⁾

Subgroup 2.1: Low to moderate leucite containing feldspathic glass

This material has leucite crystals that are of random size and distribution with the average particle size being around several hundred microns. Leucite inhibits crack propagation and raises the coefficient of thermal expansion of the material which improves the strength of the material⁽⁵⁾. The randomization of distribution and large particle size gives the material low fracture resistance and abrasive properties relative to enamel^(11, 12). Recently, much finer leucite crystals (10–20 μm) have been developed with even particle distribution throughout the glass. Therefore, they are less abrasive and have higher flexural strength^(13, 14). Via electron micrograph, it reveals a glass matrix surrounding leucite crystals. This material is available as powder/liquid and is used for veneering cores of restorations.

Subcategory 2.2: High leucite-containing (approximately 50 %) glass

They are glass ceramics which have a crystalline phase grown within the glass matrix by a process called “controlled crystallization of glass”. They have higher resistance to fracture, erosion and thermal shock. They are available in both powder/liquids, Machin able, and press able forms. The most famous glass ceramic is the original IPS Empress. Press able and Machin able ceramics have performed excellently clinically when used for posterior on lays and inlays, anterior veneers and crown restorations⁽¹⁵⁾.