



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرو فيلم

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرو فيلم



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرو فيلم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



MONA MAGHRABY

Ain Shams University
Faculty of Medicine
Obstetrics and Gynecology Department



The Efficacy of Barbed Sutures on Uterine Closure at Cesarean Section versus Conventional Sutures (Randomized Controlled Trial)

Thesis

*Submitted For Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree
In Obstetrics and Gynecology*

By

Shimaa Ramadan Abdelsttar Kotop

*M.B.B.Ch., Faculty of Medicine Al-Azhar University (2015)
Resident of Obstetrics and Gynecology at Ebschway Central Hospital*

Under Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Jehan Allam Hamed Saad

*Professor of Obstetrics & Gynaecology
Ain Shams University*

Dr. Sherif Hanafi Hussain Mohamed

*Professor of Obstetrics & Gynaecology
Ain Shams University*

Dr. Haithm Fathy Mohammed Gad

*Lecturer of Obstetrics & Gynaecology
Ain Shams University*

**Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University**

- 2020 -

Table of Contents

Subject	Page
List of Abbreviations	I
List of Tables.....	II
List of Figures	III
Protocol	-
Abstract	-
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work	3
Review of Literature	
Chapter (1): The Cesarean Section	4
Chapter (2): Overview of Suture Materials	29
Chapter (3): The Barbed Suture	32
Patients and Methods	60
Results	71
Discussion	82
Summary	94
Conclusion	100
Recommendations	101
References	102
Arabic Summary	`

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
ABL	Allowable blood loss
ABV	Average blood volume
AH	Abdominal hysterectomy
BMI	Body mass index
CS	Cesarean section
EBV	Estimated blood volume
EDHS	Egypt Demographic and Health Survey
H_f	Final hematocrit
H_i	Initial hematocrit
TLH	Total laparoscopic hysterectomy
VCD	Vaginal cuff dehiscence

List of Tables

<i>Table No</i>	<i>Tables of Review</i>	<i>Page No</i>
1	Advantages and Disadvantages in the Utilization of Knotless Barbed Suture in Gynecologic Surgery	51
	<i>Tables of Results</i>	
1	Demographical and clinical characteristics of the study groups	72
2	Primary intraoperative outcomes of both groups	75
3	Secondary postoperative outcomes of both groups	79

List of Figures

Figure No.	Figures of Review	Page No.
1	The birth of Julius Caesar being surgically removed from his mother	5
2	Cesarean section rates in Egypt 2014	7
3	Different types of skin incisions that can be performed for a cesarean delivery	16
4	Bidirectional suture, in which barbs are cut into suture and change direction at the midpoint	41
5	Microscopic view of bidirectional barbed suture	42
6	V-Loc™ 180 suture	42
7	V-Loc™ Absorbable Wound Closure Device (Covidien, Mansfield, MA)	43
8	Unidirectional suture has a loop at the distal end to facilitate initial suture fastening	43
9	(A-D) Progressively increasing magnification of the barbed suture technology	44
10	Original drawings for barbed suture.	47
11	Myomectomy closure with bidirectional barbed suture	52
12	Closing the vaginal cuff with bidirectional barbed suture	53
13	Small bowel loop strangled by the suture	58
14	Terminal ileal loop strangled at the mesothelial level	58

Figure NO.	<i>Figures of Patients and Methods</i>	Page NO.
1	Loading of the needles of the suture device on the needle holders	64
2	Securing the angle of the incision of the first layer	65
3	Closing the first layer	66
4	Locking of the suture device at the end of the first layer closure	66
5	Locking of suture device by back stitch	67
6	Cutting the suture flush with the uterine wall	67
7	End of closure of uterine incision (observe the absence of knotting)	68

Figure NO.	<i>Figures of Results</i>	Page NO.
1	Comparison between groups according to Demographical and clinical characteristics	74
2	Comparison between groups according to parity	74
3	Comparison between groups according to uterine closure time and total operative time (min).	77
4	Comparison between groups according to used towels and additional hemostatic sutures.	77
5	Comparison between groups according to allowable blood loss.	78
6	Comparison between groups according to final hematocrit and cost of suture	80
7	Comparison between groups according to blood transfusion and postoperative fever	81

PROTOCOL OF A THESIS FOR PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF MASTER DEGREE IN OBSTETRICS & GYNAECOLOGY

Title of the Protocol:

The Efficacy of Barbed Sutures on Uterine Closure at
Cesarean Section versus Conventional Sutures; A
Randomized Controlled Trial

Postgraduate Student: Shimaa Ramadan Abdelsttar Kotop

Degree: M.B.B.Ch., Faculty of Medicine Al_Azhar university
(2015), Resident of Obstetrics and Gynecology At Ebschway Central
Hospital.

DIRECTOR: Dr/ Jehan Allam Hamed Saad

Academic Position: Professor

Department: Obstetrics & Gynaecology _ Ain Shams University

Co-DIRECTOR: Dr/ Sherif Hanafi Hussain Mohamed

Academic Position: Professor

Department: Obstetrics & Gynaecology _ Ain Shams University

Co-DIRECTOR: Dr/Haithm Fathy Mohammed Gad

Academic Position: Lecturer

Department: Obstetrics & Gynaecology _ Ain Shams University

**Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University
2019**

What is already known on this subject? And What does this study add?

Cesarean section uterine incision can be closed using the single or double-layer technique with interrupted or continuous (locked or unlocked) sutures. There is no consensus on the best technique, but we practiced the double layer continuous unlocked using conventional smooth suture (Vicryl) (*Ceci et al., 2012*).

Barbed suture is a relatively new class of sutures. Unlike conventional smooth suture, It has barbs on its external surface. These barbs resist backward movement of the suture thread and anchor tissues without the need for knots tying at both ends of suture thread. The use of barbed suture in this study for uterine incision closure at cesarean section may be associated with shorter uterine closure time, less blood loss, decrease need for additional sutures to achieve hemostasis.

1. INTRODUCTION/ REVIEW

Cesarean section is the most commonly performed surgical procedure in obstetric practice (*Natl et al., 2012*). Cesarean section is associated with the risk of short term and long-term complications. Short-term complications include injury of bladder, ureter, intestine and deep venous thrombosis. Long-term complications include chronic pelvic pain, infertility, intestinal obstruction, rupture uterus and abnormal placentation in subsequent pregnancies (*CORONIS et al., 2013*).

Previous studies revealed an association between the technique of uterine incision closure and the poor healing of the cesarean section scar and the occurrence of rupture uterus and abnormal placentation in subsequent pregnancies (*Gyamfi et al., 2006*).

Cesarean section uterine incision can be closed using the single or double-layer technique with interrupted or continuous (locked or unlocked) sutures. There is no consensus on the best technique for uterine incision closure at cesarean section. Several studies reported that the single layer closure and locked continuous suturing of the uterine incision are associated with a higher risk of uterine rupture in subsequent pregnancies compared with double layer closure (*Ceci et al., 2012*).

On the other hand, other studies revealed that single layer closure or

locked suturing is not associated with increased risk of rupture (*Yasmin et al., 2011*). A recent meta-analysis revealed that locked singled layer closure of uterine incision is associated with a five-fold increase in the risk of uterine rupture compared with double layer closure. On the other hand, unlocked singled layer closure of uterine incision is not associated with increased risk of uterine rupture compared with double layer closure (*Roberge et al., 2011*).

There is scarcity in the literature that compared the risk of uterine rupture after uterine incision closure with interrupted or continuous sutures. It was reported that the cesarean section scar defect was larger after single layer closure of uterine incision with continuous locked suture compared with single layer closure of uterine incision with interrupted sutures (*Ceci et al., 2012*).

The authors suggested that continuous sutures might impair the blood supply of the uterine tissues and induce more foreign body reaction and therefore interfere with proper healing of cesarean section scar.

Barbed suture is a relatively new class of sutures. Unlike conventional smooth suture, barbed suture has barbs on its external surface. These barbs resist backward movement of the suture thread and anchor tissues without the need for knots tying at both ends of suture thread (*Fouda et al., 2016*).

In plastic surgery, the use of a barbed suture to repair soft tissues reduces the operative time with equal cosmesis scores and wound complication rates. In laparoscopic surgery, barbed suture facilitates suturing and significantly reduces suturing and operative times (*Fouda et al., 2016*).

2. AIM/ OBJECTIVES

This study will be conducted at Ain Shams University Maternity Hospital in two groups of women at Cesarean section in which the uterine incision will be closed by two layers of continuous barbed sutures in one group and two layers of conventional smooth Vicryl sutures in the other group to compare the operative time and blood loss.

Hypothesis

The Barbed suture may be effective to decrease the time of uterine closure, blood loss and all operative time.

Null Hypothesis

The Barbed suture may not have an effect on blood loss and operative time.

Primary objective

To compare effects of barbed suture versus conventional smooth Vicryl suture as regard to operative time and blood loss.

Research question

Will barbed suture be effective to decrease the time of uterine closure and blood loss?

3. METHODOLOGY:

Type of Study:

A Randomized controlled clinical trial.

Study Setting:

At Ain Shams University Maternity Hospital.

Study period:

From July 2019

Study Population:

Pregnant patients undergoing transverse lower segment cesarean section for variable indications will be recruited to the study

Inclusion Criteria:

- Single intra uterine fetus
- One Previous cesarean section
- BMI 20_30

Exclusion Criteria

- Twins
- Placenta praevia
- Morbid obesity BMI > 30
- More than one previous cesarean section
- Patients refusing to participate in the study
- Patients with a bleeding tendency

- Medical disorders affecting coagulation system as chronic liver disease, coagulation factor defect, clotting factor defect
- Obstetrical conditions associated with increased blood loss as fibroid
- Any Intra operative finding increasing blood loss or operative time
- Morbid adhesion leads to extensions at uterine incision

Sampling Method:

Randomized sampling method

Randomization

The patients will be randomized to either Group A or Group B based on the below tables with random numbers assigned to each group. The random numbers are generated by online Random number Generator computer program (stattrek.com/statistics/random-number-generator).

Num ber	Gro up	Num ber	Gro up	Num ber	Gro up	Num ber	Gro up	Num ber	Gro up
1	A	23	A	45	A	67	A	89	B
2	A	24	B	46	B	68	A	90	A
3	A	25	B	47	A	69	A		
4	B	26	A	48	B	70	A		
5	B	27	A	49	A	71	B		
6	A	28	B	50	B	72	A		
7	A	29	A	51	A	73	B		
8	A	30	A	52	A	74	A		
9	B	31	B	53	B	75	A		
10	B	32	A	54	B	76	B		
11	A	33	B	55	A	77	A		
12	B	34	A	56	B	78	B		
13	B	35	A	57	B	79	B		
14	A	36	B	58	A	80	A		
15	B	37	B	59	B	81	B		
16	B	38	A	60	A	82	B		
17	B	39	B	61	A	83	B		
18	B	40	A	62	B	84	A		
19	A	41	B	63	A	85	A		
20	B	42	A	64	B	86	B		
21	A	43	A	65	A	87	A		
22	B	44	B	66	B	88	A		